Exhibit 115

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

-----X

----X

In re: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY) MDL DOCKET NO.

AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE) CIVIL ACTION

LITIGATION.) 01CV12257-PBS

JANUARY 17, 2008

DEPOSITION OF LYNN E. LEONE

The videotaped deposition of LYNN E.

LEONE, called by the United States for examination,

Taken pursuant to subpoena and pursuant to the

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the United

States District Courts pertaining to the taking of

depositions, taken before Rachel F. Gard, Certified

Shorthand Reporter, at 77 West Wacker Drive, Suite

3500, Chicago, Illinois, commencing at 9:05 a.m. on

the 17th day of January, A.D., 2008.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	INDEX
2		2	
3	Telephonically on behalf of the United	3	WITNESS: LYNN E. LEONE PAGE
4	States:	4	Examination by Ms. St. Peter-Griffith 009
5	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	5	Examination by Mr. Anderson 279
6	CIVIL DIVISION	6	·
7	MS. ANN ST. PETER-GRIFFITH	7	
8	99 N.E. 4th Street	8	EXHIBITS
9	Miami, Florida 33132	9	NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
10	Phone: (305) 961-9003	10	Exhibit Leone 001-ABT-DOJ 319883 - 984 and
11	Email: ann.stpeter-griffith@usdoj.gov	11	ABT-DOJ319889 157
12		12	Exhibit Leone 002-ABT-DOJ 303226 - 245 159
13	On behalf of the State of California:	13	Exhibit Leone 003-ABT-DOJ 3180124 171
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	14	Exhibit Leone 004-ABT-DOJ 321673 - 674 181
15	BUREAU OF MEDI-CAL FRAUD & ELDER ABUSE	15	Exhibit Leone 005-ABT-DOJ 319885 185
16	MR. ELISEO SISNEROS	16	Exhibit Leone 006-ABT-DOJ 320059 - 060 191
17	110 West A Street	17	Exhibit Leone 007-ABT-DOJ 317622 - 635 199
18	Suite 1100	18	Exhibit Leone 008-ABT-DOJ 324178 and
19	San Diego, California 92101	19	ABT-DOJ 324166 - 175 205
20	Phone: (619) 688-6043	20	Exhibit Leone 009-Coram 0451 215
21	Email: eliseo.sisneros@doj.ca.gov	21	Exhibit Leone 010-Coram 0452 - 460 215
22		22	Exhibit Leone 011-LNHQ-05157 230
	Page 3		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)	1	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED)
2		2	NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
3	On behalf of the Relator Ven-A-Care of the	3	Exhibit Leone 012-ABT-DOJ-E 0193744 233
4	Florida Keys, Inc.:	4	Exhibit Leone 013-ABT-DOJ-E 0012023 and
5	ANDERSON, LLC	5	ABT-DOJ-E 0012099 237
6	MR. C. JARRETT ANDERSON	6	Exhibit Leone 014-ABT-DOJ 0201419 - 420 249
7	208 West 14th Street	7	Exhibit Leone 015-ABT-DOJ 0173355 - 356 253
8	Suite 3-B	8	Exhibit Leone 016-ABT-DOJ 0377119 - 164 332
9	Austin, Texas 78701	9	Exhibit Leone 017-ABT-DOJ 0377165 - 201 332
10	Phone: (512) 469-9191		Exhibit Leone 018-Harling 000035 - 047 281
11	Email: jarrett@anderson-llc.com		Exhibit Leone 019-TXTABT-E 0065052 - 067 300
12		12	Exhibit Leone 020-ABT AWP/MDL 197141 - 162 315
13	On behalf of Abbott Laboratories and the	13	Exhibit Leone 021-ABT AWP/MDL 143317 336
14	Deponent:	14	Exhibit Leone 022-BR 02495 356
15	JONES DAY	15	Exhibit Leone 023-BR 02418 361
16	MS. TONI-ANN CITERA	16	Exhibit Leone 024-BR 02422 365
17	222 East 41st Street	17	
18	New York, New York 10017	18	
19	Phone: (212) 326-3939	19	
20	Email: tcitera@jonesday.com	20	
21	ALGO PREGENTE	21	
22	ALSO PRESENT: Anthony Micheletto, Videographer	22	

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

	Page 6		Page 8
1	PROCEEDINGS	1	MR. ANDERSON: Okay, Ann.
2	TROCEEDINGS	2	MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. Toni,
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Anthony	3	before we get started with questioning, I'd just
4	Micheletto representing Henderson Legal Services.	4	like to get on the record that now the Texas
5	I am the operator of this camera. This is the	5	deposition is concluded and now we're we've
6	videotaped deposition of Lynn Leone as being	6	moved on to the federal portion, so can we
7	taken pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil	7	operate Do you have an objection to operating
8	Procedure on behalf of the plaintiff.	8	under the Federal Rules?
9	We are on the record on January 17th,	9	MS. CITERA: No.
10	2008. The time is 9:05 a.m. as indicated on the	10	MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. Good
11	video screen. We are at the offices of Jones	11	morning, Ms. Leone.
12		12	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
13	, <u>U</u>	13	MR. ANDERSON: Can I interject one
14	Pharmaceutical Industry, Average Wholesale Price	14	thing, Ann?
15	Litigation, Case No. 01-12257-PBS.	15	MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Sure.
16		16	MR. ANDERSON: When you say the federa
17	J. I	17	deposition, you mean both cases pending in the
18		18	MDL, both the California case and the United
19		19	States case?
20		20	MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Correct, yes.
21	Griffith on behalf of the United States.	21	I meant a reference to AWP/MDL litigation.
22		22	MR. ANDERSON: Right, thank you.
	Page 7		Page 9
1	Attorney General, State of California.	1	WHEREUPON:
2	MS. CITERA: Toni Citera for the	2	LYNN E. LEONE,
3	defendant.	3	called as a witness herein, having been first
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter	4	duly sworn, was examined and testified as
5	is Rachel Gard from Henderson Legal Services of	5	follows:
6	Washington, D.C. Please swear in the witness.	6	
7	(Witness sworn.)	7	EXAMINATION
8	MR. ANDERSON: Good morning, Ms. Leone.	8	BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
9	THE WITNESS: Good morning.	9	Q. Good morning, Ms. Leone.
10	MR. ANDERSON: You realize this is a	10	A. Good morning.
11	continuation of a prior deposition that you've	11	Q. Ma'am, we've had some time pass between
12	given in this matter?	12	when you last sat down for your deposition. And
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.	13	I wanted to inquire of you what you've done to
14		14	prepare for today's reconvened deposition.
15	questions, I believe, at the time that the prior	15	A. I met with Toni Citera yesterday, and
16	questioning was concluded for the day. And at	16	we had a conference call on Monday morning. And
17	this point, I'm going to pass the witness. And	17	I reviewed my deposition from last summer and the
18	depending on how the questions go for the	18	exhibits that went along with it.
19	remainder of the day, I may or may not have	19	Q. And when you met with Ms. Citera, was
	additional questions for you toward the end of	20	anyone else with you?
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	the day.	21	A. For part of the time, there was in-

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 10 Page 12 Q. And do you recall the name of that in-A. No, other than Ms. Citera and Abbott 1 1 2 house counsel? 2 counsel when they sent me the deposition and then 3 A. Yes. It was Royce Bedward. 3 Royce from Hospira. Q. Okay. And is that in-house counsel for 4 Q. Okay. Who did -- Did you speak with 4 Abbott or in-house counsel for Hospira? 5 5 someone at Abbott in-house counsel? A. In-house counsel for Hospira. A. Not about the deposition per se. Just 6 6 about reviewing the deposition, and that's pretty 7 Q. And I apologize. I know I frequently 7 mispronounce Hospira. You can correct me, much -- that was pretty much it. 8 8 please. 9 9 Q. Okay. So when the deposition 10 A. Okay. 10 transcript was forwarded to you, it was forwarded Q. Did you meet with anybody else? by someone in-house at Abbott? 11 11 12 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. Other than conversations with 13 Q. Approximately how much time did you 14 spend meeting with Ms. Citera? 14 counsel -- So then other than conversations with A. For two hours on Monday morning and for 15 15 counsel or communications with counsel, you 16 about six hours yesterday. haven't spoken with anyone else about your 16 Q. And the two hours was the telephonic 17 deposition or about the subject matter of this 17 lawsuit? 18 conference you referenced? 18 19 A. Yes. 19 A. No. 20 Q. Okay. And was anybody else on that 2.0 Q. Okay. You referenced at your first 21 telephonic conference? deposition that you did have a conversation with Mike Sellers. Do you recall giving that 22 A. No. Page 11 Page 13 testimony? 1 Q. Other than reviewing your deposition 1 transcript and the exhibits, did you review any 2 2 A. Yes. 3 3 other documents? Q. Okay. What did you discuss with him? 4 A. Ms. Citera showed me a couple of pages 4 A. He asked me a couple of questions. I 5 out of the case management training manual from think this was -- I think he was asking me Home Infusion Services. 6 questions in relationship to the deposition that 6 7 Q. Do you have a copy of those documents? 7 he was going to give as the 30(b), or whatever 8 that is, something (b). 8 MS. CITERA: They were produced by 9 9 Q. 30(b)(6) witness? Bruce Rodman. A. Yes, the 30(b)(6) witness. There were 10 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. Which 10 a couple of questions. I don't remember what we 11 pages? Do you have them? 11 12 MS. CITERA: Oh, I don't have them with 12 talked about. I don't remember what those 13 specific questions were that he asked me. 13 me. 14 14 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Toni, can you Q. Okay. Do you recall whether they pertained to Abbott or Hospira -- Hospira? 15 just at some point email me the Bates numbers of 15 16 A. I believe they were Abbott questions. 16 those pages? 17 MS. CITERA: Sure. 17 Q. Okay. Do you know whether they pertained to Abbott HPD or Abbott Alt Site more 18 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 18 19 Q. Ma'am, since your -- the last time we 19 specifically? Do you remember the general 20 20 were together at your deposition, have you had subject matter? A. I believe they were Alternate Site 21 any conversations with anyone concerning your 21 questions, but that was -- that's as far as my deposition or the subject matter of the lawsuit? 22

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 14 Page 16 going to say. A couple of years ago, I was asked recollection goes. 2 Q. Okay. Do you recall what responses you 2 to help pull some flow charts of individuals; and 3 gave to him? that's -- that was a couple of years ago, just to 4 A. No, because if I remembered the 4 identify the people within Hospira who might have 5 questions, I'd probably remember what I them. And that's as involved as I ever got in 6 responded. 6 that, and that was at the request of counsel. 7 7 Q. Okay. Did those flow charts include Q. Okay. Were you able to respond to the questions that he asked? Or did he ask you 8 the identification of sales force individuals? 8 9 9 questions and you just didn't know the answer or A. It had their names on it. 10 couldn't remember the answer? 10 Q. Okay. And that was a couple years ago? 11 A. I don't recall what we talked about. I 11 A. Yeah, that was in relation to pulling 12 mean, that's how blank my memory is on that. 12 some data. Q. Okay. Other than it may have pertained 13 13 Q. Okay. And where did you pull that data 14 to Alt Site? 14 from? 15 15 A. Right. A. I went to the various organizations to ask them if they had those organizational flow 16 Q. Okay. Ma'am, have you had any other 16 conversations with anyone other than counsel charts. And then I forwarded them on to Abbott 17 17 18 concerning this lawsuit at all either before your 18 who I believe forwarded them on to Jones Day. 19 deposition or -- we've covered after, but before 19 Q. When you say those organizations, what your deposition? 2.0 do you mean? Within Hospira or at Abbott? 20 21 A. No. 21 A. They were old flow charts, old 22 organization charts from Abbott days. 22 Q. Okay. Has anyone asked you to help Page 15 Page 17 identify Alternate Site sales force individuals 1 Q. From, I'm sorry, Abbott what? or individuals who served on Abbott's Alt Site A. From when we were a part of Abbott 2 3 3 sales force? Laboratories. A. No. 4 4 Q. Okay. Did you pull that information, 5 though, from Abbott records at an Abbott Q. Do you know whether there are Alt Site 6 or former Alt Site sales force members who are 6 facility; or did you pull them from Abbott 7 7 currently Hospira employees? records at a Hospira facility? 8 8 A. Yes, there are. A. Abbott records at a Hospira facility. 9 Q. Okay. Do you know whether Hospira has 9 Q. Have you been involved -- Have you been sort of Hospira or company-wide email with any pulling of records at Hospira that are 10 10 11 old Abbott records other than those particular 11 capabilities? 12 12 A. Yes, there is. flow charts? 13 Q. Do you ever recall seeing any email 13 MS. CITERA: Again, I'm just going to inquiry or hearing about any inquiry of Hospira 14 14 caution you not to reveal any conversations. You 15 employees as to whether or not someone or -- as 15 can answer the question that's posed to you. to the identification of former Alt Site sales BY THE WITNESS: 16 16 17 force members? 17 A. Okay. When -- When we have been 18 18 requested by counsel to pull any type of MS. CITERA: I'm just going to caution 19 you not to reveal any discussions with counsel 19 information, I have been the key person that's that you may have had. 20 20 been contacted to get in touch with the 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 appropriate individuals to pull that data. 22 A. Okay. Because that was what I was just 22 Q. Okay. So let's break this out a little

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 18 Page 20 bit. How many times have you been contacted to information. I have -- You know, I have some 2 pull information? 2 files with all of the information; but I don't 3 3 A. I don't know how many times. I would know how many times those requests came through. 4 guess that whenever there was data that needed to 4 Q. Okay. What did you do once you 5 received a request for information? be pulled, I was the one who ended up being contacted to pull it. So I mean, I can't tell 6 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 6 7 you how many times because I don't remember. 7 BY THE WITNESS: 8 Q. Okay. Well, when did the requests 8 A. I would try to determine who might have 9 9 first start coming in? access to the information that was being 10 A. Several years ago. I can't say how far 10 requested, contact that organization, and ask back, but several years ago. them or that group or if it was, you know, the 11 11 12 Q. And would you receive copies of the product and price data, I would get in touch with production requests from the United States? 13 our IT department and ask them to start pulling 13 14 A. Yes. 14 the data. 15 15 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Q. Okay. Let's start with your IT department. Who would you contact at your IT 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 17 department? 17 A. Oh. Yes, I would for the information 18 that was pertaining to what they were asking us 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 19 to get. 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 2.0 Q. Okay. And how many times do you recall A. I would most frequently contact Nancy 21 receiving requests for production from the United 21 Carlson, and we would work together to determine 22 the appropriate individuals -- excuse me -- the 22 States? Page 19 Page 21 A. You know, I don't remember when it was 1 1 appropriate individuals to start gathering the 2 2 information for the United States or whether it data. 3 3 was information for Texas. I just would take the O. Okay. And who would some of those 4 requests and see what I could do about finding 4 individuals be? 5 5 the data and -- so that we could pull it. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 6 Q. Okay. And you can't quantify how many 6 BY THE WITNESS: 7 7 times? Just can you ballpark? Was it more than A. There was one person on her team who 8 was the main contact. That was Anna Mukkada. 8 25? 9 9 Q. Can you spell that, please? MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 10 A. MUKKADA. And then most 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 A. You know, I really don't know how many 11 frequently we were subcontracting pulling the 12 12 data out to another company which I believe was times. 13 13 offshore. And they would actually pull the data, Q. Well, you can't even ballpark it? I 14 14 mean, approximately how frequently did you pull the data for us, send it back to me, I'd 15 receive them? Once a month? Once a week? 15 review it, a sample of it to make sure it was what was being requested. Then they'd pull the MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 16 16 17 BY THE WITNESS: 17 final data, and we would get all of the discs 18 18 together. And then I would get them to Abbott to A. Well, certainly not once a month. I 19 would just say whenever those requests came in 19 forward on to all of you. 20 20 and they were looking for information related to Q. Okay. And what was the name of that

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

21 22 offshore company?

A. Cognizant.

202-220-4158

HPD, I was the person who was contacted. And I

would try to determine where to go to get that

21

22

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 22 Page 24 Q. And where are they based? and when was it completed? 1 2 A. I think they're in India, but I'm not 2 A. The Abbott or the Hospira? 3 3 Q. Let's start with the Abbott. sure. 4 Q. And would they be provided with access 4 A. I think the last time we pulled sales -5 to Hospira's databases? 5 - sales information for Abbott was a year, year MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 6 and a half ago. But I can't -- I mean, I can't 6 7 BY THE WITNESS: 7 remember the exact dates. 8 A. They had access to the historical 8 O. Okay. And when -- What's the time 9 9 information for both Abbott and the current frame for the Abbott data that you have, the 10 Hospira information. 10 Abbott computer data that you have access to? 11 Q. Okay. And what requests did they 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 12 fulfill that you can recall, what data requests? 12 BY THE WITNESS: 13 A. All of the data requests that we've had 13 A. I'm not sure how far back it goes. I 14 for pulling indirect sales with all of the 14 know that since all of the -- this litigation has charge-back information, all of the wholesaler 15 started, nothing has been purged. So whatever we 15 had at that point when all of that started, we've 16 information; as part of Hospira, the information 16 that we pulled for Texas for the Hospira direct 17 not purged anything. So however far back that 17 went for the indirect data, we have that 18 and indirect sales since we became Hospira. And 18 19 those I think are the most recent requests. 19 information as far as I know. 20 2.0 Those are the ones that I remember, obviously Q. And that data that you have is the 21 have the greatest recollection of. 21 former Hospital Products Division data? Q. Okay. Other than the charge-back info, 22 A. The former Hospital Products Division 22 Page 23 Page 25 the wholesaler info, and the Hospira direct and indirect sales data. 2 2 indirect sales, any other data requests that were Q. Indirect sales data? 3 made to Cognizant at your direction? 3 A. Yes. 4 4 A. Any other -- Any other requests that Q. Do you have any other data? 5 we've received to pull sales data went through MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 6 that process. And, you know, I'm talking about 6 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 7 going back several years. So I can't remember 7 Q. Does the Hospital Products Division all of the different data requests we received. 8 8 have any other computer -- I'm sorry. 9 9 But any one of them that we did receive went Does Hospira have any other historical computer data that is HPD computer information? 10 through that process. 10 11 Q. When was the -- You indicated that the 11 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 12 Hospira request was the last request. When did 12 BY THE WITNESS: 13 13 A. I don't know the answer to that. I that come in? 14 14 think that's probably a question that would have A. Last summer. Q. And when was it -- When did Cognizant to be directed to our IT department. I'm not 15 15 sure what -- what all we have in our files versus 16 complete the job? 16 17 A. I believe we completed that in August. 17 what Abbott has. I believe that's when we provided the data for 18 Q. Well, when you initiate a request, what 18 19 19 do you request? that. 20 20 Q. Okay. And what about the indirect MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 21 sales, the charge-back and wholesaler 21 BY THE WITNESS: information? When did you receive that request, 22 A. It depends what's being requested. The

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 26 Page 28 majority of the requests we've received have been email files, whether Hospira has any historical 2 for sales data, and I've just kind of explained HPD email files? to you the sales data process. But I'm not sure 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. about any other data. I know when we -- when we 4 BY THE WITNESS: 5 received the requests for the flow -- the A. Again, I don't know the answer to that organizational flow charts, I went to the various 6 question. 6 organizations that we were requested to provide 7 7 Q. Let me ask you, you were an Abbott HPD that information for and they forwarded on what 8 employee prior to January 1, 2002, right? 8 9 9 they had. A. Yes, I was. 10 Q. Okay. Do you know how complete that 10 Q. Did you utilize email? information was concerning the sales force? 11 A. Yes, I did. 11 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 12 Q. Okay. How frequently? BY THE WITNESS: A. I think like everyone, we all have been 13 13 14 A. No. I don't. 14 using email more and more over the last few years. So I would guess that was a good piece of 15 15 Q. Okay. Do you have any sense of whether you obtained, you know, a less significant amount the way people communicated and I communicated 16 16 or a large percentage of the information 17 with other people. 17 concerning the sales force? 18 18 Q. Okay. So in 2001, is it fair to say 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 19 that you used it with some frequency? BY THE WITNESS: 2.0 A. Yes. 2.0 21 A. No, I don't. 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 22 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 22 Q. Okay. Do you know whether the computer Page 27 Page 29 information, the historical computer information 1 Q. Okay. What about 2000? 2 for Hospital Products Division that -- Well, let 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: me ask you this: Why is the historical -- Why is 3 3 4 the request for the historical data or computer 4 Q. Did you use email with some frequency? 5 information for Hospital Products Division being A. Yes, I would say I did. 6 directed to someone at Hospira? 6 Q. What about in '99? Did you use email 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 7 with some frequency? 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 9 A. I don't know -- I don't know that. I BY THE WITNESS: know that when they're looking for information 10 10 A. I would say yes. that Abbott doesn't have, they ask me if I can 11 Q. What about '98? Did you use email with 11 12 see what I can find. 12 some frequency? 13 Q. Okay. Well, do you have a sense as to 13 MS. CITERA: Same objection. 14 what -- Well, let me ask you: Is Hospira 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 maintaining computer records that contain Abbott 15 A. We first had -- or I first had access historical information? to email in 1992, and it was very limited. As 16 16 17 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 17 the years went on, it was used more frequently. 18 Q. Okay. But in '98, were you using it 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 A. I can only respond to the sales data 19 with some frequency? 20 that I know that we've been keeping. Anything 20 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. else, I'm not -- I can't answer the question. 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 Q. Okay. Do you know whether you have any 22 22 A. Yes.

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 30 Page 32 Q. Were you using it daily? BY THE WITNESS: 1 2 A. Yes. 2 A. I would say, again, probably 25 would 3 Q. Okay. Were you using it daily in 1999 3 be the max per day. through 2001? 4 Q. Okay. Is that true for '92 as well? 4 5 A. Yes. 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. Q. Approximately how many times a day? 6 BY THE WITNESS: 6 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 7 A. Probably less than for '92. BY THE WITNESS: 8 8 Q. Okay. Is that just because you just 9 9 A. I can't say how many emails I got or started on the system? 10 how many emails I wrote. 10 A. Yes. Q. Was it a lot on a daily basis? 11 Q. Okay. Ma'am, you received the 11 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 12 litigation hold memos -- or let me ask you --BY THE WITNESS: Strike that. 13 13 14 A. I don't know what you would consider a 14 Did you receive any litigation hold 15 15 lot. memos from Abbott's legal department when you were an Abbott employee? 16 16 Q. More than 50? 17 A. I was just going to say, probably 17 A. Yes. 18 around 50. 18 Q. When was the first year that you can 19 Q. Okay. What about in '97, '96 and '97? 19 recall receiving a litigation hold memo? Were you using email with some frequency when you 20 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 were an HPD employee? 21 BY THE WITNESS: MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 22 22 A. I cannot recall what year that was. I Page 31 Page 33 BY THE WITNESS: just know that whenever -- when we received them, 1 that's when we started holding files and not --2 A. I was using it on a daily basis. 2 Probably the numbers at that point were below 50 3 and keeping them. 3 4 4 Q. Okay. And do you recall whether or not a dav. 5 5 you deleted emails if you -- if you received a Q. What about in '94 -- Well, if it's 6 below 50 a day, can you guesstimate how -- Or I 6 litigation hold request? don't want you to guess actually. Do you have a 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 7 recollection as to the general frequency that you 8 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 used it on a daily basis? 9 A. After we received the litigation hold request, we didn't -- I don't recall deleting 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 BY THE WITNESS: emails after that fact. 11 12 A. As I said, as the years went on, there 12 Q. Okay. was more communication through email. So I would A. And I believe that our IT department 13 say it was less than 50. Maybe somewhere between 14 was keeping all of them and backing everything up 14 25 and 40 -every day so that there was a record of them, of 15 15 16 Q. Okay. 16 all emails. 17 A. -- a day. 17 Q. Okay. Ma'am, do you know whether the O. For the '95/96 time frame? historical data, any of the historical computer 18 18 data at Hospira includes some of that backed up A. Yes. 19 19 20 Q. Okay. What about for the '94 -- '93 20 email information? and '94 time frame? 21 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 22 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 22 BY THE WITNESS:

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 34 Page 36 A. I don't know whether it does or it Q. Okay. And have you had any 1 1 2 2 conversations with Mr. Carlin concerning whether doesn't. 3 Q. Have you ever checked? he's received requests? 4 A. No. 4 A. No, I have not. 5 5 Q. Okay. Do you know whether anyone else MS. CITERA: Objection, form. at Hospira has checked? BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 6 7 A. No, I do not. 7 Q. Okay. I'd like to go back to where we 8 8 were before. Other than the sales data Q. Is it fair to say that if an inquiry 9 9 came in concerning this litigation and the need information and the more recent Hospira 10 for data or information, that you would be the information, do you recall any other computer 10 person who would receive it? 11 information that you have requested that the IT 11 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 12 staff pull incident to any litigation? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 13 BY THE WITNESS: 13 14 A. I have been the main contact for a lot 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 of the requests. I don't know whether I've been 15 A. As I recall, the majority of -- the information -- the information that I have worked the main contact for all of the requests. 16 16 17 Q. Okay. Do you know who else might have with our IT department to pull has been the sales 17 18 been a contact for the requests? 18 data that we've talked about. 19 A. For something like the IT information 19 Q. Okay. of emails, that probably would have gone directly 2.0 A. Yeah. 20 to our IT department and not come to me. 21 Q. How long does it take to process a Q. Okay. Would that -- Would that go to 22 request? 22 Page 35 Page 37 Nancy Carlson, then? 1 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 2 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 3 3 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Let me clarify. How long does it take 4 A. I'm not sure if Nancy would be the 4 -- would it take to process a request that you 5 right person for that. Nancy is the right person would make, take to the IT department concerning 6 for all the sales data. I'm not sure who it 6 getting some of this historical computer would go -- who a request for all the email 7 7 information from Abbott, from the Abbott file? 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 8 files, et cetera, would go to. 9 Q. Okay. Well, if you received a request, 9 BY THE WITNESS: who would you go to at Hospira --10 10 A. I would guess the average amount of 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. time has been six -- six weeks or so. 11 Q. Okay. That's -- Do you recall making 12 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 12 Q. -- to seek out the information in the any other requests -- I just want to exhaust your 13 13 14 historical Abbott files? 14 memory on this. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 15 15 Do you recall making any other requests to your IT department for information related to 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 17 A. If I received that request, I would 17 the AWP litigation that we haven't discussed so probably go to the head of our IT department and 18 18 far? 19 ask who the right person was to receive that. 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 20 20 Q. And who's the head of your IT BY THE WITNESS: 21 department? 21 A. No, I don't. I don't recall any other 22 A. Mike Carlin. 22 instances.

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 38 Page 40 1 A. In the case of the Alt Site 1 Q. Okay. Now, let's jump back a little bit. You said that you would determine once you 2 2 organization charts, I went to whoever was -- who received a request where you would need to go to -- the individual the general manager who was in 4 4 request it. Is that fair to say? charge of Alt Site. I went to the marketing 5 5 A. Correct. managers and, in most cases, they would have 6 6 Q. Okay. Other than the IT department, their administrative assistants pull whatever 7 who would you go to to seek information? 7 organizational charts they had on file. 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 8 Q. Okay. Would those be in the current 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: Hospira files? 10 A. Well, for instance, when we received 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. those requests for the organizational flow BY THE WITNESS: 11 11 12 charts, they were requests for flow charts not 12 A. Whatever Abbott information was still just for the Alternate Site sales force but there 13 in those current Hospira files is what they pulled for me. 14 were requests in that included for the marketing 14 15 15 organizations and -- I think it was mostly the Q. Okay. Let me ask you, at Hospira, do 16 marketing organizations. And so I went to the 16 you have any hard files, paper files that are 17 various organizations and asked them to get me 17 former -- that were formerly HPD Abbott files? 18 copies of any of the org charts that they had on 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 19 file, and that was essentially what I did. So to 19 BY THE WITNESS: 2.0 each one of those marketing organizations. 20 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. 21 Q. Let me ask you, in terms of determining 21 Q. Okay. The -- Let me rephrase it, then. 22 what was responsive to the request, who would be Do you know whether when you would ask Page 39 Page 41 that requests be made if anyone searched any -- who would be the person making that 2 determination? Would it be you, or would you 2 noncurrent Hospira files? have somebody telling you what information you 3 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 4 needed to look for? 4 BY THE WITNESS: 5 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. A. Well, if I was asking them to pull data 6 BY THE WITNESS: 6 from our Abbott days, then they were pulling from 7 7 A. I believe that the majority of the files that preceded our becoming Hospira. 8 8 requests as they came to us from Abbott, they Q. And where are those files maintained? 9 were telling me what they needed. And I was 9 A. Each organization has their own file 10 trying to pull the data based on those requests. 10 areas. 11 Q. Okay. How would you know that you got 11 The other thing, and I didn't even 12 it all? 12 think about this, the other piece of information 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 13 that I've taken the lead on is whenever anybody 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 has asked for copies of all of our contracts and 15 A. I could only trust that when I asked 15 our Contract Marketing files; and we have that individuals to give me everything they had that file history in our Contract Marketing department 16 16 17 they were doing that. 17 or in our central records area. So we've also --18 Q. Okay. And who were the individuals in 18 we also have all of that information, and we've 19 particular that you would contact to pull 19 pulled that. I just thought of it now, and I 20 20 information? didn't want to leave that out. 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 21 Q. Okay. The -- Well, are the former HPD 22 BY THE WITNESS: 22 files maintained as part of Hospira's current

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 42 Page 44 organization, and you looked for the Alt Site file system? 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 2 sales force. What other document searches have 3 BY THE WITNESS: 3 you done? 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 4 A. For contract files for contracts that 5 5 expired when we were a part of Abbott, Abbott has BY THE WITNESS: all of those files. The current files we have A. Those are all -- Those are all the 6 6 7 for contracts are contracts that may have been 7 document searches I can recall being involved in. initiated when we were a part of Abbott but 8 Q. Okay. And do you remember which 8 9 became Hospira contract files, and so Hospira is 9 general managers and who these particular 10 maintaining those files. marketing managers were that you spoke with in 10 11 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that if a looking for this information? A. I believe I spoke with Sean O'Donnell 12 contract was closed, closed out, and the contract 12 for Alternate Site -- Actually, I believe in that was no longer in place that at the time of the 13 14 spin, those particular files stayed at Abbott; 14 case, I went directly to his administrative 15 15 but if there was an ongoing contractual assistant. obligation, those files went with Hospira at the 16 16 Q. And who is that? time of the spin? 17 A. Patricia Castor. 17 18 A. Correct. 18 Q. And then was Ms. Castor then 19 Q. Okay. Other than the Contract 19 responsible for identifying and pulling the Marketing files, what other files does Hospira 20 responsive documents? 2.0 have that are former HPD files? 21 A. Yes, she pulled what she could find. MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 22 And I believe Sean was aware she was doing that 22 Page 43 Page 45 BY THE WITNESS: based on the requests that I had given. So it 1 2 A. I don't know. I can only -- I can only 2 was at -- I mean, Sean was aware; and they were speak to what I know about the Contract Marketing pulling it for -- I believe that we went to the 3 3 4 files. I'm not sure what any other organization 4 Specialty Pharmaceutical Group which at that time 5 has done or where their files went when we spun reported to Tom Moore. 6 off from Abbott. 6 Q. When you say "at the time," do you 7 7 recall when approximately that was? Q. Okay. When you say "any other organization," what are you talking about? 8 A. It was a couple of years ago when we 8 9 A. Like the marketing organizations. We 9 received those requests for those org charts. were talking about them a few minutes ago. Or Q. Do you recall going to anybody else 10 10 any other -- any other departments within other than Mr. Moore and Ms. Castor and Mr. 11 11 12 Hospira. 12 O'Donnell's department? 13 13 A. I'm trying to remember if there was Q. Okay. But -- Well, did anyone else 14 14 make requests of them for documents responsive to anybody else, but I think that -- I think they 15 discovery requests in the AWP litigation other 15 were the individuals I went to, went to. 16 16 than you? Q. Okay. And how many times did you go to 17 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 17 them? BY THE WITNESS: 18 18 A. I made the initial request and kept in 19 A. I don't know. 19 contact with them until they were able to find --20 Q. Okay. You said -- You indicated that 20 until they got to me whatever they could find in the way of the data. you went to the Contract Marketing files. You 21 also spoke with individuals within the marketing 22 Q. Okay. Did anyone like a lawyer or any

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 46 Page 48 other -- a paralegal supervise the pulling of the within Hospira's custody and control? documents by Ms. Castor and somebody in Mr. 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 2 3 Moore's department? 3 BY THE WITNESS: 4 A. I believe the paralegals that we were 4 A. Are you asking whether Abbott has working with at Abbott knew who I contacted 5 Hospira files or whether the same information because I kept them apprised of where they were 6 that Hospira may have in the historical files, 6 7 in the process. 7 does Abbott have copies of it? 8 Q. Okay. So they knew who you had 8 Q. Actually, that's a fair distinction. What I was asking was -- Well, first, do you know 9 9 contacted. But it was up to the secretary or the 10 administrative assistant and somebody in Mr. 10 whether Abbott has copies of that information? Moore's department to actually pull the 11 A. I don't know whether Abbott has copies 11 12 documents? 12 of that information. 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 13 Q. Okay. Does Abbott have access or a way 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 to access that computer information other than making a request of you? 15 A. Yeah. As I understand it, that was the 15 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 16 process that we followed. 16 17 Q. Okay. How do you know they didn't miss 17 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 18 anything? 18 Q. Or someone at Hospira? 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 19 A. Abbott cannot -- Abbott does not have BY THE WITNESS: 2.0 access to any of Hospira's records or files since 2.0 21 A. I don't know that they did. All I can 21 we became Hospira. do is, you know, say that I asked them to pull Q. Okay. So if Abbott, then, did not keep 22 Page 47 Page 49 everything that they could find, and that's what copies of your computer information, of your they said they did. 2 2 historical computer -- like, for example, the sales data, et cetera, the searches that you had 3 Q. Okay. Ma'am, in talking about this a 4 little bit more, do you have any -- do you have a 4 run, then the only place to look for it is at --5 better recollection as to how many requests you is within Hospira's historic HPD records; is that 6 6 fair? responded to? 7 7 A. No --MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 BY THE WITNESS: 9 A. I don't think that's accurate. I 10 A. No, because there have been so many 10 believe that based on receiving all of the different requests over the years that I just -requests that we've received, that Abbott has 11 11 I don't recall, you know, all of the requests kept all of the historical HPD data that was when 13 13 we were HPD. that we've received. 14 14 Q. Does Abbott have access to its Q. Okay. 15 historical computer information that is currently 15 A. I believe that they would have that in Hospira's possession, custody, and control? simply because of the requests and having to keep 16 16 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 17 17 everything. I can't imagine that they -- and I 18 guess I'm speculating when I'm saying that, so 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 A. Could you ask that question again? 19 20 20 Q. Sure. Q. Well, you knew, for example, that they had litigation hold memos, right? 21 Does Abbott have access to the 21 22 historical computer information that is in --22 A. Right, yes. And that's why I'm making

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 50 Page 52 -- That's why I guess I'm speculating that they MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 1 have all that information. 2 BY THE WITNESS: 3 Q. Do you know why, then, they would make 3 A. Is what possible? the request of you or of Hospira? 4 Q. Is it possible that Hospira currently 4 has former HPD files that Abbott did not retain 5 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. BY THE WITNESS: 6 6 or retain copies of? 7 A. No, I don't know why. 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 8 8 O. Okay. BY THE WITNESS: 9 9 A. Again, I don't know the answer to that A. I know that for the indirect sales 10 data, Abbott does not have the indirect sales 10 because I don't know, as I said a couple minutes data. Hospira has all of it. ago, when we did the spin, what it was determined 11 11 12 Q. Do you know why at the time of the that was going to stay in the Hospira files 12 spin, given that there's a litigation hold memo, versus the Abbott files. 13 13 why Abbott wouldn't preserve that? 14 14 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, other than MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 15 the administrative assistants, Ms. Castor, and 15 BY THE WITNESS: 16 somebody -- Well, first let me ask you -- Strike 16 A. I don't know why Hospira has that data 17 17 that question. 18 and not Abbott. 18 Do you know who in Mr. Moore's unit did 19 Q. Well, was there any discussion at the 19 the searching for documents? 20 time of the spin that you can recall about what 2.0 A. I don't recall. information needed to be preserved incident to 21 Q. Other than Mr. Moore's unit and Ms. the litigation hold memo? Castor, do you recall any -- someone from Mr. Page 51 Page 53 MS. CITERA: I'm going to object to the 1 Moore's unit and Ms. Castor, do you recall anyone 2 form. I'm also going to caution you not to 2 else searching for Abbott or Hospira documents reveal any conversations with counsel. 3 pursuant to a request that you made? 3 A. And, again, my request when I went to 4 BY THE WITNESS: 4 5 5 Sean O'Donnell and Tom Moore was strictly related A. I don't know how the decisions were 6 made when we did the spin regarding what 6 to organizational charts. So I don't know about 7 7 requests for any other documents. And I can't information Hospira was going to maintain and what information Abbott was going to maintain. recall -- I don't believe I spoke with anybody 8 8 So I wasn't involved in those discussions. 9 9 else about organizational charts. Q. Okay. Other than the organizational 10 Q. Okay. Who was, do you know? 10 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 charts, have you asked anyone to search for any 12 noncomputer records? 12 BY THE WITNESS: 13 A. No. Except --A. No, I don't. 13 14 14 Q. Okay. So the only searches, then, Q. Does Hospira currently maintain any other computer or hard copy files that you're you've done are searches -- searches you've 15 15 aware of that are former Abbott HPD files that requested are searches of the computer 16 16 information, which included Hospira information 17 Abbott does not have copies of? 17 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. as well as historic Abbott information, and then 18 18 19 BY THE WITNESS: you've asked for searches of the hard copies of 20 A. I can't answer the question. I don't 20 the org charts; is that fair? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 21 21 know. 22 Q. Okay. Is it possible? 22 BY THE WITNESS:

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 54 Page 56 A. Yes, and the contract files from know, this sort of community effort of pulling 1 2 Contract Marketing. 2 hundreds of contracts occur? 3 Q. Okay. And who did you make the request A. I actually think we've pulled contract for the search of Contract Marketing files to? files several times. I mean, I think we pulled 4 4 A. I was in Contract Marketing at the files in the late '90s and we pulled again in the 2002/2003 time frame. And I think we've pulled time, so I actually directed the requests to the 6 6 7 people in our file room to pull files. 7 some files since then also. 8 Q. Okay. And who did you make that 8 Q. When you say "since then," when 9 9 request to? specifically? 10 A. It was actually Jeri Parkhanan. She's 10 A. I'm thinking -the supervisor in charge of the file room. 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 11 12 Q. Okay. Anybody else? 12 BY THE WITNESS: A. Well, and her boss, Joe Brunza. 13 13 A. I'm thinking maybe in the last two Q. Okay. Anybody else? 14 14 years we've pulled some additional contract A. No, but we really marked as an 15 15 files. organization to pull all of the files. I mean, 16 16 Q. When was the last time that you pulled 17 there was a group effort within Contract 17 contract files? Marketing to pull copies of all the contracts 18 18 A. I think it's, like, 2005 time frame. 19 that we could find for all of the customers based 19 Q. Okay. So since 2005, you haven't 2.0 on the requests we received. 20 pulled any contract files? 21 Q. Okay. And do you recall which 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 22 contracts you pulled? 22 BY THE WITNESS: Page 55 Page 57 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 1 A. Not that I recall. 1 2 BY THE WITNESS: 2 Q. Okay. And when you say that you've 3 pulled some in the late '90s and the 2002 to 2003 A. There were hundreds of contracts that time period, I'm assuming you mean when you were 4 4 we pulled. 5 5 with HPD? Q. Okay. And do you recall the nature of 6 the contracts that you pulled? 6 A. Yes. 7 7 MS. CITERA: Objection. O. At Abbott? 8 A. Yes. 8 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 9 Q. Were they Alt Site? Were they Home 9 Q. And why did you pull those files in the late '90s and in 2002 and 2003? 10 Infusion? 10 11 11 A. I believe that's when we started to A. They were Alt Site and then hospital 12 receive the original requests for files for all 12 contracts that -- where there could have been Alternate Site sales based on that organization of the litigation, and we were pulling those 13 files in response to those requests. 14 having an entity that could have been in the 14 Q. And who did you give those files to? 15 nonhospital market, including the major group 15 purchasing organizations. 16 A. We gave them to Abbott. 16 Q. When you say "Abbott," you mean Abbott 17 Q. Okay. And who set that criteria? 17 A. It was the criteria that we received 18 in-house counsel? 18 19 from Abbott. 19 A. Abbott in-house counsel, yes. 20 20 Q. Okay. From Abbott in-house counsel? Q. Can you think of any other documents that you have searched for that you -- oh, let me 21 A. Yes. 21 22 Q. Okay. And when did this pulling, you -- Strike that.

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 58 Page 60 In the late '90s and 2002, 2003, did 1 1 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. 2 you participate in the pulling of -- the search 2 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this: What's 3 for contracts? your document retention policy? 4 MS. CITERA: Okay. I'm just going to 4 A. In the late '90s, I participated in 5 object. I mean, I'm fine with her answering as pulling Alternate Site files because that's where I was at the time. it relates to Abbott files; but this is not about 6 6 7 Q. Okay. 7 Hospira, and it shouldn't relate to Hospira 8 A. For the files that have been pulled 8 files. 9 9 since then -- and again Alternate Site by that MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Oh, sure it time had been incorporated -- all the Alternate 10 does. 10 11 Site contract files had been incorporated by that 11 MS. CITERA: No, it doesn't. 12 time into HPD Contract Marketing, so I 12 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: You people are participated in pulling all of those files in the not responding to a subpoena, and we're going to 13 14 early 2000s when we pulled them. 14 get into that. 15 Q. Okay. And do you think that you pulled MS. CITERA: This is an Abbott -- This 15 16 all the contracts responsive to what you were is taken in an Abbott case. Hospira is not a 16 defendant. She can talk about Hospira as it 17 asked to pull? 17 18 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 18 relates to Abbott's policies, she cannot talk 19 BY THE WITNESS: 19 about Hospira's policies. 20 A. I think that we have pulled everything MR. ANDERSON: Well, this is a fact 20 21 that's been requested. 21 deposition. Q. And when the contracts were pulled, 22 22 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: This is a fact Page 59 Page 61 were they pulled and copied and given to in-house deposition, Toni. I'm not looking for her to 2 legal department? Or did you just give them the 2 speak as a corporate representative. 3 originals of what you had? 3 MS. CITERA: First of all, Judge Bowler 4 A. They actually took the files, copied 4 has already said you can't get into discovery them, and returned them to us. past 2003. So that's yet another reason why what 6 Q. Okay. So you have copies, then -- or 6 Hospira's -- Hospira's retention policies as it they have copies of what you have? 7 7 relates to Hospira's documents is not 8 8 A. Correct. appropriate. 9 Q. Okay. And are those documents that are 9 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: You know, we the historic contract files still with Hospira? 10 10 can agree to disagree on that, Toni. Can I MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 proceed with my questioning? 11 MS. CITERA: As it relates to the 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 13 Hospira, yes -- I mean, as it relates to Abbott, A. Again, if it was a contract that 13 expired while we were still part of Abbott, it's 14 14 yes. 15 in their central records area. If it's something 15 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Are you that started then but continued into our -- after 16 16 instructing her not to answer? 17 the spin, then Hospira has it. 17 MS. CITERA: Yes. Q. Okay. And you haven't -- Does Hospira 18 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Why? What's 18 19 have a document retention policy? 19 the basis of your instruction not to answer? 20 20 A. Yes, we do. MS. CITERA: Because it's not related 21 Q. Okay. And do you have a particular --21 to this case. Hospira is not a defendant here. MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Do you have a 22 a policy pertaining to former Abbott files? 22

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 62 Page 64 privilege assertion? 1 A. I have not received any requests to pull data relating to that that I recall. 2 2 MS. CITERA: No. 3 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. Then Q. If Hospira received a subpoena from the United States requesting the production of all 4 when we fly back to get answers to this question, it's going to be on Abbott's dime. Moreover, are 5 former Abbott HPD information, who would you you directing her not to answer because you're 6 anticipate that that request would go to? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 7 representing her? 7 8 MS. CITERA: I am representing her and 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 9 the defendant. A. I expect it would go to our in-house 10 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. And who 10 counsel, and they would determine who to ask to are the defendants? 11 11 start pulling that data. 12 MS. CITERA: Abbott. 12 Q. Do you anticipate that you would be one of the people that they would request given your 13 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. So 13 14 you're not representing her as a Hospira 14 historic role? 15 15 employee? MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 16 MS. CITERA: I am representing the 16 BY THE WITNESS: 17 17 A. I would guess I would probably be witness. 18 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. 18 included as one of the people that they would 19 MS. CITERA: I also obviously represent 19 ask. Hospira in other matters. 20 20 Q. Okay. Other than what we've talked 21 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 21 about here today, do you recall any other involvement in responding to or seeking requests 22 Q. Ms. Leone, let me ask you this: Did Page 63 Page 65 the files that used to be Abbott HPD files, do for information responsive to discovery requests 2 they -- are they maintained under the current 2 in the AWP litigation? 3 3 Hospira document retention policy? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 4 BY THE WITNESS: 5 BY THE WITNESS: A. I've -- I think I've -- Everything that 6 6 I can remember I think I've identified. A. Yes, they are. 7 7 Q. Okay. And what is that policy? Q. Okay. So we've exhausted your memory 8 A. I believe that the policy is seven 8 on that? 9 years; but I also believe that based on the 9 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. BY THE WITNESS: 10 litigation, nothing is being destroyed. 10 Everything is being maintained. 11 11 A. Yeah, I think so. 12 Q. Okay. Do you recall whether Hospira 12 Q. Okay. Ma'am, I don't think this has received a subpoena from the United States? question was asked at your first deposition; so 13 13 I'd like to briefly go over what your educational 14 Are you aware of any subpoena that Hospira has 14 received from the United States? 15 15 background is. A. I am not aware that Hospira has 16 16 A. I have an MBA. 17 received a subpoena from the United States. 17 Q. Okay. And when did you obtain your Q. Has anyone asked you to search for 18 18 MBA? 19 documents to respond to a subpoena that has been 19 A. In 1996. 20 served upon Hospira by the United States? 20 Q. And where did you obtain it from? 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 21 A. Northern Illinois University. 22 BY THE WITNESS: 22 Q. Okay. And what is your undergraduate

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 66 Page 68 time period of 1991 to 2003. 1 degree? 2 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. A. It's a degree in general studies from 3 Roosevelt University in Chicago. 3 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Okay. And when did you receive that? 4 4 A. I'm not sure what you're asking for. 5 5 A. 1991. Q. Well, I'd like to know what you know 6 Q. And do you have any other educational 6 about the sale and marketing of those products. 7 degrees, or have you taken any other course work? 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 8 8 BY THE WITNESS: A. No. 9 9 Q. Ma'am, do you have any educational A. The dextrose and the saline -- I'm 10 background in Medicare- or Medicaid-related 10 sorry. Let me just remember. Dextrose, saline, Vanco, acyclovir -- was there a fifth product? 11 areas? 11 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. Q. Sterile water. 12 A. Sterile water. 13 13 BY THE WITNESS: 14 A. Nothing educational. 14 The dextrose, saline and sterile water 15 Q. Okay. Just on-the-job? 15 are part of the IV solutions line that Hospira sold; and they're used in -- they're used as 16 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. Well, we're going to get into 17 basic IV solutions. 18 that. But do you have any -- I want to ask you 18 Q. Okay. Ma'am, I'm going to stop right 19 whether you have any training or any educational 19 there because you said Hospira. background in the medical field. 20 A. I'm sorry. Abbott. 20 21 A. No. 21 Q. Okay. I just wanted to clarify. 22 22 Q. Ma'am, I'm going to ask you a series of A. And vancomycin and acyclovir are what Page 67 Page 69 questions, and I'm going to predicate my series we consider specialty pharmaceuticals or 2 of questions upon -- I want you to -- when you 2 injectables. And, again, they were sold as part respond, I'd like for you to respond for the time 3 of our injectables product line as Abbott HPD. 4 period of 1991 until 2003. Okay? 4 And the -- Obviously, or maybe not 5 A. Okay. obviously, when people are doing an infusion of 6 Q. Ma'am, do you have any familiarity with 6 vancomycin or acyclovir, they're mixed with most the sale and marketing or any knowledge or 7 7 frequently sodium chloride for those infusions. And it would probably be one of the -- one of the 8 familiarity with the sale and marketing of any of 8 9 the following products: sodium chloride, sterile 9 sizes of sodium chloride that we sell of the IV 10 water, vancomycin, dextrose, or acyclovir by 10 solutions because we also have -- HPD also had Abbott? 11 11 some pharmacy injectables that were sodium 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 12 chloride and some pharmacy injectables that were You went through them kind of fast. Did you get water also, sterile water, which is small vials -13 13 14 them all? 14 - 10 mls, 20 mls, 30 mls, and so on. We looked 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 at the IV solutions as being -- the smallest one 16 MS. CITERA: Okay. 16 would be 150 mls up to 1 liter. BY THE WITNESS: 17 Does that answer your question? 17 A. All of those are products that were Q. Okay. Well, I think it may be a start. 18 18 19 sold by the Hospital Products Division. 19 My question was more what do you know about 20 Q. Okay. Ma'am, I'd like you just to 20 Abbott's -- for the time period of '91 to 2003, 21 21 describe for me what your knowledge is concerning what is your knowledge of what Abbott's, you the sale and marketing of those products for the 22 know, policies, practices, procedures were, if

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 70 Page 72 you have any knowledge, of it concerning the sale would be providing -- we would be working with 2 and marketing of these particular products? 2 the insurance companies and other payors in order 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 3 to be reimbursed for the services. 4 4 BY THE WITNESS: And under those circumstances, those 5 5 A. Well, from 1991 to 1996, I was in Home products were part of a therapy that a patient could have been on. They could have been on say, 6 Infusion Services and so those products were sold 6 7 as part of the Home Infusion Services programs. 7 for instance, an enteral therapy or a total --And then from '96 to 2001, when I was in 8 TPN therapy or IV antibiotic. And these, again, 8 9 9 Alternate Site, they were selling those products would have all been in the home setting. And we 10 as individual products based on the full 10 were working with those partners to provide those portfolio of the products that we would offer to 11 11 services. 12 a customer on a contract. It was highly unlikely 12 Q. Okay. And when you say "partners" -that we were writing a contract for just one of 13 Well, let me ask you this: Initially you said 14 those products. It was always in conjunction 14 the products were sold as part of. Were they 15 15 with the full product line or, say, the IV consigned to the home infusion partners? 16 solutions as a contract with probably IV 16 A. Yes. equipment to go along with it and then maybe a 17 17 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 18 separate agreement for the injectables, which 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 would have been the vancomycin and acyclovir. 19 A. Yeah, and that's probably what I should 2.0 And 2001 to 2003, I was -- part of that 2.0 have said --21 time I was on special projects. And then by 21 Q. Okay. A. -- is that they were under most 2003, I was back in Contract Marketing as part of 22 Page 71 Page 73 the Hospital Business Sector. And, again, they circumstances provided as a -- on a consignment 2 2 were selling it in the hospitals as part of the basis. 3 3 full product line, those products. Q. Okay. And do you recall what the 4 Q. Okay. Do you have any other knowledge 4 overall market -- Strike that. 5 of Abbott's sale and marketing of these Well, what else do you recall about 6 particular products during the '91 through '03 6 this consignment program? 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 7 time period? 8 8 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. BY THE WITNESS: 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: A. If -- If there were Abbott products, Abbott HPD products, that could be used for the 10 A. I mean, that's the high-level, you 10 know, description of how they were sold. I'm not therapies that were being provided by those 11 11 12 sure what else --12 partners, they had the option to use those 13 13 products in their infusion therapy program -- in Q. Okay. Well, let's go down on that a 14 little bit. First of all, you said products sold 14 their home infusion programs. And that was --15 as part of the HI program. Which is the home 15 that was what we considered the consignment piece infusion program? of it. 16 16 17 A. The home infusion program was when we 17 Q. Okay. How did Abbott get -- How was 18 were selling Abbott products with the services 18 Abbott compensated under these arrangements? 19 that could be provided through the home infusion 19 A. Under most circumstances, those 20 20 program. We had a system, the CHIP system. We programs were written -- were created or the 21 could provide reimbursement services where --21 arrangements were set up in that as they were -excuse me -- on behalf of one of the partners, we 22 as the partners were reimbursed by the payors for

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 74 Page 76 the services, there would be a sharing of that I can't give you an exact date. reimbursement from the payors. 2 2 Q. Do you know whether any partners ever 3 Q. Okay. And by "payors," did that 3 raised with Abbott a concern about whether or not include Medicare and Medicaid? 4 4 these arrangements violated Medicare or Medicaid 5 5 A. Yes. statutes or regulations or the Antikickback Q. Did Abbott have its own provider 6 6 Statute or the False Claims Act? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 7 number, to your knowledge? 7 8 A. Yeah, Abbott had its own provider 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 9 number because when Home Infusion Services A. No, I do not know that. 10 originally started in the mid 1980s, the majority 10 Q. Did Abbott ever loan its -- or provide its provider number to its partners so that the 11 of the patients we had in those early days were 11 12 Abbott patients. So it was our own -- we were partners could bill under the Abbott provider 12 billing -- We were doing everything as Abbott 13 13 number, to your knowledge? 14 Home Infusion Services. 14 A. To my knowledge, that never happened. Q. Do you know whether that would be a 15 15 And then the programs -- Then the direction changed in the late, late '80s to where legal use of Abbott's provider number, to loan it 16 16 we started partnering with hospital programs. to or provide it to a partner to use? 17 17 18 Q. Do you know initially were those 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 19 partnerships joint venture arrangements? 19 BY THE WITNESS: 2.0 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 20 A. I do not know -- I don't know whether 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 that would be legal or not. A. I'm not sure what you mean by a joint Q. Were you at all involved during this 22 Page 75 Page 77 '91 through '96 time frame with evaluations of 1 venture. 2 2 whether or not the policies, practices, and Q. Okay. What was your understanding of 3 3 procedures of the Home Infusion unit were in the relationship between the partner and Abbott? 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 4 compliance with state and federal regulations? 5 5 BY THE WITNESS: MS. CITERA: I'm just going to object 6 6 to the form. I'm also going to caution you that A. Well, again, it's what I just 7 7 described. Depending on -- As we negotiated to the extent you had any conversations with agreements with these various hospital-based 8 8 counsel, that you not reveal the substance of 9 programs, there was a determination during that 9 those conversations. 10 contractual process regarding what services they 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 were going to perform versus what Abbott would 11 A. I don't recall that that ever happened. 12 perform on their behalf. And then based on --12 Q. When you say you don't recall that that 13 13 ever happened, what do you mean? And then based on that, there was -- the decision 14 A. I think --14 was made about how that -- how the risk sharing 15 would then take place between the partners. 15 MS. CITERA: Same instruction. 16 Q. Okay. And then -- And when did that 16 BY THE WITNESS: 17 business model change or did it ever change 17 A. I think your question was did -- Well, 18 within Home Infusion? repeat your question, would you please again? 18 19 A. Well, it changed from being -- for us 19 Q. Sure. Let me clarify. 20 20 billing as Abbott to these partnering programs. Who or what efforts did -- were you 21 I'm going to guess that happened sometime 21 aware of that Abbott Home Infusion undertook to

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

22

202-220-4158

probably '87, '88, somewhere in that time frame.

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

verify whether or not its policies, practices,

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 78 Page 80 and procedures complied with state and federal because she was our manager of reimbursement at 2 Medicare and Medicaid laws? 2 the time, so I would probably say that Virginia 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form and 3 would have been the main person. Q. How did Abbott Home Infusion employees 4 also the same instruction to you. 4 5 know that these consignment arrangements complied 5 BY THE WITNESS: with state and federal Medicare and Medicaid 6 6 A. Okay. I am not aware that any -- that 7 there were any discussions about that. 7 laws? 8 Q. Who would you anticipate those -- Well, 8 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. let me ask you, was it within your responsibility 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: 10 when you were in Home Infusion to deal with 10 A. I -compliance with state and federal Medicare and 11 11 MS. CITERA: I just want to again 12 Medicaid laws? 12 caution you about that instruction too. Sorry. BY THE WITNESS: 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 13 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 A. I'm trying to understand what you're 15 asking because I guess I'm kind of confused about 15 A. During that period, I was not involved 16 in Medicare and Medicaid at all. I was doing the what it is you're asking me. 16 case management at that point, so I had no 17 Q. Okay. Well, let me back up a little 17 18 18 knowledge of anything related to Medicare and bit. 19 Medicaid at that point. 19 Your consignment -- Abbott's 20 2.0 Q. Okay. When did you first learn -- When consignment partners obviously billed the 21 did you first have involvement with or knowledge 21 Medicare and Medicaid programs, right? 22 of Medicare and Medicaid? A. Correct. Page 79 Page 81 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 1 Q. Okay. How did the Abbott Home Infusion 1 2 BY THE WITNESS: 2 employees who were, you know, facilitating these 3 3 contracts, how did they know that these contracts A. When I first went into Home Infusion complied with state and federal Medicaid and 4 4 Services in 1985. 5 5 Q. Okay. And for how long were you Medicare laws? 6 involved with Medicare or Medicaid? 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 7 A. From 1985 until '91 when I moved into 7 Same instruction. 8 the case management position. I moved into that 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 9 position in 19 -- January of 1992. A. When contracts were negotiated -- And, Q. Do you know during the period of time again, at that point, I was working in our 10 10 that you -- from '85 to '91, did you have any reimbursement area and not working on negotiating 11 11 the contracts. The people who worked on our 12 involvement with monitoring Home Infusion's 12 compliance with state and federal Medicare and contracts worked with our legal counsel to 13 13 develop those agreements. So I believe that 14 Medicaid statutes? 14 based on what -- what we understood at that 15 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 15 point, that those contracts were valid. 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 17 A. No, I did not have any involvement in 17 MR. ANDERSON: Ann, if I can interject, 18 I think we have about one minute left on the 18 that. 19 Q. Who would have had that responsibility? 19 tape. 20 20 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. Why BY THE WITNESS: 21 21 don't we take a break. Is now a good time to 22 A. I would probably say Ginnie Tobiason 22 take a break, folks?

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 82 Page 84 MS. CITERA: Yeah. 1 Q. I'd like to go back to the -- We got 1 2 2 sidetracked a little bit. Under the Home MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the Infusion business model, were these consignment 4 record at 10:25 a.m. with the end of Tape No. 1. arrangements the only way that dextrose, sodium 5 5 (A short break was had.) chloride, vancomycin, sterile water were sold and 6 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the marketed? 7 record at 10:38 a.m. with the start of Tape No. 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 8 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 9 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: If I could A. I believe that -- Well, first of all, 10 just have the court reporter read where we left 10 that's only when we were doing those partnership arrangements that we had that consignment. But I 11 off. 11 12 (Record read as requested.) 12 believe there were also a few customers, although BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 13 I can't off the top of my head think of who they 14 Q. Okay. Ms. Leone -were, where they were actually purchasing the 15 A. Yes. 15 product from us for their home infusion programs 16 16 to do it, so --Q. -- during your tenure in the Home Infusion Services department, did you ever learn 17 17 Q. Okay. And how would they purchase the of any concerns being raised about the compliance 18 18 product? For example, would they negotiate a 19 of the Home Infusion model with Medicare or 19 contract with Home Infusion? 20 Medicaid laws or regulations? 20 A. Yes. 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 21 Q. And in terms of the sale and marketing BY THE WITNESS: 22 of -- Well, first let me ask you, acyclovir, at Page 83 Page 85 1 A. No. this point in time from '91 to '96 was that --2 2 was acyclovir part of the Home Infusion program? Q. Who within Home Infusion would have been responsible for ensure that the business 3 A. I don't remember when acyclovir became 3 4 model complied with state and federal Medicaid 4 available for -- that it was -- that it was an 5 Abbott product that we sold. I know that when we and Medicare laws? 6 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 6 first -- when we first started Home -- when I 7 7 BY THE WITNESS: first went into Home Infusion. I don't believe 8 A. Well -- And I think I want to go back 8 that there was an Abbott acyclovir at that time; 9 to my previous answer. Our contracting people 9 but I don't remember when they did have acyclovir and probably our management at the time would 10 10 available. So, I mean, I can't tell you the have worked with our legal counsel to determine, 11 11 dates. you know, how to write these contracts and how we 12 Q. Okay. Well, then, for any of these could build the relationships with these products -- dextrose, sodium chloride, sterile 13 13 14 14 water, vancomycin, acyclovir -- do you recall any 15 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say, then, 15 other information about how they were sold or that you as an employee of Home Infusion relied marketed from the '91 through '96 time frame --16 16 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 17 upon the managers and legal counsel to ensure 17 that what you were doing complied with state and 18 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 18 federal Medicare and Medicaid statutes? 19 19 Q. -- by the Home Infusion department? 20 20 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. BY THE WITNESS: 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 22 A. Yes, I believe we did. 22 A. No, just what we've just described. It

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

_

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 86 Page 88 was either in one of these partnership agreements that period of time I was the case manager. So I or, you know, purchasing the product directly. 2 2 was working with the third-party insurance 3 Q. And how -- For the purchasing of 3 companies -- I was working with the third-party 4 product directly, what prices were charged or how 4 insurance companies to negotiate prices or was the pricing determined for the contracts or negotiate therapies, negotiate the services that for the sales to the nonconsignment partner -- or 6 were being provided to the patients. And so 7 to the nonconsignment customers? 7 there wasn't -- and so then the pricing that I 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 8 was aware of there is what the billable charges 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: were going to be for those third-party -- those 10 10 third-party -- those third-party insurance A. I was not involved in that contracting, so I don't know how those prices were determined companies. 11 11 12 or what they did. 12 Q. Okay. Well, would you do that 13 Q. Okay. So in terms of your knowledge of 13 negotiation, then, on behalf of the home infusion 14 the sales and marketing of these drugs in Home 14 partner? 15 15 Infusion, you don't have any familiarity for the A. Yes. '91 through '96 time frame of pricing; is that 16 Q. For their patients? 16 17 fair? 17 A. Yes. 18 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 18 Q. And did you do any negotiations for 19 BY THE WITNESS: 19 Medicare or Medicaid patients? 20 A. No. 2.0 A. I don't have any knowledge of pricing 21 for a contract where one of the home infusion 21 Q. Why not? 22 customers was actually purchasing the Abbott A. Because the managed care -- the managed Page 87 Page 89 care that was going on at that point and what I 1 products. 2 Q. Okay. 2 was responsible for was with third-party 3 3 insurance companies, it was -- so that was the A. Okay. 4 4 sole -- that was the sole -- that was my sole Q. Fair enough. Do you have knowledge of 5 pricing for -- under the consignment area of responsibility. 6 6 Q. When you were negotiating pricing with arrangements? 7 7 A. No. the case managers, were you familiar with AWP? 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 8 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say, then, that 9 your knowledge of sales and marketing of 9 BY THE WITNESS: dextrose, sodium chloride, sterile water, 10 10 A. If a patient was on an infusion therapy vancomycin, and acyclovir from '91 to '96 by the other than being on TPN or enteral nutrition --11 11 12 Home Infusion department does not include so, for instance, if they were receiving an IV 13 antibiotic at home or if they were receiving 13 knowledge about pricing for those products? chemotherapy or pain management therapies at home 14 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 -- when I would negotiate with those case managers, they were looking at those negotiations 16 A. It doesn't include knowledge about 17 pricing between Abbott and its partners -- or 17 to be based on a per diem for all the services 18 and supplies that were being provided to that customers. 18 19 Q. Okay. Do you have any other knowledge 19 patient and then AWP for the actual drug that was 20 of pricing for those products during this time 20 being dispensed to the patient. Q. Okay. So would it be -- So then you 21 21 frame? 22 A. Only as it related to -- Again, during 22 would -- as you're negotiating charges then it

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 90 Page 92 would be the per diem -- for those particular Q. Okay. And that's how you're familiar 2 therapies, it would be the per diem plus the AWP? 2 with the term AWP during that period of time? 3 A. Yes. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Why would it be the AWP figure? 4 Q. Okay. 5 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. MS. CITERA: Ann. Ann --BY THE WITNESS: 6 6 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 7 7 Q. And is it fair to say the higher the A. That was -- That was how the case 8 8 managers wanted to negotiate. That was -- that AWP, that meant the higher the reimbursement for 9 was their preferred way of reimbursing for those 9 a particular therapy? 10 services, so --10 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 11 Q. When you say the case managers --12 MS. CITERA: Could you let her finish? 12 A. The therapy was based on what the BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 13 13 doctor was prescribing, whatever the patient --14 Q. -- I have to tell you, I thought you 14 Whatever the doctor prescribed was the -- was 15 were a case manager during this period of time. 15 what the drug was that was going to be used. And 16 A. I'm sorry. My role was to be the case then the partners would let us know whose product 16 17 manager for -- on behalf of all of our partners they were -- whose drug that they were using so 17 18 working with the insurance company case managers, 18 that we would know the correct product to use 19 so -- And in most circumstances, they were 19 when we were discussing things with the insurance 20 2.0 nurses. companies. 21 Q. Okay. 21 Q. Okay. Well, what -- how would the 22 partners determine which product they wanted to A. And they were -- What they were Page 91 Page 93 1 use? managing -- What they were managing was the 2 2 entire patient care for that individual when they MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 3 3 came out of the hospital on some type of home BY THE WITNESS: 4 4 A. I don't know. They -- I don't know how service. 5 5 So they were managing what type of they would determine what products they were 6 services the patient was receiving, were they 6 going to use. 7 7 getting nursing visits, was there oxygen, Q. Well, if the AWP -- If you have the 8 8 whatever they were doing at home. And in same product that's the same -- basically the 9 conjunction with that, they were also managing 9 same drug but it's a generic drug marketed under 10 the costs for -- for those patients at home. So 10 different names and one has an AWP that's higher, they would -- they would work with our partner to 11 11 if you use -- if your partner determined to use -12 determine what was appropriate from a therapy 12 - that they should use the product with the 13 perspective that that patient was going to be 13 higher AWP, wouldn't that mean that there would 14 receiving in a home setting, and then they would 14 be a higher reimbursement for that particular 15 work with us when there was an infusion therapy -15 therapy? 16 16 - when there was an infusion therapy that the MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 17 patient was receiving to determine how that 17 BY THE WITNESS: infusion therapy in the home setting should be --18 18 A. It could mean that, yes. 19 should be paid, should be paid for. 19 Q. Okay. And Abbott would share in that 20 20 Q. Okay. reimbursement, right? 21 A. So ... So that's what I meant by "case 21 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 22 manager." 22 BY THE WITNESS:

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 94 Page 96 A. It depended on whether -- Let me think 1 I'm starting to get lost in my questions. 2 through the answer on this. 2 MS. CITERA: I'm just going to tell 3 Q. Let me see if I can clarify it. For 3 her, she's going to call those drugs "the subject 4 drugs." And when she says "the subject drugs," 4 these consignment arrangements, Abbott would 5 share in the reimbursement, right? she's referring to those five. 6 6 A. Correct. MR. ANDERSON: And why don't you read 7 7 it, Ms. Leone, just to make sure it's right. Q. Okay. 8 A. But, for instance, when there was an --8 THE WITNESS: Vancomycin, sodium 9 9 a patient who was on antibiotics, the majority of chloride, sterile water, dextrose, and acyclovir. 10 the antibiotics that the partners were using were 10 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. not Abbott products. Abbott only had one or two. 11 11 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 12 They were -- all the rest of the products were 12 Q. Okay. Ms. Leone, for the '91 through 13 13 from other manufacturers. '96 time period, can you describe what your job 14 Q. Okay. But still, if they used -- if 14 responsibilities were? 15 there were several products to choose from and 15 A. During 1991, I was still a 16 they used the product with the higher AWP, it 16 reimbursement specialist. So at that point, I still would generate a larger reimbursement for was completing insurance forms for the services 17 17 18 that particular therapy, right? 18 that were being provided. And there were two or 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 19 three of those partners that I was responsible BY THE WITNESS: 20 for completing those insurance forms for. And so 2.0 21 A. Yes. it was my responsibility to submit those billable 22 Q. Okay. Ma'am, I want to sort of close charges to those -- to those insurance companies; Page 95 Page 97 out all of your knowledge about the sale and when reimbursement was received, to review it; if 2 marketing of dextrose, sodium chloride, sterile 2 the patient had a copay, because it only paid, water, vancomycin, and acyclovir during your 3 like, 80 percent, they had their out-of-pocket 3 4 period of time in the -- or during your tenure in 4 expenses or whatever, to balance bill whoever the Home Infusion Services from '91 to '96. Have we appropriate party was for the second part of it; 6 exhausted your memory on that or your knowledge 6 if there were denials received from the insurance 7 on that? 7 companies to determine -- to work with the 8 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. insurance companies to understand, you know, why 9 9 the services were being denied and what we needed BY THE WITNESS: 10 A. Yes. 10 to do for resubmittal. So that was in 1991. MS. CITERA: Ann --11 And then in 1992 to 1996 when I left, I 11 12 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yes. 12 was doing the managed care contracting that we've 13 MS. CITERA: -- I was just thinking, just discussed. 13 14 and I asked Jarrett -- And it's up to you. But I 14 Q. Okay. And the managed care wrote down the drugs, and I'm thinking that might 15 contracting, that was the negotiating -- the 15 be easier to put it in front of her. working with the insurance companies, et cetera, 16 16 17 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Oh, sure. 17 to work on negotiating particular therapies for That's fine. We'll just call them the subject 18 18 your consignment partners' patients? 19 drugs. 19 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 20 MS. CITERA: Yeah, that's fine. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 Jarrett looked them over and approved them. 21 A. Yes. 22 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. I know. 22 Q. Okay. Ma'am, during this period of

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 98 Page 100 1 Q. Did you keep a copy of this manual? time, were you involved in developing any -- or 2 actually during -- at any time during your tenure A. No, I don't have one. in Home Infusion Services, were you involved in 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with any other developing any manuals or procedures for Home 4 -- Was that the only manual that you helped 4 5 5 Infusion? develop when you were in Home Infusion? 6 A. That's the only manual I can recall, 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 7 BY THE WITNESS: 7 but it's been a long time. 8 A. Yes, I was. And this is what I 8 Q. Okay. Do you recall any other manuals 9 9 referenced earlier, the one document that I that were developed and utilized within the Home 10 looked at. I developed a training manual for how 10 Infusion department? to do insurance company case management, to work 11 A. No, I don't. 11 12 with the insurance companies for the therapies 12 Q. What other responsibilities did you that were being provided, and so -- And I did have from '91 to '96 when you were within the 13 14 that, I'm going to say, probably the '95/96 --14 Home Infusion department? Or have we exhausted sometime '94, '95, '96. I can't remember exactly 15 15 it? 16 when we did that. 16 A. We've exhausted it. 17 17 Q. Okay. Well, we'll move on to a But we put -- we created this training 18 manual because more and more of those partners 18 different time frame, then. 19 were choosing to do their own reimbursement 19 From '96 to '01, first let's go over, 20 instead of having Abbott do the reimbursement 2.0 what were your job responsibilities? 21 services for them. And so we put together this 21 A. From '96 to 2001 I was the manager of manual as kind of a training manual for the 22 Contract Marketing for Alternate Site Product Page 99 Page 101 1 things that these partners should do when they're Sales. 2 2 doing the same thing that I was, which was Q. What were your job responsibilities, 3 3 negotiating with the third-party payors. then? 4 Q. Do you know why that emerged as a 4 A. I was responsible for negotiating and 5 trend, that certain services, your home infusion developing the contracts that we had with all of 6 partners were electing to do themselves? 6 our Alternate Site Product Sales customers, and I 7 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 7 had -- I had I think seven people reporting to me by the end. I mean, the department grew a little 8 BY THE WITNESS: 8 9 9 bit during that period of time, who were actually A. I just think it was just a change in the market, change in the industry, and they were the people who were developing the contracts and 10 10 looking on -- looking at taking on more of those 11 implementing them into our contracting system. 11 12 responsibilities themselves. 12 Q. Okay. And what day-to-day did your job 13 Q. Did it have an impact on the sort of 13 responsibilities entail? bottom line of the level of reimbursement that 14 14 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 15 Abbott's Home Infusion was able to participate in 15 BY THE WITNESS: with their home infusion partners? 16 16 A. It include managing those people, MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 17 17 reviewing the types of contracts that we were 18 BY THE WITNESS: working on, determining pricing parameters for 18 19 A. Again, I wasn't involved in the 19 those customers with those contracts and then, 20 20 negotiations for any of those contracts, so I for that period of time in '98 and '99, as we've 21 don't know if that had an impact on that piece of 21 already discussed, I was responsible for the -in my last deposition -- for the Medicaid price 22 22

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 102 Page 104 We could review that, and then we would -- if we 1 reporting. 2 Q. Okay. And how did you determine or --2 had questions, we would get in touch with the 3 determine pricing parameters? appropriate individual within that Contract 4 4 Marketing department to understand what was A. The pricing parameters were based on 5 5 the volume of business that a customer was going happening. So we would make sure that we were pricing appropriately based on what was going on 6 6 to bring us or what the customer was willing to 7 commit to bring us. And, again, the contracts 7 in the hospital market. 8 8 that we wrote were for the -- in most Q. Did you have some flexibility, though, 9 9 circumstances, the full product line where it was within Alt Site in terms of price determinations? 10 either a solutions and equipment contract, in 10 Or were you -- were your prices dictated to you which case the solutions contract -- the 11 by Hospital Products Division? 11 12 solutions and equipment contract would have 12 A. No, we had -included the sodium chloride, sterile water, and MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 13 14 dextrose or it could have been an injectables 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 15 contract, and that would have included all of our A. I'm sorry. We had some flexibility. 16 16 pharmaceutical injectables including the Q. Okay. And who were the seven people vancomycin and acyclovir. And in some cases, all 17 reporting to you during this '96 through '01 time 17 18 of the products were in one agreement that we 18 frame? 19 signed. 19 A. Well, there were a variety of different 2.0 2.0 people who came in and left the department. So I But, again, pricing was determined 21 based on what type -- how much business we can -- I can tell you all the names, but I can't tell you exactly when each one of them was in or thought that customer -- I'm still thinking Home Page 103 Page 105 out of the department. Is that what you'd like Infusion -- that that customer could bring to 2 2 Abbott. to hear? 3 3 Q. And did you work within Alt Site with Q. Yes, please. 4 anyone else within the Hospital Products Division 4 A. Okay. There was Debbie Longley, Cindy 5 Dawson, Joe Sweeney, Angie Massaro, Scott Moore, to arrive at setting those prices? 6 A. I had dotted -- I had a dotted-line 6 Eric -- and I can't remember Eric's last name -responsibility to the director of Contract 7 7 Dave Harling, Linda Ozark, Roberta Green, Sherry 8 Burke, Nadine Hansen, Pat Gloss, and I think 8 Marketing in the hospital side; and one of the 9 things that we would look at when we were 9 there's probably one or two people whose names I can't think of right now off the top of my head. 10 negotiating the agreements was what we thought 10 the -- where the hospital -- where the hospital 11 Q. Okay. When you were in Alt Site, did 11 12 12 was pricing those products for the Hospital you have to prepare or did Alt Site prepare Business Sector at that time. So we would make 13 marketing plans? 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 14 sure that our pricing was within those same or 14 15 similar guidelines based on what the hospital was 15 BY THE WITNESS: 16 doing. 16 A. Our marketing managers would put 17 17 together marketing plans for the year during the Q. And where would you get that information about what the hospital was doing in 18 plan process. 18 19 terms of its -- the Hospital Products Division 19 Q. Okay. Can you explain what you mean by 20 20 was doing in terms of its pricing? that? A. We had access to their pricing file, 21 21 A. Every year, it usually happened in the

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

22

202-220-4158

their on-line pricing file. And so we would --

22

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

fall, the marketing managers would have to put

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 106 Page 108 cover dispensing costs of physicians when together what they projected to be their sales for the next year. And that would be part of our 2 considering pricing? plan process to determine what we thought we 3 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 4 BY THE WITNESS: could sell for the next year. 5 5 Q. Okay. And how was your plan process A. No, because our customers were not 6 memorialized? 6 physicians. Our customers were the group 7 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 7 purchasing organizations, the home infusion 8 companies, the nursing -- the long-term care 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 9 facilities. They were not the doctors. It was A. I'm not sure what you mean by 10 "memorialized." 10 whoever was going to be compounding or mixing those products for patients. So our customer 11 Q. Well, you have these marketing plans. 11 12 Was the process itself written down someplace? 12 wasn't the doctor, so that was never a 13 13 Would you bless these plans and put them into a consideration. 14 14 larger document? How would that work? Q. Okay. Let me ask you, when you 15 15 A. The marketing managers would review the started, did Alt Site monitor its market share on 16 historical sales information for the products 16 particular products, like, for example, 17 that they were -- that they were looking at. 17 vancomycin? MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 18 Some products they looked at on an individual 18 19 basis. Other products they looked at as part of 19 BY THE WITNESS: 2.0 a family of products. And they would -- excuse 2.0 A. Not that I recall. 21 me. They would determine whether they thought 21 Q. Do you recall what -- when you started that we would have opportunities to grow that 22 in '96 what the market share for Abbott's Page 107 Page 109 vancomycin was in the alt site market? business during the next year or whether they 2 thought that something else would happen and we 2 A. No, I don't know what that is. 3 3 wouldn't be able to grow that, whether there O. Okay. A. Or was. I'm sorry, what that was. 4 would be opportunities for us to take price 4 5 increases on those based on -- the majority of Q. You don't know what that was? A. Yeah. I can't say is because that's 12 6 our contracts had language written into them that 6 7 7 there could be inflationary anniversary price years ago. 8 8 changes. So they would look at them in Q. Okay. Do you recall there being, 9 relationship to that and see whether there was 9 during the '96 through '01 time period, a -- an 10 the opportunity to take that up, when that gating 10 increase in customer purchasing -- purchases, I'm would take place. And so then they would put all sorry, of vancomycin? 11 11 12 that together into some spreadsheets that went 12 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 13 13 into a book every year. BY THE WITNESS: 14 14 Q. Okay. When considering pricing or A. I do not recall the sales growth for 15 15 planning pricing, would any consideration be made vancomycin for Alternate Site Product Sales for 16 the period of 1996 to 2001. to the dispensing costs of a particular 16 17 physician? 17 Q. Do you recall whether there was a sales 18 growth or vancomycin during this period? MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 18 19 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 19 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 20 20 Q. Or I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that. BY THE WITNESS: 21 A. No, I don't recall that. Would any consideration be made to 21 22 22 physician dispensing cost and the need to perhaps Q. What else can you tell me about your

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 110 Page 112 this five-year time period that you were there? knowledge of the sale and marketing of the 2 subject drugs during the '96 to '01 time period? 2 MS. CITERA: I'll object to the form. 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 3 BY THE WITNESS: 4 4 BY THE WITNESS: A. Yeah, sales grew on a year-to-year 5 5 A. Well, again, as I said before, those -basis. And the sales growth was tied to more customers signing agreements with us, taking 6 none of these products were sold as -- as 6 7 individual products. I do not recall writing --7 advantage of the companies who had signed ever writing a product -- a contract for just one 8 agreements with us, their members or the 8 9 9 of these five products for any of our customers. distributors selling more of those products. So 10 They were always included as part of the full 10 yeah, we had sales growth based on those types of 11 portfolio of the products that we had available things. 11 12 to those customers to buy. 12 Q. Do you have any knowledge or 13 Q. Okay. Anything else? I want to make 13 appreciation of whether or not an increase in the sure that we -- before we move on that we exhaust 14 14 vancomycin AWP during this time period had any 15 15 your knowledge of the sale and marketing of the effect on the Alt Site increased sales from '96 16 subject drugs by Abbott Home Infusion -- I'm 16 to '01? sorry -- by Abbott Alternate Site during the '96 17 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 17 18 through '01 time period. 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 MS. CITERA: Object to form. 19 A. I do not know if that was a reason for BY THE WITNESS: 20 any of the price -- the growth. But, again, it 20 21 A. What was the question? was the growth across all of our products that 22 Q. I want to know what else can you tell grew the sales on a year-to-year basis. Page 111 Page 113 1 Q. Okay. But you have no information me about Abbott Alt Site's sale and marketing of 2 the subject drugs from' '96 to '01. 2 about that? 3 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 3 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 4 4 BY THE WITNESS: BY THE WITNESS: 5 5 A. I think we've talked about the fact A. I have no information about that. 6 that we contracted as part of the larger 6 Q. Did anyone at any time to your 7 7 recollection from '96 to '01 when you were in Alt portfolio; and I think -- you know, I think that 8 Site, did anyone discuss the impact of increased 8 pretty much covers it. Q. Okay. And those are -- your customers 9 9 AWPs of vancomycin on the sales growth within Alt -- Do you recall who your customers were? 10 10 Site? 11 11 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. A. Our customers could have been home care 12 companies; they could have been long-term care 12 BY THE WITNESS: 13 13 facilities; they could have been members of group A. Within our Alternate Site 14 purchasing organizations. We actually sold a lot 14 organizations, we never had any discussions about 15 of our products to distributors who then sold to 15 that. 16 16 end-users, and they were noncharge-back Q. You know -- You recall definitively 17 distributors. So we had a good piece of the 17 that you personally never had any discussions or business that went through them, and then they that there definitively were no discussions? 18 18 19 sold the products to an end-user. 19 A. I personally was never involved in any 20 20 Q. Okay. Do you recall whether Abbott's discussion about AWP as a reason for growth in sales. 21 Alternate Site had, you know, recognized an 21

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

22

202-220-4158

increase or had an increase in business during

_

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Q. Okay. Is there anything else that you

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 114 Page 116 can tell me about your knowledge of the sales and Marketing and the compliance with our operating 2 marketing of the subject drugs within the 2 procedures and the training activities. The only hospital -- I'm sorry, within the home -- the Alt thing that I can -- the only -- the only thing 4 4 Site Product Sales? relating to the sales and marketing is that I was 5 5 A. Too many organizations. also working with our legal department to update all of our contract templates that were used for Q. Yeah. Within Alt Site Product Sales 6 6 7 7 writing contracts with all of our customers, both from '96 to '01? 8 Hospital and Alternate Site. 8 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Okay. When you say "compliance," what A. No, I don't believe we've discussed it 10 do you mean? 10 11 11 fully. A. Compliance to the operating procedures 12 Q. Okay. So we're exhausted on that? 12 for Contract Marketing and compliance to A. Yes. 13 13 contractual agreements. 14 Q. Now, your special projects from '01 to 14 Q. Okay. So it's not compliance in a 15 15 '03, did that in any way deal with the sales and statutory or regulatory framework? For example, 16 marketing of Abbott Hospital Products Division 16 you weren't responsible for monitoring whether or 17 not Abbott Hospital Products Division Contract products? 17 18 A. Only in that I was working on a project 18 Marketing was complying with state or federal 19 for us to change our order management system, and 19 statutes, right? so obviously that would have an impact when 2.0 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 20 21 customers placed orders. But it had nothing to 21 BY THE WITNESS: do with pricing or anything else. It was just 22 A. No, I was not. It was making sure that Page 115 Page 117 the new order processing system. we had operating procedures in place for all of 1 2 the activities and that we were in compliance Q. Okay. And when you joined Contract 2 Marketing in '03 -- or should I say rejoined 3 3 with the policies, the Abbott policies, and then 4 because you were originally in Contract 4 later the Hospira policies. 5 5 Marketing, were you not, early on? Q. Okay. Other than what you've just 6 6 described, do you have any other knowledge about A. Yes, yes. 7 7 Abbott's -- Abbott Hospital Products Division Q. When you rejoined Contract Marketing in sale and marketing of the subject drugs? 8 '03 within the Hospital Products Division, let me 8 9 9 ask you, by that point in time, had Alt Site MS. CITERA: Object to the form. essentially merged into Hospital Products? BY THE WITNESS: 10 10 11 A. Yes. 11 A. No. 12 O. Okay. 12 Q. Okay. Have we exhausted, then, for 13 A. Yeah. 13 this period from '91 to '03, your knowledge of 14 Q. What is your knowledge of -- from --14 any information concerning the sale and marketing 15 once you assume your responsibilities within the 15 of the subject drugs? Contract Marketing division, what's your MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 16 16 17 knowledge of the sales and marketing of the 17 BY THE WITNESS: 18 subject drugs? A. Yes, I believe we have exhausted it. 18 19 A. At that point, I wasn't -- I wasn't 19 Q. Okay. Let's move on to the next topic. 20 20 involved in writing contracts anymore or the Ma'am, can you describe your knowledge during the '91 through '03 time period of the 21 sales and marketing. I was starting to work on 21 all of the compliance activities within Contract 22 benefits of the subject drugs in the treatment of

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 118 Page 120 1 Q. -- in '91? patients? 2 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 3 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 3 BY THE WITNESS: 4 Q. If you have any knowledge, I should ask 4 A. What do you mean by "systems"? The 5 actual systems that they used? -- Let me ask you this first. Strike that 6 Q. Well, Medicaid -- Let's just say 6 earlier question. 7 Do you have any knowledge for the time 7 Medicare or Medicaid programs. period of '91 through '03 of the benefits of the 8 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 8 9 9 subject drugs in the treatment of patients? BY THE WITNESS: 10 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 10 A. Again, I knew how to submit claims to BY THE WITNESS: Medicare for TPN and enteral patients who were 11 11 12 A. No, I don't. covered under the prosthetic device benefit. And 12 13 then I knew how to submit the forms to Illinois Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge for 13 the time period from '91 through '03 of Medicare 14 14 Medicaid for Medicaid patients. 15 15 and Medicaid reimbursement systems? Q. Okay. Well, let's start with Medicare. MS. CITERA: Object to the form. How did you submit claims to Medicare? 16 16 17 BY THE WITNESS: 17 A. We -- It was using the -- I believe --18 A. Well -- And, again, in '91 I was still 18 It's been such a long time. I believe it's a 19 19 doing some reimbursement, although the majority 1600 or 1500 form. 20 of the partners that I was doing reimbursement 2.0 Q. Is it a HCFA-1500; does that ring a for were -- were not -- did not have patients 21 bell? that were being billed through Medicare and 22 A. That's it. That's it. Completing a Page 119 Page 121 Medicaid. I did do some claims for Medicare for form depending on how Medicare paid for those two TPN and enteral patients, and I did have to 2 2 therapies, which was -- there was -- I think submit some claims for -- to Illinois Medicaid 3 there were five HCPCS codes that you could use to 3 4 for one of the partners that we had. 4 bill for Medicare, one for a pump, one for the 5 5 TPN solution, one for supplies, one for flushing Q. Okay. And what was your familiarity --6 This was '91 through what time period? 6 supplies, and one for lipids if the patient was 7 7 A. Just in '91 because that was the last receiving IV fats. 8 8 year I was doing reimbursement. And there was something similar for 9 Q. Okay. So your knowledge would have 9 Medicaid -- I mean, not for Medicaid -- for been -- is it fair to say it would have been enteral nutrition for the pump, the enteral 10 10 confined to what you were doing within home nutrition, and the supplies that they used to do 11 11 tube feedings. So that was on the majority of my 12 infusion reimbursement? 12 13 A. Right, submitting -- submitting claims 13 -- of how to bill Medicare for those therapies. 14 to Illinois Medicaid for patients whose insurance 14 For Medicaid, Illinois had specific 15 company was -- who was insured through Illinois 15 forms that you had to fill out where you had to 16 Medicaid. 16 itemize, if I recall this correctly -- again, it's been a long, long time -- where you had to 17 Q. Okay. Other than during this '91 time 17 period -- Well, let me ask you, what was your go in and itemize each item that the patient was 18 18 19 knowledge or familiarity with Medicaid or 19 receiving, and then Illinois would reimburse 20 Medicare reimbursement systems --20 based on whatever they thought the appropriate MS. CITERA: Object to form. reimbursement would be for those products. 21 21 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 22 22 Q. Okay. Anything else that you can

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 122 Page 124 recall? information to different Medicaid programs would 1 2 2 impact Medicaid reimbursement? A. I know that for a period of time, we were able to bill Medicare for pain management 3 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. and liver cancer. And I think for a short period 4 BY THE WITNESS: 5 of time, IV vancomycin was covered. But I never A. No. My understanding of the program submitted any -- I never had to submit claims for was that this was to pay the rebates to the State 6 7 those therapies, so I'm not exactly sure how to 7 for the products that were being dispensed to 8 8 patients. I never connected paying the rebates do that. 9 9 Q. Okay. Anything else that you can to reimbursement. 10 recall? 10 Q. Okay. Do you have -- For the time 11 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. period from '91 through '03, do you have any 11 12 BY THE WITNESS: other knowledge of Medicaid or Medicare A. That's really all I can recall about reimbursement programs or systems that we haven't 13 13 how to bill for Medicare and Medicaid based on 14 14 already discussed? MS. CITERA: Object to the form. what I was doing in 1991. 15 15 16 Q. Okay. What about after '91? Did you 16 BY THE WITNESS: have any knowledge -- do you have any knowledge 17 17 A. Not that I can recall. of Medicaid and Medicare reimbursement systems or 18 18 Q. Okay. Ma'am, for the time period from 19 programs after '91? 19 '91 to '03, do you have an understanding of the 20 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 2.0 meaning of AWP as that term is used in the 21 BY THE WITNESS: Medicare or Medicaid reimbursement programs or 22 22 A. No, because I was in Product Sales by systems? Page 123 Page 125 1 that time, and so we were -- we were actually MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 2 selling the products to other people who were 2 BY THE WITNESS: using them for any type of services that they 3 3 A. As I said last summer when we -- when 4 were providing for patients. So I essentially 4 we talked about this, I know that AWP stood for did not have any -- I wasn't involved in any average wholesale price; but I never really 6 changes that could have taken place with Medicare 6 understood what that meant. And I knew it was a 7 or Medicaid after that. 7 calculation, and I knew that it was being used 8 8 Q. Well, you were involved for a period of for reimbursement; but I never really understood 9 time late during your tenure in Alt Site with 9 what average wholesale price could have been. reporting to state Medicaid agencies, weren't 10 10 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you don't have an understanding or knowledge of the 11 you? 11 meaning of AWP as that term is used in Medicare 12 12 A. Right. and Medicaid reimbursement systems or programs? 13 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 13 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. I'm sorry. I was thinking in terms of 15 submitting claims to it as opposed --16 16 A. Well, again, I know it stood for 17 Q. I got you. I got you. 17 average wholesale price; but I never quite 18 Did you have any -- During your tenure understood what that meant from a price 18 19 in Alt Site when you were responsible for 19 perspective or -submitting information to state Medicaid 20 20 Q. Okay. 21 programs, did you have an understanding or any 21 A. Okay. 22 knowledge of how the submission of that 22 Q. Well, do you have any other

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 126 Page 128 understanding as to the meaning of AWP as that 1 Abbott? term is used in the Medicare or Medicaid 2 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. reimbursement systems? 3 BY THE WITNESS: MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 4 4 A. I don't know what that question means. 5 5 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge or understanding of the lack of product sales 6 A. No. I do not. 7 Q. So we've exhausted your knowledge or 7 between the United States and Abbott? understanding of that meaning as we've discussed? MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 8 8 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 A. You mean the government buying Abbott A. Yes. 11 11 products? 12 Q. Okay. Do you, Ms. Leone, have 12 Q. Well, I don't know. I'm asking you. knowledge or an understanding of the calculation 13 13 If you don't understand the sentence, then it's 14 of AWP for pharmaceutical products? 14 fair to say no too. MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 15 A. Okay. 15 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 Q. But do you have any knowledge or 17 17 understanding of the lack of direct transactions A. I think we talked about this last 18 summer in my deposition also. I know that it was 18 between the United States and Abbott? 19 calculated by the compendia based on information 19 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. provided by a manufacturer. 2.0 BY THE WITNESS: 20 21 Q. Okay. Hello? 21 A. And, again, I guess what I don't A. Hello, I'm here. understand is what you mean by "lack of direct 22 Page 127 Page 129 transactions," what that means. So I can't 1 Q. Yeah, I'm sorry. We're getting a 2 little bit fuzzy, which concerns me because 2 answer the question. sometimes that means that the US Attorney's phone 3 3 Q. Okay. That's fine. Then we'll move 4 system is going to konk out. So if it does, I 4 on. 5 will try to call you guys on my BlackBerry. Can Do you have an understanding, ma'am, or 6 you hear me okay? do you have any knowledge of the lack of any 7 7 misrepresentations between the United States --A. Yes. or I'm sorry -- to the United States concerning 8 8 Q. Ma'am, do you have any other 9 9 understanding or knowledge of the calculation of AWP for the subject drugs? AWP for pharmaceutical products? MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 10 10 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 11 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A. I don't know anything about what you 13 A. Other than what I just described, no. 13 just said. Q. Okay. So we've exhausted your 14 14 Q. Okay. Ma'am, do you have any knowledge knowledge on the calculation of AWP for or understanding about the lack of any fraud 15 15 pharmaceutical products? committed by Abbott --16 16 17 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 17 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. BY THE WITNESS: 18 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 18 19 19 Q. -- to the Medicaid or Medicare A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. Ma'am, do you have any knowledge 20 programs? or understanding of the lack of direct 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. transactions between the United States and 22 BY THE WITNESS:

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 130 Page 132 A. So is the question did Abbott think BY THE WITNESS: 1 2 they committed fraud or do I know that Abbott 2 A. I am not aware Abbott violated any of thought they committed fraud; is that the 3 those regulations. question you're asking? 4 Q. And that's based upon your personal 4 5 5 experience with Abbott; is that correct? Q. You're free to answer both questions. 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 6 A. Yeah, that's strictly based on my 7 BY THE WITNESS: 7 personal experience and understanding, yes. 8 A. I don't understand the question that 8 Q. Are you aware of any measures that 9 Abbott undertook to ensure that it did not 9 you're asking me to answer. 10 Q. Okay. Well, then, that's fine. Let me 10 violate Medicare or Medicaid statutes or 11 try and ask it this way: During your tenure as 11 regulations? 12 an Abbott employee, were you aware of any 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. violations of the Medicare or Medicaid statutes Also the same instruction. 13 13 14 or regulations while you were at Abbott? 14 BY THE WITNESS: MS. CITERA: Object to the form. I'd 15 15 A. Abbott had -- No, I am not aware of 16 also counsel you not to reveal any discussions 16 that. you had with counsel. 17 17 Q. You're not aware of any measures that 18 BY THE WITNESS: 18 Abbott undertook to ensure that it did not 19 A. I was not aware that there was -- that 19 violate the Medicare Medicaid statutes or 2.0 Abbott did that, that Abbott could have done 2.0 regulations? 21 that. 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. Q. That Abbott could have done what? 22 BY THE WITNESS: 22 Page 131 Page 133 A. However you phrased that. 1 A. I'm sorry. I misunderstood the 1 2 Q. Okay. Violated the Medicare or 2 question. 3 Medicaid statutes or regulations? 3 Q. That's why I asked it again. 4 A. Yeah, I don't know that Abbott did 4 A. Yes. We had -- Abbott had in place 5 that, violated any of the fraud and abuse operating -- policies, corporate policies that 6 6 were created and developed with our legal 7 7 department and our Office of Ethics and Q. That's based on your knowledge and experience with Abbott? 8 Compliance that were the policies that we 8 9 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 9 operated under as Abbott employees. And there were the corporate policies, and then there were 10 BY THE WITNESS: A. Yeah, I do not believe -- Well, I do divisional procedures for -- for each one of the 11 11 12 not know that Abbott or -- there was never 12 divisions to make sure that they acted within those -- make sure that they acted within those 13 anything -- See, I'm not sure what I'm answering. 13 14 Q. Okay. It's very simple. Let me --14 corporate policies. MS. CITERA: It's actually not. 15 15 Q. Okay. Let me --16 A. So we did have that. 16 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 17 Q. Let me try and simplify it, I should 17 Q. -- let me start with the corporate say. Are you aware of Abbott at any time during 18 policies -- First let me start with the Office of 18 19 your tenure as an employee at Abbott violating 19 Ethics and Compliance. When did the Office of 20 20 any Medicare or Medicaid statutes or regulations? Ethics and Compliance within Abbott, when was it 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Also 21 formulated? 22 22 the same caution. A. I don't know.

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Page 136

Chicago, IL

1

2

8

9

13

14 15

3

Page 134

- Q. Do you recall whether it existed prior 1 2 to 2000?
- A. I don't know when it -- when it was 4 initiated.
- 5 Q. Okay. If you had a question concerning ethics or compliance matters prior to 2001, who 6 7 would you take those concerns to?
- 8 A. We would probably start with our counsel, go to our counsel and work with them; 9 and then based on direction from them, we would
- 10 11 take it from there.
- 12 Q. Okay. When you say "counsel," do you mean in-house counsel? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you know whether the Abbott in-house lawyer was also during -- prior to 2001 the 16 corporate ethics officer? 17
- 18 MS. CITERA: Object to the form.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 2.0 A. I do not recall within our in-house
- 21 counsel who had responsibility for what during
- that period of time.

7

8

Page 135

- 1 Q. Okay. What about after 2001? Who 2 would you take your concerns about or your questions about ethics and compliance -- And when I say "compliance," I mean compliance with state and federal statutes -- who would you take those 6 concerns to?
 - MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. BY THE WITNESS:
- 9 A. I think again at that point, we probably still would have started with our 10 counsel, in-house counsel, and then they would 11 12 have directed us to someone else.
- 13 Q. Was there someone within your in-house 14 counsel's office you were familiar with that you 15 could take these questions to?
- MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 16 17 BY THE WITNESS:
- 18 A. I think we would take them to -- If I 19 recall correctly, we probably started with Honey
- 20 Lynn Goldberg at the time and then somebody else
- 21 -- and then whoever she would designate for us to
- 22 work with after that.

Q. Okay. Do you recall taking questions concerning ethics and compliance to Honey Lynn Goldberg?

4 MS. CITERA: I object to the form. I'm 5 also going to caution you that's a yes or no, not 6 to reveal what you discussed, if you did discuss 7 anything.

- BY THE WITNESS:
- A. What I meant to say was if we had 10 questions, the person we would probably start with would be Honey Lynn or someone in her 11 12 organization.
 - Q. Okay. And my question, though, is, do you ever recall taking any questions to her?
 - A. I did not take any questions to her.
- Q. Do you recall whether any of your 16 17 employees within Alt Site who were under your 18 supervision took questions to her?
- 19 A. I don't recall that that ever happened 2.0 during my tenure in Alternate Site Product Sales.
- 21 Q. And as --
- 22 A. As the manager.

Page 137

- 1 Q. As the manager, do you think that you would have learned if a concern had been taken? 2
 - A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What about when you were in Alt Site? Do you recall taking any ethics and compliance 6 questions to in-house counsel?
- 7 A. That was what I was just addressing, 8 was Alt Site.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry, Home Infusion. When you were in Home Infusion, do you recall having any 10 ethics or compliance questions that you took to 11 12 in-house counsel?
- 13 A. I would have probably taken it to my 14 manager, and I don't recall ever having any 15 questions regarding that.
- Q. Okay. What about after, in '03 when 16 17 you were in Contract Marketing? Do you recall taking any ethics and compliance questions to in-18 19 house counsel?
- 20 A. I don't recall taking any questions to 21 them because, at that point, I was more focused 22 on our internal activities.

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158 www.hendersonlegalservices.com

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 138 Page 140 Q. Okay. Developing different policies why you needed to develop these policies and 1 2 and procedures, you mean? 2 procedures? 3 A. Yes. 3 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 4 Q. Okay. 4 BY THE WITNESS: 5 5 A. And I did -- I mean, I did have some A. You know. I think it did have -- I 6 conversations with the Office of Ethics and 6 think it had something to do with that corporate 7 Compliance about what we were putting into place 7 integrity agreement, yes. within our organization. But, again, it wasn't 8 Q. Okay. What -- What particular policies 8 9 9 related to fraud and abuse and the Antikickback. and procedures did you develop? It was regarding what we were trying to implement 10 A. I developed one for discounts and 10 in the department. rebates, for no-charge products, for -- There was 11 11 12 Q. Okay. The procedures that you did take 12 one for reimbursement, one for group purchasing to the Office of Ethics and Compliance, why did 13 13 organization relationships, one for -- one or two 14 you take them there? 14 relating to how we handled receivables. I think MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. -- Is that seven? That's what I can remember. 15 15 16 MS. CITERA: I didn't count. BY THE WITNESS: 16 17 17 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: A. Some of it was related to the policies, 18 the corporate policies that were implemented and 18 Q. I've got I think five here. That's all 19 then the divisional policies that were created --19 you can remember? that were created for the Hospital Products 20 A. Yeah. 20 Division in relationship to those corporate 21 Q. Who asked you to develop them? policies. I was -- I was involved in writing 22 A. Katherine Zasdenoff from our Office of Page 139 Page 141 those HPD policies -- HPD procedures for us based Ethics and Compliance, from the Abbott Office of 2 on those corporate policies. 2 Ethics and Compliance. 3 Q. Okay. What corporate policies are you 3 Q. Now, during this period in '03, were 4 referencing? 4 these the only project you were working on, the 5 5 development of these particular policies; or were A. I believe we called them "Federal 6 Healthcare Program Guidelines." I believe that 6 there other items you were working on? 7 was the -- I believe that was what they were --7 A. Well, I was responsible for the training activities for the individuals within 8 that they were called, and I think there were six 8 9 or seven of them. 9 Contract Marketing; and I was also working with legal counsel to develop all of the contract 10 Q. Okay. And do you know why -- When were 10 they created? templates that we use for writing -- writing 11 11 12 A. I think that was the 2002/2003 time 12 contracts with all of the Hospital Products frame, but I can't remember exactly. 13 13 Division customers. Q. Okay. Why were you changing the 14 14 Q. Do you know whether they were created 15 incident to Abbott's entry into a corporate 15 contract templates? A. What we had determined is that we had a integrity agreement with the United States? 16 16 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 17 17 lot of different templates based on the different BY THE WITNESS: products that we were selling, and what we said 18 18 19 A. I think it was probably about the same 19 is we really need to standardize these. And so 20 20 time. I can't answer whether that was the reason what we did is we came back and looked at all of 21 why. 21 them and standardized so that they would all --22 Q. Well, was a reason given to you as to 22 they were all in the some format. We made sure

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Page 144

Page 145

Chicago, IL

7

Page 142

that we had all of the same clauses in them that needed to be in those agreements. And then we identified, you know, if we were going to -- to 4 write a contract with a customer for this product, this product line, we wanted to make 6 sure that there were some things that were 7 included for that, for those products. And if there was different products, there were other 8 9 things we included in a different template. So 10 we just looked at what we had been doing and said we need to get standardized a little more on what 11

Q. Okay. Did the decision to change the contract templates have anything to do with the corporate integrity agreement?

MS. CITERA: Object to the form.

17

was being written.

12

13

14

15

16

6

7

BY THE WITNESS: 18 A. No. That project actually started 19 before that, and there were other people working 20 on it before I came back into the department; and 21 then they gave that to me as one of my responsibilities.

Q. Okay. What training activities did you 1 2 undertake? 3 A. We have -- We have over -- We had and 4 still do today as Hospira, over a hundred people

in Contract Marketing. And we were implementing training activities to teach new people how to use our Contract Marketing systems. We have --

8 And we have four or five systems, so it was how 9

to use those. We did training on how to use Word 10 and Excel. What we did is we actually created a

class that taught people all of the functions of 11

12 Excel that were used most frequently in Contract

Marketing, so it was really a focused class. 13 We also had some classes that we called

14 15 Management Development, and those were actually

courses that people could take to get them 16

17 additional skill sets to possibly get them ready for another position in another area. So those 18

19 were the types of training activities that I was

20 responsible for.

Q. Okay. And during the time period, 21 22 other than developing these six or seven policies we discussed, your training activities, and your

2 development of the contract templates, do you

3 have any other responsibilities?

A. No, because that was pretty much full-4 5 time.

6 O. Sounds like it could be.

A. Yeah.

8 Q. Did you have any responsibilities with 9 regard to preparing for the spin of Hospira --10 Hospira?

11 A. I was peripherally involved in the 12 order to cash team, and that was only near the 13 end. And that was making sure that when -- And

part of this was because I had been on the SAP 14

15 project a couple years earlier when we moved to

16 SAP for order management. They brought me in on

17 the spin stuff because of my knowledge of the

order management system, the SAP system, which we 18

19 were keeping even though we were separating from 20 Abbott. So we were still keeping SAP for order

21 management. So they included me on that team to

22 make sure that all of those things -- we could

Page 143

1 continue to have customers place orders and get

2 invoiced correctly. 3 Q. Going back to the corporate policies 4 that we were discussing earlier concerning

5 Medicare and Medicaid compliance, do you recall

what particular policies were developed? 7

MS. CITERA: Object to the form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Well -- And, again, we created -- There was an -- There was an Abbott corporate policy, 11 and we created HPD procedures for each one of 12 those; and that's what I was describing.

Q. Okay. So what you were describing, 14 then, were the division -- That leads to my next question -- were the divisional procedures that were developed pursuant to the Abbott corporate policy?

18 A. Right. And I was developing those for 19 the Hospital Products Division.

20 Q. Okay. Prior to this '02 to '03 time 21 frame, what corporate policy or divisional 22

policies do you recall being in place concerning

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 146 Page 148 Medicare or Medicaid statutory and regulatory 1 A. It was a document that was created -- I compliance? 2 was in Alternate Site at the time. And it was a 2 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. document that was created on the hospital side 4 BY THE WITNESS: for, I believe, the hospital pricing analysts to 5 5 use when they were writing contracts for A. I don't recall what types of procedures and policies we had in place before that. 6 6 customers. 7 Q. Do you know whether there were any in 7 Q. Okay. Do you remember when it was 8 8 developed? place? 9 9 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. A. No. Like I said, I know it was when I 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 was in Alternate Site. I'm thinking somewhere A. No. I do not know. between '98 and 2000; but I don't remember 11 12 Q. Ma'am, do you recall or are you exactly when it was, when it was initially familiar with the term "spread"? created. 13 13 A. Yeah, we discussed that in -- last 14 14 Q. Do you remember how long it was in 15 summer. 15 place for? 16 16 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. Q. Okay. Do you recall any policies or procedures concerning the sales force members 17 BY THE WITNESS: 17 18 discussing spread or the difference between 18 A. No, I do not recall how long it was in 19 contract price and AWP? 19 place. 20 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 20 Q. Do you recall seeing it when you 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 returned to Contract Marketing in '03? A. No, I do not. It was -- I do not A. I do not recall whether or not we had a 22 Page 147 Page 149 policy that discussed that. believe it was in place -- I do not believe it 2 Q. Okay. 2 was being used anymore. Q. In '03? 3 A. I do believe, though, that when we did 3 4 -- And I'd have to go back and review it. It's 4 A. Yes. been a while. But I do believe that the 5 Q. Okay. Did you have access to it when 6 reimbursement policy that was written and then 6 you were in Alt Site? 7 the HPD procedure that was written in 7 A. Yes. 8 8 relationship to that, there was something that Q. And what did you use that -- the referenced AWP, although I can't remember exactly 9 9 Contract Marketing Basic Operating Procedures 10 what -- what it was, but that we did not discuss Manual for when you were in Alt Site? 10 11 it and what the policy would be on that. But I 11 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 12 don't recall whether we had something prior to 12 BY THE WITNESS: 13 13 that. A. We did not use it in Alternate Site. I 14 Q. Okay. When you say -- Are you talking 14 think that there was -- At one point, we looked about the policies that you participated in 15 15 at it and talked about creating some type of a drafting in '02 and '03? comparable document for us in Alt Site. But as I 16 16 recall, we never moved forward with doing 17 A. Yes. 17 anything along those lines. 18 Q. Okay. Ma'am, do you -- are you 18 familiar with the Contract Marketing Basic 19 19 Q. Do you recall any discussion about the 20 20 **Operating Procedures Manual?** accuracy or utility of the document? A. Yes. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 21 22 Q. What is that document? 22 BY THE WITNESS:

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 150 Page 152 A. I think that may have been part of the in Home Infusion until it closed down. We did 1 reason why we never adopted it, but I don't 2 work together for a period of time; but I don't recall any specific conversations where we remember when he started and when, you know, 4 versus when I left in '96. But we did work 4 discussed it. 5 5 Q. Okay. Ma'am, are you familiar with together for a while. Virginia Tobiason? Q. Okay. Other than your work with her in 6 6 7 A. Yes. 7 Home Infusion, did you work with Virginia 8 O. Who is she? 8 Tobiason at any other time? 9 A. When I was working on the HPD operating 9 A. I believe she's still at Abbott today. 10 In -- doing re- -- something in their Office of 10 procedures, I worked with Ginnie to create the Ethics and Compliance for reimbursement. But I 11 HPD procedure for reimbursement. 12 worked for Virginia when I was in Home Infusion 12 Q. Okay. A. And --13 13 Services. 14 14 Q. Okay. And how was your relationship Q. And how did that -- What were your 15 contributions to that project, and what were her 15 with her? contributions to that project? 16 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 16 17 BY THE WITNESS: 17 A. I was --A. I liked Ginnie. MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 18 18 19 Q. Okay. How was she as a manager? 19 BY THE WITNESS: 2.0 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 2.0 A. I was really struggling with how to 21 BY THE WITNESS: write it, and Ginnie gave me a very good draft of A. She was different. what we should say in the procedure. And then I Page 151 Page 153 Q. How was she different? was able to fill in all of the rest of the blanks 1 2 A. She had good days and bad days as a regarding who the responsible parties would be 2 3 3 and how we would handle things from a manager. 4 Q. Okay. And what do you mean she had 4 reimbursement perspective within HPD. good days and bad days? 5 Q. Do you know where she got the draft 6 A. There were -- There were days when 6 from, or did she write it? working with Ginnie was a joy. She knew --7 7 A. No, I don't know where she got it from Ginnie knew everything that there was to know 8 8 or whether she wrote it. about what -- her area of responsibility. She's 9 9 Q. Okay. Any other interaction with very, very bright; but sometimes she was a little Virginia Tobiason? 10 10 11 11 A. Not on a business basis. moody. 12 Q. Okay. Did her moodiness affect her 12 Q. Okay. Do you have a personal relationships? relationship with her? 13 13 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 14 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 14 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 BY THE WITNESS: 16 A. It may have. 16 A. We had -- You know, we would periodically get together, mostly when I was 17 Q. Okay. Do you know Mr. Bruce Rodman? 17 A. Yes. 18 working in Home Infusion Services. But I saw her 18 Q. Who is he? a couple of times -- you know, after she left 19 19 A. He was -- He worked in reimbursement in 20 Home Infusion, she went to the Diagnostics 20 division before she went to the Office of Ethics 21 Home Infusion Services. I don't exactly remember 21 22 when he started in reimbursement, but he stayed and Compliance. So, you know, we'd kind of talk

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 154 Page 156 to each other now and then, find out what's going Q. Well, I should have told you at the 1 2 2 beginning of the day that if there's anything you 3 Q. Okay. Do you know, have you heard that can think of as we move along during the day, she may be retiring? 4 feel free to pipe up and we can -- we can add 4 5 that to the record. 5 A. Good for her. Q. Oh. I'm just wondering whether that 6 A. Okay. 6 7 was something that you were aware of. 7 Q. Ma'am, you had testified earlier that A. No, I'm not. 8 Virginia Tobiason was extremely bright and knew 8 Q. Okay. You know, folks, what time do 9 everything that there was to know about her area. 9 10 you have because I'm about to -- I can start 10 Do you remember that testimony? launching into the documents. But if now is a 11 A. Yes. 11 12 good breaking time, we can take a break as well. 12 Q. What is Virginia Tobiason's area? MR. ANDERSON: We need to because of 13 A. Virginia was the manager of 13 14 reimbursement when I was in Home Infusion 14 the tape, and it's lunchtime so --MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Let's do that. 15 Services. 15 16 MR. ANDERSON: -- I think that's a good 16 Q. Okay. So when you say "about her 17 area," do you mean about reimbursement services? 17 idea. A. About the reimbursement services, about 18 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. 18 19 Everyone enjoy your lunch. Are we going to try 19 what Abbott Home Infusion Services was doing from 20 and reconvene back in about 45 minutes? a reimbursement perspective. And, again, that's 2.0 21 MR. ANDERSON: We'll connect the phone 21 my opinion of what -- of Ginnie's area of 22 -- you know, we'll recall in at 12:45. 22 expertise. Page 155 Page 157 1 Q. Do you believe that she's -- that she 1 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. Is that good for everybody? Ms. Leone, does that give 2 can continues to this day to have that area of 3 you enough time? 3 expertise? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 5 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the BY THE WITNESS: 6 record at 11:57 a.m. with the end of Tape No. 2. 6 A. I don't -- I don't know. 7 7 Q. Okay. Ma'am, if you could --(A short break was had.) MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Jarrett, I'm 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 8 9 9 looking at file folder No. 4. record at 12:58 p.m. with the start of Tape No. 10 3. 10 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. I'm marking it. BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 11 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Can we start 11 12 O. Welcome back, Ms. Leone. 12 with that document? 13 A. Thank you. 13 MR. ANDERSON: It's marked as Leone Q. First, I want to ask, is there -- And I 14 14 Deposition Exhibit 1. should have asked this morning as well. Is there 15 15 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 001 any -- As you've had a lunch break, is there any marked as requested.) 16 16 testimony that you've given so far today that 17 17 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: you've thought about and want to either augment 18 Q. Okay. Ms. Leone, could you take a few 18 19 or change or supplement in any way? 19 minutes and look at this document? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 20 20 A. Okay. 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 Q. Okay. I appreciate that it's a May 27, 22 '92 document. But do you recall this document? A. Not at this -- not that I can think of. 22

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 158 Page 160 A. No. 1 MS. CITERA: Yeah. Is that the page 1 2 Q. Do you recall Abbott Home Infusion 2 you're referring to -having a relationship with Cedars-Sinai Medical 3 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: It's the page 4 number at the top I'm referring to. 4 Center? 5 5 A. Yes. MS. CITERA: I don't think you 6 6 Q. Do you recall an issue concerning the understood that. management price negotiations for Cedars and 7 7 THE WITNESS: No. having authority of up to 20 percent discount on 8 8 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: It should be any therapy without the approval of Cedars? 9 9 Home Infusion Therapy Agreement. 10 A. No, I don't. 10 MS. CITERA: Yeah, yeah. It's just she 11 Q. Okay. Do you recall anything about the was just thinking the sixth page of the entire 11 12 subject matter of this letter? 12 document. A. No. 13 13 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Oh, I'm sorry. 14 14 Q. Okay. Do you have any doubt that you THE WITNESS: Okay. 6, 7, and 8, received a copy of this letter? 15 15 A. I have no doubts that I received a copy 16 16 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 17 of this letter. 17 Q. Yes. 18 Q. Do you have any reason to dispute the 18 A. Okay. 19 accuracy of the information contained in this 19 Q. First, flipping to the first page of 20 this agreement or of this exhibit, ma'am, do you 2.0 letter? 21 A. No, I do not. recognize this document? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. A. Again, no. 22 22 Page 159 Page 161 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Okay. Were you familiar with the 1 1 Licking Memorial Hospital, or LMH, home infusion 2 A. I'm sorry. No, I do not. 2 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. 3 account within Home Infusion Services? 3 4 Jarrett, if we could move on to No. 5. 4 A. Yes, I was. 5 5 Q. Was that an account that you worked on? MR. ANDERSON: I'm handing the witness 6 what's now been marked as Leone Deposition 6 A. Yes, it was. 7 7 Q. Ma'am, if you could turn to Page 6, Exhibit No. 2. could you tell me under sub -- under Paragraph 8, 8 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 002 8 Reimbursement Services, do you see that? And 9 marked as requested.) 9 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: there's an enumerated A through F? 10 10 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. Ma'am, I appreciate that this is a 12 longer document. I can -- You're welcome to read 12 Q. Were those services that were provided the entire document, but I can tell you the pages 13 by Abbott Home Infusion to LMH? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes, they 14 14 that I am going to ask you questions about --15 15 A. Okav. were. Q. -- are Pages 6, 7, 8, and 11. Q. Were you responsible for providing 16 16 A. Okay. 17 17 those services? MS. CITERA: Just to be clear, 6 like MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 18 18 19 the sixth page of the document, not 6, because 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 20 some of these pages are numbered? A. At one point, and I don't remember when, I was responsible for doing the MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: It's numbered 21 21 reimbursement for Licking. And then -- and then 22 at the top. 22

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 162 Page 164 later on, obviously in 1992 when we started doing of the customers. case management -- but it looks as if this was 2 Q. Okay. So you contracted to provide cancelled by that time. 3 reimbursement services, but you also contracted 4 Q. That this particular contract was 4 to initiate legal proceedings on behalf of the 5 cancelled by that time? partnership? A. No, wait a minute, because I went into 6 A. Yes. 6 7 that position. 7 Q. On behalf of the partner, I'm sorry. 8 Q. Oh, I see. 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 9 9 A. Yeah. BY THE WITNESS: 10 Q. So is it your testimony that this 10 A. Yes. contract had been cancelled by that time? 11 O. In the event an overdue account 11 12 A. No. As I'm looking at it, it looks occurred? 12 13 like this contract started in '92 so -- If I 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. recollect the history on Licking correctly, prior 14 14 BY THE WITNESS: to my taking the case management position, I was 15 A. Yes. I believe that when we contracted 15 going to be the reimbursement specialist for for reimbursement services, we also made -- this 16 16 17 Licking. But by the time this contract was 17 was an option that the customers could choose. signed, I had moved over to the managed care Q. Okay. Do you know whether -- Did you 18 18 19 position. So I did not -- I did not do the 19 sue on behalf of the client, or did you sue in 2.0 reimbursement services for them. 2.0 Abbott's own name? 21 Q. Okay. Do you know whether this 21 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 22 contract looks similar or is a comparable 22 BY THE WITNESS: Page 163 Page 165 contract to other home infusion consignment A. I don't know the answer to that because 1 2 partnerships that Abbott had? 2 I'm not sure if and when that ever happened, how 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 3 it was done. 4 BY THE WITNESS: 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall any instance when 5 Abbott initiated on behalf of a consignment A. Based on my review of these three 6 pages, this appears to be comparable or similar 6 partner a legal proceeding to collect overdue to other contracts that were written for these 7 patient accounts? 7 8 8 services for home infusion. A. No, I do not recall whether that ever 9 Q. Okay. If you could look under No. 9 9 happened. 10 where it says "Overdue accounts," that first 10 Q. Okay. Under these consignment 11 sentence of that section reads, "In the event an 11 arrangements, who received the assignment of 12 account is deemed uncollectible, Abbott may file 12 benefits? legal proceedings to collect such overdue patient 13 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. account"; do you see that? 14 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. Yes. 15 A. The assignment of benefits was to the 16 Q. Why is it that Abbott could file legal 16 partner. proceedings to collect the overdue patient 17 17 Q. Okay. At all times? 18 A. If -- If it was an arrangement that -account? 18 where we were billing in that customer's name to 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 19 20 BY THE WITNESS: 20 the third-party payors, then the assignment of benefits was also in their name. 21 A. I think that was just included as part 21 22 of the services that we would perform on behalf 22 Q. Would there be any other arrangement?

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 166 Page 168 Would there be an arrangement where under these MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 1 2 partnerships, you would bill in Abbott's name? 2 BY THE WITNESS: 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 3 A. I believe that when it was identified 4 BY THE WITNESS: 4 that there was limited or no insurance, that 5 5 A. I do not recall once we started writing there were discussions to determine whether or contracts this way that there were ever any 6 6 not it was -- it made sense to take that patient 7 circumstances where -- when we had an arrangement 7 8 like this, where we billed in Abbott's name as 8 Q. Okay. What about continuing with the 9 9 opposed to the partner's name. patient if they lost their coverage or lost a 10 Q. Okay. Do you recall any -- Prior to 10 portion of their coverage? 11 the implementation of this particular contract 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. type, do you recall instances where Abbott was in 12 BY THE WITNESS: a consignment arrangement with a particular 13 13 A. I'm not sure how those patients were customer where Abbott billed under its provider 14 14 handled. number in its own name? 15 15 Q. Do you recall any instances where that 16 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 16 occurred? 17 BY THE WITNESS: 17 A. Not that I can think of at this time. 18 A. I don't recall any circumstances where 18 Q. Okay. If you could turn to Page 8, 19 that happened. 19 please, it says under paragraph -- or under Item 20 Q. Okay. If you could flip to Page 7, 20 No. 18, "Compensation: Billings for products and 21 Paragraph 10 where it says "Low Reimbursement 21 services provided under the terms of this Patients"; do you see that? agreement shall be submitted to third parties of Page 167 Page 169 1 A. Yes. -- to the third party of medical benefits and/or 2 the patient's"; do you see that? Q. What does that paragraph mean? 2 3 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 3 A. Yes. Q. What were the patients billed in terms 4 BY THE WITNESS: 4 5 5 of the products? A. The paragraph, as I would interpret it, 6 was written that if there's patients who do not 6 A. If, for instance, the customer had a 7 have any type of insurance, whether it's 7 policy where there was -- the insurance paid 80 Medicare, Medicaid, or private insurance, the 8 percent and there was a customer copay, and the 8 9 parties are going to discuss whether it makes 9 same would hold true for Medicare, once the -sense to take that patient on and provide 10 10 once the Medicare claim was paid and there was a 11 patient copay, we would -- the patient -- if the services for them. 11 12 Q. Well, does it also cover patients who patient did not have secondary insurance of some might not have sufficient coverage as opposed to sort or another, that patient would be balance 13 13 14 no coverage? 14 15 A. It may. 15 Q. Okay. And would that be part of the 16 Q. Okay. And was that the practice when 16 services that Abbott would provide, billing the implementing these consignment contracts, if 17 17 patient? there was a patient who had insufficient 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 18 19 reimbursement or no reimbursement, that Abbott 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 20 would confer with the customer and then a A. Yes. That was part of the billing and reimbursement services. 21 decision would be made about whether or not to 21 continue providing services to the client? 22 Q. Okay. As part of the billing and

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 170 Page 172 BY THE WITNESS: reimbursement services -- Or I'm sorry. 2 As a reimbursement specialist, were you 2 A. I believe -- And, again, it's been 3 responsible for also identifying what collections 3 almost 15 years now that this -- Infusion Care needed to be collected from the consignment 4 Associates was one of those home infusion 4 5 partner and paid back to Abbott? customers, and this was the maximum percentages 6 6 A. No. that could be negotiated with the third-party 7 Q. If you could, last page, flip to Page 7 payors on behalf of patients for Infusion Care 11 and my -- the question I'm going to ask you 8 Associates. 8 pertains to Item No. 24. I know we discussed 9 9 Q. Can you explain what you mean by that? 10 earlier whether or not these arrangements were 10 A. Well, for instance, in a negotiation joint ventures. But I want to ask you whether with the insurance company for a patient who was 11 11 on TPN, which is the first item on here, I was 12 you recall the issue of joint ventureship with a 12 consignment partner coming up -given the authority to negotiate up to a 50 13 percent discount off of the billable charges for 14 MS. CITERA: Objection. 14 15 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: any TPN patients that they had. 15 16 Q. -- at all during your tenure in Home 16 Q. Okay. And how were the billable 17 17 charges determined? Infusion? 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 18 A. They're -- Every one of the -- The 19 BY THE WITNESS: 19 customers that we had relationships with had 2.0 20 prices -- had a billable charge set up for all of A. No, I do not. 21 Q. Okay. 21 the components of what they would be shipping to a patient who was on a home infusion therapy. 22 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Jarrett, if we Page 171 Page 173 could move on to document No. 6 or File No. 6, 1 So, for instance, a patient who was 2 which will be Exhibit 3, right? 2 receiving TPN would -- for, say, a seven-day 3 3 supply of TPN would get seven bags of compounded MR. ANDERSON: Yes. I am marking TPN solution. There might be IV fat emulsions 4 what's been marked as Exhibit 3 and providing 4 5 5 sent to them. There would be an IV set that they that to the witness. 6 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 003 6 would receive. There would be dressing supplies. 7 7 marked as requested.) There would be heparin and saline for flushing, 8 needles and syringes for doing those flushes, and 8 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: so on and so forth. And each one of those items 9 9 Q. I'll give you a few minutes, and look 10 at this. 10 had a billable charge for that item. 11 And when you totaled it up and you 11 A. Okay. 12 divided it by however many bags of TPN that was 12 Q. Do you recognize this document, ma'am? 13 A. No. being sent to that patient, that would be a daily 13 14 charge. And that was the starting point for the Q. All right. Do you know whether you 14 negotiation because for that patient, that could 15 created it? 15 16 come up to whatever that -- whatever that value 16 A. I'm going to make the assumption that I 17 did create it. 17 was. And then I had the ability to negotiate Q. Is that because you are identified as that price by half, if necessary, with an 18 18 19 the contact person? 19 insurance company. 20 20 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And who would set the billable charge? 21 Q. Okay. Ma'am, what is this document? 21 22 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 22 A. The customers could set up those

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Page 176

Chicago, IL

Page 174

billable charges. They -- based on all the

- 2 products that they knew they were going -- that
- they were going to be using for those patients,
- they had -- they had the ability to set up those 4
 - billable charges.

6

- Q. Did Abbott ever do that for them?
- 7 A. There may have been situations where one of those customers might have said they would 8
- 9 like us to do it on their behalf, in which case
- 10 we would probably make some determinations and
- then have them review it; and if they were 11
- 12 comfortable with that, we would then -- those
- would be the billable charges for that customer. 13
- 14 Q. Okay. For charges that had product
- 15 components like, for example, an antibiotic, 16 would the billable charge be based in part upon
- the AWP for that product? 17
- 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 2.0 A. When I was first in Home Infusion
- 21 Services, all of those prices had been created;
- and I don't know how they were originally

Page 175

- created, so I don't know what the original
- 2 formula was for how the antibiotics --
- 3 O. Were --
- 4 A. Then in 1994, late 1994/1995 time
- frame, there were a lot of new antibiotics that
- 6 were coming on the market that were being used in
- 7 a home setting. And we determined that we needed
- 8 to come up with some kind of a formula for
- 9 determining what a bag of that compounded drug
- 10 would be. And so what we did is we came up with
- a value that we said was -- included the pharmacy 11
- labor and overhead for compounding that bag of
- antibiotic, and then we added in a drug cost.
- 14 And I believe under most circumstances, we used
- 15 the AWP for the drug as that value.
- Q. Is that what your -- is that what the 16
- 17 consignment customer paid for that product, the
- AWP price? 18
- 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:
- 21 A. No. What they were paying for was --
- 22 If it was on consignment -- again, for an

- antibiotic patient, there were very few
- 2 antibiotics that Abbott had; so they were really
- purchasing the majority of their antibiotics from
- 4 wherever they were able to source those products
- 5 from. So the products that they got from Abbott
- on consignment were -- and then, again, that was 6
- 7 consignment and it was factored into the value of
- how the reimbursement was shared. And I don't 8
- 9 know how that formula was done.
- 10 Q. Well, why use AWP? Why not use -- for 11 the Abbott products, why not use, for example,
- 12 the contract prices for the GPOs?
- MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 13
- 14 BY THE WITNESS:
- 15 A. Well, again, the majority of the
- antibiotics were not Abbott products. 16 17 Q. Okay. I'm talking about for the Abbott
- 18 products. 19 A. I know. And so we were trying to come
- 20 up with a formula that would work across the
 - board and that would make the pricing consistent
- for each bag of antibiotics. And we weren't

Page 177

- looking at that pricing based on the consignment.
- 2 We were looking at trying to come up with a
- 3 pricing formula that was consistent for all 4
 - products.
- 5 And then the customers -- Again, as I
- said, the customers had the choice of saying
- 7 "Yes, this makes sense to me" or "No, I would
- rather do something else"; and in that case, they 8
- 9 had the option to change what was being done and
- 10 how they wanted it done.
- 11 Q. Okay. When you say "we came up with
- 12 this," who is "we"?
- 13 A. I worked with my manager at the time,
- 14 and I can't remember whether it was Dave Brincks
- 15 or Kathy Riddle, to come up with a formula. And
- then we went back and repriced all the 16
- 17 antibiotics, all of the pain management drugs,
- the morphines, all of the chemotherapy drugs, or 18
- 19 any other -- any other product that would have
- 20 been compounded in a bag and then infused through

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

- an IV for that type of a --21
- 22 Q. Under these consignment arrangements,

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

	Page 178		Page 180
1	would Abbott also procure the non-Abbott products	1	- you know, whether they felt that it was
	and provide them to the consignment partner?	2	appropriate for them to take those patients on in
3	MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.	3	a home setting. So, I mean, I can't I at
	BY THE WITNESS:	4	this point, it's so many years later, I can't
5	A. No.	5	recall you know, when that could have happened
6	Q. So the consignment partner would have	6	or why, but
	an independent responsibility for securing those	7	Q. Well, is it fair to say is it fair
	products?	8	to say that you could only do these types of
9	A. Correct.	9	discounts for larger for larger institutions?
10	Q. Are you aware of any instance where	10	MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
	Abbott participated in a GPO and was able to	11	BY THE WITNESS:
	secure other products by other non-Abbott	12	A. I think the discounts were based on
	products for its consignment partners?	13	what that that customer said that they were
14	MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.	14	comfortable with for their patient population.
	BY THE WITNESS:	15	Q. Okay. Did Abbott have a say in the
16	A. I am not aware of that ever being a	16	level of discount that could be agreed to?
	circumstance for Home Infusion.	17	MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
18	Q. Okay. For the period that you were	18	BY THE WITNESS:
	there?	19	A. If I recall the way we handled this
20	A. For the period that I was there.	20	correctly, we pretty much left that to the
21	Q. Okay. So it could have occurred after;	21	discretion of the institution.
	you just don't know about it?	22	Q. Okay.
	Page 179		Page 181
		_	
1	MS. CITERA: Objection to form.	1	MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: If we could
	BY THE WITNESS:	2	move on to No. 11, Jarrett.
3	A. Yes, it could have occurred afterwards	3	MR. ANDERSON: I am now handing the
	and I didn't know about it.	4	witness what's been marked as Leone Deposition
5	Q. Well, let me ask you, if you're taking	5	Exhibit 4.
	discounts of up to 50 percent, is somebody losing	6	(Deposition Exhibit Leone 004
	money there? That seems a pretty steep discount,	7	marked as requested.)
8 9	doesn't it?	8	BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
_	MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.	10	Q. Ma'am, if you could take a few minutes
	BY THE WITNESS:	11	and look at this document.
11 12	A. Yes, it is. And I would And I would	12	A. Okay.
	guess that it was only in very extreme	13	Q. Have you had a chance to look at it?A. Yes.
	circumstances that the negotiations ever went to	14	
15	that point.	15	Q. Do you recognize this document?
	Q. Well, what circumstances would permit	16	A. Again, no.
17	the negotiations to get to that point? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.	17	Q. Okay. On the first page First of
	BY THE WITNESS:	18	all, I hope we're looking at the same document. It's a fax cover sheet?
19	A. This would probably be a situation	19	A. Yes.
	where the institution And, again, the	20	A. 1 es. Q. 6/17/94?
	institution had the final say on whether they	21	Q. 6/17/94? A. Correct.
	wanted to take patients or not and whether they	22	Q. Does this appear to be a fax from you

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 182 Page 184 to someone named Susan Winter? they were allowing us to negotiate on their 2 A. Yes, it is. 2 behalf with the third-party payors, up to -- up 3 Q. Who is Ms. Winter? to a 20 percent discount for these -- for these 4 A. She was one of the Home Infusion sales 4 drugs. 5 representatives back in the mid '90s. Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you can recall about this document or explain Q. Okay. And if you could turn to the 6 6 7 second page of this document, who created this 7 about this document? document, do you know? 8 A. No. 8 9 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 9 Q. I know we're taxing your memory a 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 little bit. A. This looks like something that I 11 A. You are. 11 12 probably created. 12 Q. Okay. Well, we can move on to the next Q. Okay. And what is this document? 13 document which we're going to spend a little time 13 14 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 14 with. 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Jarrett, it's A. Based on the fax cover sheet, it was No. 12 -- I'm sorry. That's the following 16 16 17 something that Susan requested from me for 17 document. If we could go to No. 12, and it's going to be marked as Exhibit 5. Hello? 18 Presbyterian. They probably wanted to see what 18 19 their -- They probably -- These were probably --19 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, I'm marking 5 now 20 20 And, again, I'm -- I'm making a guess here for and presenting that to the witness. MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. Thank 21 why these were the products on this -- on this 21 worksheet. But I'm going to guess these were the 22 you. I'm sorry. I thought we cut off there a Page 183 Page 185 high-running antibiotic products that they used -1 little bit. - Presbyterian Home Care used in their home 2. 2 MS. CITERA: He's slacking off. infusion program, and they were -- it was -- they 3 3 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 005 4 wanted to see what the usual and customary was 4 marked as requested.) 5 for each one of these, for a gravity infusion for BY THE WITNESS: 6 each one of these prescriptions based on the 6 A. Okay. 7 dosage. And then there was a discount rate and 7 Q. Ma'am, have you had a chance to look at then a low -- and I don't know what that lowest 8 8 this document? 9 A. Yes. 9 rate patient pay is. Q. Oh, you anticipated my next question. Q. Do you recognize this document? 10 10 11 A. Yeah, I have no idea what that was. 11 12 Q. Okay. What is the discount percentage? 12 Q. It appears to be an April 24, 1995 13 A. The discount there is a 20 percent 13 interoffice correspondence from you to Sharon 14 Clarey; do you see that? discount. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. And is that the same discount 15 that we were talking about in the prior -- with 16 16 Q. Who is Ms. Clarey? the prior exhibit, that's the discount range that A. I believe that at the time that this 17 17 could be negotiated? 18 was done, Sharon was one of our reimbursement 18 19 A. I'm going to guess --19 specialists, and Cedars -- she was responsible 20 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 20 for doing -- providing the reimbursement services for Cedars-Sinai Medical Center in California. 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 22 A. My guess would be that this is what 22 Q. Okay. And can you explain what this

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 186 Page 188 was a -- if there was a syringe infuser used for document is? a Cedars patient, we were going to bill Cedars \$5 2 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 3 BY THE WITNESS: for it. But the rental rate that was going to be put on the patient bills was \$8 a day. 4 A. Cedars -- Cedars -- compounding for 5 5 Cedars was done out of our Los Angeles pharmacy. Q. Why is it the rate on the patient bills They did not have their own pharmacy to do 6 6 was \$8 a day but you were only billing Cedars \$5 7 compounding. It was being done in our -- we had 7 a day? a home infusion pharmacy in California, and the 8 8 MS. CITERA: Object to the form 9 BY THE WITNESS: 9 compounding for Cedars was being done there. 10 As I read this, they were going to 10 A. Well, again, you know, you're in start using this Baxa syringe infuser for some of business to make money and that would be -- that 11 11 12 their patients. What I'm telling her is that 12 would be what -- what Abbott would hope to have she's going to start seeing that on -- on the made on that pump, on that syringe pump for --13 13 14 billable charges for Cedars patients, and this is 14 based on the patient days. 15 Q. Okay. Who gets the -- who collects the the price that we're going to be charging them, 15 which is going to be \$8 a day for the rental of \$8? 16 16 that equipment. And in addition our Los Angeles 17 17 A. Abbott. 18 pharmacy is renting those pumps from Baxa. 18 Q. And what does it pay to Cedars? 19 Q. Okay. So you're going to rent them 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. from Baxa? 2.0 BY THE WITNESS: 2.0 21 A. Yes. 21 A. It's going to pay Cedars \$5. No, wait 22 22 a minute. This is backwards. Q. Okay. And then it says in the second Page 187 Page 189 paragraph, "On the Cedars fee-for-service 1 Q. That's why I got a little confused contract, we will bill Cedars \$5 for day for 2 there. patients using this device." Do you see that? 3 3 A. It's backwards. I'm sorry. On the 4 A. Yes. 4 fee-for-service, they're paying us based on the 5 5 Q. What does that mean? rates that we've identified. 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. See, now I can't remember whether we 7 BY THE WITNESS: 8 billed in Abbott's name or whether we billed in 8 A. Cedars' agreement was not one of those 9 -- was not a revenue-sharing agreement like the 9 Cedars' name. I think -- I think we billed in 10 one we talked about for Licking. For Cedars, 10 Cedars' name for private insurance and Medicare there was a flat -- there was a flat fee that we 11 but for Medicaid, because it was being compounded 11 12 in the -- in an Abbott pharmacy, we billed 12 charged them on a per-patient basis. And then in the case of Cedars, I believe we were billing in Medicaid in Abbott's name. 13 Q. Well, who would you represent to 14 Abbott's name and not in Cedars' name. 14 Q. Why is that? 15 15 Medicaid was the provider? A. Well, because -- I don't remember all A. Right. And so that's what we did. 16 16 Q. Well, no. Who would be the provider 17 the particulars on it. But I believe that the 17 relationship that we had with Cedars preceded you were billing to Medicaid? 18 18 19 doing the revenue-share-type programs that we 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 20 20 were looking at for Licking. And so they were BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 21 invoiced on a per-patient basis. 21 Q. Would it be Abbott, or would it be 22 And so what we were saying was if there 22 Cedars?

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 190 Page 192 A. It would be Abbott for the Medicaid from you; do you see that? 1 2 patients. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you know whether you could use your 3 Q. Do you have any doubts that you drafted Abbott provider number to do that? 4 4 this memorandum? 5 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. BY THE WITNESS: 6 BY THE WITNESS: 6 7 A. We could use the Abbott provider number 7 A. No, I do not have any doubts I drafted 8 8 to bill in Abbott's name. this. 9 9 O. What is CM Healthcare Resources? Q. Okay. 10 A. And that's what we did. 10 A. It's Children's Memorial Healthcare. Q. Okay. Even though this was a Cedars It was Children's Memorial Hospital in Chicago. 11 11 12 client? They were one of the home infusion customers. 12 Q. Okay. And do you recall this meeting 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 13 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 that you had with them? MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 15 15 A. Cedars provided us with the referral for that patient, and we -- and we took care of 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 that patient, if it was Medicaid, in Abbott's 17 A. I don't recall it, no, but it appears 17 18 name. 18 to have happened. 19 Q. And what would -- what would Cedars 19 Q. Okay. And what from the context of the receive as compensation for the referral? 2.0 minutes of the meeting reflected in this document 2.0 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 that you drafted do you recall about that BY THE WITNESS: meeting? 22 Page 191 Page 193 1 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 1 A. I don't remember; and I haven't seen the Cedars contract, so I can't -- I can't say. 2 2 BY THE WITNESS: 3 O. But would there be -- would there be 3 A. What this pertains to is when we first 4 some kind of remuneration for the referral? 4 initiated our relationship with Children's 5 Memorial in Chicago, everything was billed in MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 6 BY THE WITNESS: 6 Abbott's name. And there was a relationship with 7 7 Children's where they received part of the --A. I don't know. what was collected from the insurance companies 8 Q. If we could go on to the next exhibit. 8 9 And, ma'am, I am going to ask you to look at both 9 and Medicaid. I don't recall how that -- how pages of this carefully because we're going to 10 10 that -- how that was set up. But initially spend some time on this one. 11 everything was done in Abbott's name. 11 12 12 A. Okay. Then in June of '95, we agreed that it 13 13 was going to change to Children's name instead of MR. ANDERSON: I'm handing the witness what's been marked as Exhibit 6. Abbott's name except for the Medicaid patients 14 14 15 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 006 15 because, again, it was being compounded in the marked as requested.) Abbott pharmacy with -- the products that those 16 16 BY THE WITNESS: Medicaid patients were receiving, it was going to 17 17 be compounded in the Abbott pharmacy; so it was 18 A. Okay. 18 Q. Okay. Ms. Leone, do you recognize this 19 19 the Abbott provider number that was going to be 20 20 document? used. 21 A. No. 21 Q. Okay. You said that CM Healthcare Q. It appears to be an interoffice memo would receive a part of what was collected from 22 22

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 194 Page 196 insurers and Medicaid. Is that also true for 1 BY THE WITNESS: 2 Medicare as well? 2 A. Again, I was not involved in the 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 3 renegotiation of the contract with Children's 4 BY THE WITNESS: where all of this changed. But as I said before, 4 5 5 A. Children's Memorial had no Medicare when we -- when we did contracts -- we worked patients because they were all kids. 6 with our legal counsel when we did these 6 7 Q. Okay. Can you explain Item 7, then? 7 contracts. And this was -- All I was -- All I 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 8 was working on at this point was the 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: implementation of the change. 10 A. I believe that part of the reason that 10 Q. Did you ask any questions about whether they changed -- that it was changing was that or not this was -- whether or not Abbott's 11 11 12 they wanted to expand their services to be -- to 12 provider number could be used in such a way? cover more than just children. So that's why the MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 13 13 14 name was CM Healthcare Resources when previously 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 it had been Children's Memorial. And they 15 A. Since the billings were being done in Abbott's name using Abbott's provider number to 16 changed it to CM Healthcare Resources so that it 16 17 wouldn't be just focused on kids, and they were 17 Illinois Medicaid, and based on -- based on the then going to start expanding their home infusion 18 18 renegotiations and what we did -- Well, to answer 19 services and try to expand their patient 19 your question, I did not have any discussions population and not just be focused on a pediatric 2.0 with anyone. 21 population. 21 Q. Okay. You relied upon the people who 22 Q. Okay. Did Abbott ever use its provider 22 negotiated the contract to verify that -- I Page 195 Page 197 number to bill Medicare on behalf of CM apologize for the horn out there -- to verify 2 2 Healthcare Resources, to your recollection? that everything was kosher under this 3 A. To my recollection, that never 3 arrangement? 4 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. happened. 5 5 Q. Okay. But Abbott did use its provider BY THE WITNESS: 6 number to bill Medicaid? 6 A. Yes. I relied that during that A. But they billed in Abbott's name, not 7 7 negotiation process, our -- the people who were in CM Healthcare Resources' name. So those bills negotiating the contracts were working with our 8 8 9 9 were in Abbott's name. Abbott submitted the legal counsel. 10 claims as Abbott for those patients. 10 Q. Do you recall who negotiated the 11 Q. Okay. And then paid a fee to 11 contract? 12 Children's Memorial? 12 A. No. I do not. 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Q. Who was -- Who would have been some of 13 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 the folks who may have been negotiating the contract at that point in time? Who was in the 15 A. If I recall the contract correctly, 15 16 there was -- there was something that went back Contract Marketing component of Home Infusion at 16 to Children's, although I don't remember what it 17 that time? 17 18 was or how the contract was structured. 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 19 Q. Prior to approving this arrangement, 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 20 did you check with anyone concerning the legality A. It would have been either Dave Brincks or Kathy Riddle as my manager, and I can't 21 of Abbott's ability to do that? 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 22 remember when Dave left and Kathy came in. And

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 198 Page 200 Mike Sellers, who was the general manager of Home appears to be a fax cover sheet that says, "Lynn 2 2 Infusion Services, would also have been included Leone, from Lynn Leone, managed care specialist." 3 3 in those conversations. Do you see that? Q. Could any contract like this have been 4 4 A. Yes. 5 approved without Mike Sellers signing off on it? 5 Q. Do you have any doubt you faxed this MS. CITERA: Objection to form. document to Ms. Christine Hayne? 6 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 7 BY THE WITNESS: 7 8 8 BY THE WITNESS: A. To the best of my knowledge, Mike would have probably been aware of or been involved in 9 9 A. No, I do not. 10 the discussions. 10 Q. Who's Christine Hayne? 11 Q. Do you recall how many other 11 A. I don't know. 12 arrangements Home Infusion had that were like 12 Q. Ma'am, if you could turn to the third to last page of this document which has at the this? 13 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. bottom Abbott DOJ 317633. 14 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. Yes. 15 16 A. No, I do not. 16 Q. Do you see where it says, "Infusion 17 Q. Do you recall anything else about this 17 Therapy Services"? particular memorandum or this meeting? A. Uh-huh. 18 18 19 A. No, I do not. 19 Q. The third bullet point reads, "Average Wholesale Price (AWP) of medications will be 20 Q. Do you recall anything else concerning 2.0 the contractual relationship with Children's obtained from sources which are mutually agreed upon by all parties." Do you see that? Memorial? Page 199 Page 201 A. No, I don't. 1 A. Yes. 1 2 2 Q. Okay. Let's move on to the next Q. Do you recall what that means? 3 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. document. 4 4 BY THE WITNESS: MR. ANDERSON: I'm now marking Exhibit 5 7 and providing that to Ms. Leone. A. No, I do not recall what that means. 6 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 007 6 Q. Do you recall whether this was a marked as requested.) 7 7 standard contractual provision in Abbott's home BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 8 8 infusion contracts? 9 Q. Ma'am, I'm not going to ask you to go 9 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. through chapters and verse of this document. I -10 10 BY THE WITNESS: - My focus is actually going to be on the third-11 11 A. I don't recall that. 12 to-the-last page, but you're welcome to look 12 Q. Do you know what the mutually agreed through the document and take as much time as you 13 upon -- Sorry. 13 need with it. 14 Do you know what the mutually agreed 14 15 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I'm sorry, 15 upon sources were for ascertaining AWP? Jarrett. What exhibit was this? 16 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 16 17 MR. ANDERSON: 7. 17 BY THE WITNESS: BY THE WITNESS: 18 A. I would expect that we would either be 18 talking about Medi-Span or Red Book. 19 19 A. Okay. 20 20 Q. Ma'am, do you recognize this document? Q. Why do you say that? A. Because those were the two main sources 21 A. No. 21 22 Q. Okay. On the front page it says -- it 22 for us and for our home infusion customers to

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 202 Page 204 obtain the average wholesale price for the 1 A. -- for their third party --2 infusion therapy drugs. 2 Q. Okay. Were they a large client of Home 3 Q. Okay. Would Abbott's Home Infusion 3 Infusion? employees have access to the Red Book? 4 A. I don't remember their size in relation 4 5 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 5 to other customers. BY THE WITNESS: 6 6 Q. Okay. Before we move on to the next document, let me ask, is now a good time to take 7 A. I believe that there was one copy, 7 maybe two copies of Red Book in some place in the 8 a break? 8 9 9 area where Home Infusion Services was, was MS. CITERA: Sure. 10 located. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We have 20 minutes 11 11 Q. Do you know if AWP information or left on the tape. 12 information from Red Book or Medi-Span was 12 MS. CITERA: We have 20 minutes left on contained on the CHIP system? the tape, but we're fine to take a break. It's 13 14 A. I do not recall that we had it in the 14 up to you. 15 CHIP system; but when we did the deposition last 15 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Why don't we 16 summer, I believe it came up that it was in the 16 take a break, if you don't mind. CHIP system. But I don't remember that it was 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the 17 18 there. 18 record at 1:57 p.m. 19 Q. How would the Home Infusion employees 19 (A short break was had.) 20 use the Red Book? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 2.0 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 record at 2:13 p.m. 22 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Jarrett, can BY THE WITNESS: Page 203 Page 205 you hand off File No. 16, please? 1 A. They would use it when -- when we had 1 2 2 situations where we had negotiated a price -- a MR. ANDERSON: Yes. 3 billing charge on behalf of one of the customers 3 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 4 for an infusion therapy where it was per diem 4 Q. While that's being -- Oh, I'm sorry. 5 plus the AWP, we would then enter that, that She can't mark and take transcription at the same 6 information in the CHIP system for that patient 6 time. 7 7 so that when the invoice -- the bill came out of MR. ANDERSON: Okay. I'm now handing the witness what's been marked as Leone 8 the CHIP system to be submitted to the insurance 8 9 9 company, it would come out with that negotiated Deposition Exhibit 8. price on it. And that's why the AWPs would be 10 10 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 008 11 there. And, again, it's been almost 20 years 11 marked as requested.) BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 12 since I used CHIP, so I can't exactly remember 12 how all that information got entered in there. 13 Q. Ms. Leone, before we move to that 14 But that was the intent. 14 document, do you recall any other Home Infusion contracts where the arrangements were similar to 15 Q. Okay. Do you recall Presbyterian 15 Hospital or Hospice at Charlotte as a client? the one with Children's Memorial? 16 16 17 A. Yes. 17 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Q. Were they a client that you worked 18 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 19 A. Where the changes -- where the program with? was or was not similar to Children's Memorial? 20 A. I did -- I did their negotiations for 20 Q. Where it was similar to Children's 21 their insurance payments --21 22 Q. Okay. 22 Memorial, where Abbott would use its own provider

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 206 Page 208 number at times to bill Medicaid or Medicare. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that then from 1 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 2 '85 to '91, the billings that you were doing were 3 3 largely under Abbott's provider number? BY THE WITNESS: 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 4 A. I cannot recall any others at this 5 5 BY THE WITNESS: time. 6 Q. Do you recall whether there were any 6 A. I don't -- I don't remember when it 7 others? 7 changed. But in the early period of time when I 8 8 was in reimbursement, we were billing --MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: everything was being billed as Abbott under 10 A. If there were programs like that, those 10 Abbott's provider numbers. And I just don't know would have been prior to -- prior to doing these 11 when the shift took place. 11 12 types of programs that we've talked about when --12 Q. But were there comparable client and it was when we were doing everything as relationships where there would be providers of 13 Home Infusion Services who Abbott would pay a 14 Abbott. 14 referral fee to or share in the percentage of 15 15 Q. Okay. So it would have been prior to the initiation of these partnerships, the 16 collections with? 16 consignment partnerships then? 17 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 17 18 A. Yes. 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 Q. Why did Abbott shift to these 19 A. I don't recall. consignment partnership arrangements? 20 Q. Okay. Do you recall what Abbott's 20 provider number was? 21 MS. CITERA: Objection. 21 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 22 A. No. Page 207 Page 209 Q. Do you know? 1 1 Q. Okay. Just thought I'd ask. 2 MS. CITERA: Objection, to form. 2 MR. ANDERSON: I do remember my phone 3 3 number from 20 years ago. BY THE WITNESS: BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 4 A. No, I do not know. 4 5 5 Q. Could you turn to Exhibit 8, please? Q. Did it happen all of a sudden or 6 6 A. I'm sorry. What was the question? gradually? 7 MS. CITERA: 8. 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 8 BY THE WITNESS: 8 9 9 A. I don't remember the exact genesis of Q. Could we turn to Exhibit 8. And, the change in direction for the program. 10 10 again, ma'am, I understand this is a long Q. Okay. Do you recall how many customers document. I'm not going to ask you detailed 11 11 questions about the content, but I do want you to 12 Abbott had where it did the billing under 12 Abbott's provider number prior to the initiation 13 flip through it to identify your familiarity with 13 of these consignment partnerships? the document. 14 14 15 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 15 A. Okay. Q. Okay. Ma'am, do you recognize this 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 17 A. No, I do not. 17 document? Q. Were you in the department at that A. Yes, I do. 18 18 19 19 Q. What is this document? time? 20 A. I was in Home Infusion Services from --20 A. It was an agreement with PBI, Pharmaceutical Buyers, Inc., for Abbott to beginning in 1985; so yes, I was when that 21 22 happened. provide compounding services for PBI members.

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 210 Page 212 And PBI was an alternate site group purchasing A. I actually wrote this agreement, 1 2 working with our legal counsel. 2 organization. 3 Q. Okay. Was this contract executed, to 3 Q. And what was the genesis of this your knowledge? 4 agreement? Why did it come about? 4 5 A. Yes, it -- To my knowledge, it was MS. CITERA: Objection to form. And I also just counsel you not to reveal any 6 6 executed. discussions with counsel. 7 Q. Did Abbott's pharmacies provide the 7 services contracted for? 8 BY THE WITNESS: 8 9 9 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. A. PBI came to us and asked if we could 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 put a program together for members of PBI who A. I do not recall that any of PBI's didn't have compounding capabilities for Abbott 11 11 12 members ever took advantage of this compounding to use its compounding pharmacies to mix the 12 service, this compounding service that we were drugs on those members' behalf. 13 13 Q. Okay. And who would be -- and then 14 going to offer to them. 14 Q. Okay. Do you recall how long the 15 what would happen to that mixed product once that 15 contract was in effect for? service was provided by Abbott's -- by Abbott's 16 16 17 A. Well, this was implemented in June of 17 pharmacies? A. As I look at the page with the page 18 '96; and I left Home Infusion Services in 18 19 December, so I don't know what happened after I 19 number 5 on it -left Home Infusion. 20 2.0 Q. Yes. A. -- it said we would be delivering, but 21 Q. Okay. Was this a consignment-type 21 22 contract or a fee-for-service contract? 22 I don't recall whether we were delivering to the Page 211 Page 213 patient or whether we were delivering to the 1 A. It was a fee-for-service-type contract. 2 2 Q. Okay. And if you could look to the member. last page of this exhibit, hopefully it says at 3 3 Q. Okay. Do you recall who would have 4 the top, looking at the same document, Abbott 4 billed either the insurer or Medicaid or Home Infusion Services, Pharmaceutical Buyers, 5 Medicare? 6 Inc.; do you see that? 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 7 7 A. Yes. BY THE WITNESS: 8 8 Q. Okay. Can you tell me where it says A. No, I do not. "Drug Price," do you see that? Q. Is it possible that Abbott Pharmacy may 9 9 have billed Medicaid or Medicare or the insurer? 10 A. Yes. 10 Q. What -- what price was charged? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 11 12 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 12 BY THE WITNESS: BY THE WITNESS: 13 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Okay. Is there anything else that you 14 A. I don't -can remember about this particular contract? 15 Q. Excuse me. What price would have been 15 charged if any PBI member had taken advantage of 16 16 A. No. 17 this contract? 17 Q. Who did you work with in legal -- I MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 18 don't want to know what you discussed with them. 18 But who did you work with in legal to put this 19 BY THE WITNESS: 19 20 20 A. I don't know. contract together? A. I don't remember. 21 Q. Okay. What was your involvement with 21 22 22 this particular agreement? Q. Do you remember anyone else that you

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 214 Page 216 consulted in the Hospital Products Division Q. Before we -- before -- Why don't you 1 concerning this particular contract? 2 take a couple of minutes, Ms. Leone, to look at 2 3 A. I worked with Mr. Sellers on this these two exhibits. And why don't we take a 4 contract. break so Tony can change the tape. 4 5 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the Q. Okay. What was his involvement? record at 2:27 p.m. with the end of Tape No. 3. A. This was a different kind of a contract 6 6 than we had written -- than the other types of 7 7 (A short break was had.) arrangements, and so I worked with Mike pretty 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 8 closely to determine what we wanted to do here 9 9 record at 2:28 p.m. with the start of Tape No. 4. and then worked with our legal counsel for all 10 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 10 the terms and conditions of it. 11 Q. Ma'am, do you recognize Exhibit 9? 11 12 Q. Okay. Was PBI an account of Alt Site? 12 13 A. Yes. 13 Q. It references a letter from you; do you 14 see that? 14 Q. And did you work with anyone within Alt Site in putting together this contract or in 15 15 A. Yes. communicating with PBI? 16 16 Q. And it's concerning explaining the 17 17 rebate and account breakdown for the first A. No. 18 Q. Okay. Were you just contacted -- cold 18 quarter? 19 contacted by someone at PBI to come up with this 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Can you tell me, is that the letter, to type of arrangement? 20 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 your knowledge, that's Exhibit 10? A. Exhibit 10 was for a different customer BY THE WITNESS: 22 Page 215 Page 217 A. No. It actually came from Mr. Sellers. 1 1 than Exhibit 9. Q. Okay. It was his idea? 2 2 Q. Exhibit 10 is not --3 A. No, PBI contacted him. 3 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I'm sorry. 4 Q. Oh, okay. 4 Jarrett --5 A. And he assigned it to me. 5 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Is there anything else that you 6 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: -- do you have can recall about this contract? a May 7, 2001 letter as Exhibit 10? 7 7 8 8 A. No. MR. ANDERSON: Yes. 9 9 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. MS. CITERA: No, no, no. You know what? I think she's referring to this, the Jarrett, if we can, No. 19, please, which has 10 10 several documents in it. Can we have the May 18, 11 second page of Exhibit 9. 11 2001 marked as Exhibit -- as the next exhibit. THE WITNESS: Right. 12 12 13 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. 13 MS. CITERA: Which is very similar 14 14 looking, but it's to a different addressee. MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: And then the 15 THE WITNESS: Right. next multi-page marked as the following exhibit. 15 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. I am tendering to 16 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I have to tell 16 the witness Exhibit 9, which is a May 18, 2001 17 17 you, I only have one page to Exhibit 9. letter and Exhibit 10, which is a May 7, 2001 18 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Our Exhibit 9 has 18 19 letter. 19 multiple pages. 20 20 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 009 and MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: No. I'm just looking at Exhibit 9 as a one-page May 18, 2001 21 Exhibit Leone 010 marked as requested.) 21 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: letter. Can we take off the remaining pages?

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 218 Page 220 1 MR. ANDERSON: Right. What I'm trying 1 MS. CITERA: So from Craig Smith to 2 to point out to you is there's -- we might not 2 Frank Geiger? 3 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yes. need to do all this on the record. MR. ANDERSON: Then we will -- Why 4 4 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. 5 5 doesn't everybody just return the extra pages to MS. CITERA: I think we might want to read the last question. That might clarify. 6 9 back to me. 6 7 MS. CITERA: Well, I guess the only 7 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Toni, I'll 8 8 thing is that I think she was asking if 10 just ask the question over again. 9 9 referred to the -- was referring to 9. MS. CITERA: Okay. Okay. So what are 10 MR. ANDERSON: Oh, I see. 10 we doing? MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: It's troubling 11 MS. CITERA: And I think she's really 11 12 thinking of this. 12 that these are not the same. 13 MR. ANDERSON: Let's go off the record. 13 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Ann, what's 14 happened here is we have here the exhibit -- I 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the 15 record at 2:32 p.m. 15 mean, pardon me, the letter that goes with 16 Exhibit 9 apparently. 16 (Discussion off the record.) 17 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Oh. What is 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 18 the -- What is the rest of Exhibit 9? What does 18 record at 2:35 p.m. 19 it look like? 19 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 2.0 20 Q. Ms. Leone, have you had a chance to MR. ANDERSON: Well, I might have to do 21 the questioning on it, I guess. It is a -- It's 21 look at Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 10? a very similar letter to Exhibit 10 that contains 22 A. Yes. Page 219 Page 221 Q. Okay. And is Exhibit 10 a May 7, 2001 the disclosure that's contained in the second 1 2 paragraph of the letter that's marked as Exhibit 2 letter from you to Mr. Geiger? 3 3 10. A. Yes. 4 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yeah. 4 Q. And is that Exhibit 10 letter the 5 MR. ANDERSON: It has the same letter that is referenced in the May 18, 2001 6 letter from Craig Smith? 6 language. 7 7 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: What I wanted A. Yes. 8 to talk about was, and we can -- because I wanted 8 Q. Okay. Did you see the letter that Mr. 9 to put the May 18th letter with the May 7th 9 Smith sent out that references your letter? 10 letter. 10 A. Yes. 11 11 Q. Do you recall seeing that? MR. ANDERSON: Right. 12 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. So why 12 don't we do that. And anything additional to Q. Okay. Do you recall learning from Mr. 13 13 Smith about any meeting with Coram? 14 that that was attached to Exhibit 9, Jarrett, 14 15 you're free to ask about. 15 A. No. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Well, let's go 16 16 Q. Okay. If we could turn to Exhibit 10, 17 off the record just a second and we'll get this 17 please, ma'am, what is this document? May 7th letter with the May 18th letter. 18 A. This is the cover letter identifying 18 19 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. Well, 19 for Coram the rebates that they earned during the 20 no. It's okay to have the May 18th letter as 20 first quarter of 2001. 21 Exhibit 9 and the May 7th as Exhibit 10. I 21 Q. Okay. And can you explain how, for Alt 22 intended it that way. 22 Site customers were rebates earned?

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 222 Page 224 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. also counsel you not to reveal any discussions 1 2 BY THE WITNESS: 2 with counsel. 3 BY THE WITNESS: A. Different agreements were set up differently. In some contracts, the customer 4 4 A. Abbott had a policy of including might have had to reach certain thresholds in 5 disclosure language in all of our contracts and order to earn rebates. And based on whatever 6 all of our invoices and all of our credit memos 7 those sales thresholds were, they were able to 7 for as long as I can remember. It was part of -earn dollars. 8 It was part of just our -- the way we did 8 9 9 business. In other rebates, it could have been a 10 guaranteed rebate where they reached a certain --10 Q. And do you recall when that practice where they just earned -- regardless of what 11 started? 11 their sales were, they earned a rebate. I do not 12 A. No, I do not. recall which the Coram agreement was. 13 Q. Was it before you started at -- in your 13 Q. Okay. Is a rebate distinguishable --14 14 position as Contract Marketing manager in 15 Well, let me ask you this: What at Abbott was 15 Alternate Site? 16 known as a credit memo? 16 A. I don't recall. 17 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 17 Q. Do you recall how many of these types 18 BY THE WITNESS: 18 of letters you sent out? 19 A. A credit memo was a document that could 19 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 2.0 20 have been a rebate in that the rebate was paid to BY THE WITNESS: the customer in the form of a credit memo that 21 A. Whenever we -- You're talking about the May 7th letter to Frank Geiger? would be used to purchase additional product 22 Page 223 Page 225 going forward. A credit memo would also be 1 Q. Yes. initiated if a customer purchased something and 2 A. This was the cover -- This is the cover then returned it, and we would issue a credit 3 letter that we -- we prepared to go along with 4 memo for the original -- for the original product 4 every rebate payment that we paid to any one of that they purchased. So it could have been one 5 our customers. We were identifying what the value of the rebate was. We included the backup 6 or the other of those things. 6 Q. Okay. If it was the former, if it was 7 7 documentation that showed the rebate, how they the first, would you advise or would Abbott 8 8 earned it, and then we had the disclosure 9 9 Alternate Site advise clients receiving the language. credit memo that they -- that the credit memo may 10 10 Q. Okay. constitute a discount within the meaning of 42 11 A. And that's what the backup was --11 12 U.S.C. 1320 comparable to the language contained 12 that's what the backup is. in Exhibit 10, Paragraph 2? Q. The backup meaning the pages that are 13 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 14 attached as Pages 2 through, I think, 7 or 8 of 14 this -- of the rest of the exhibit? 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. There was discount disclosure language 16 16 A. Correct. 17 on the credit memos. 17 Q. Okay. How was that backup information Q. Okay. What is the discount disclosure generated? 18 18 -- Why does Abbott provide the discount 19 19 A. It was generated through our systems. disclosure language to its clients when it 20 20 We ran sales reports through our systems. provided them with rebates or credit memos? 21 21 Q. Within Abbott Alternate Site or within HPD, to your knowledge, was there ever any 22 MS. CITERA: Object to the form, and 22

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 226 Page 228 discussions about providing a disclosure to federal officials or to state officials? Abbott's customers that it might need to report 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. the -- that the customers or Abbott might need to 3 Also the same caution. report the differences between the contract price 4 4 BY THE WITNESS: 5 that you negotiated with the client and AWP? I don't know that that -- that that MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. I 6 6 type of disclosure ever happened. 7 also caution you not to reveal discussions with 7 Q. Okay. Do you know whether it was ever 8 considered? 8 counsel. 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not --10 A. The disclosure that we provided was 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. based on the products that the customers were 11 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 purchasing, and AWP didn't have anything to do 12 A. I do know whether it was ever with -- to do with that. 13 13 considered. 14 Q. What do you mean AWP didn't have 14 Q. Okay. Would you have been involved in 15 anything to do with that? 15 such considerations as a manager of Contract 16 A. AWP didn't have anything to do with 16 Marketing? 17 what products -- what -- what we were providing 17 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 18 them. When we gave them this backup 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 documentation, it was -- this was based on all of 19 A. I don't know whether I would have or 2.0 20 the products that they purchased, not just -- not not. 21 just products that could have had an NDC number 21 Q. Did -- Were there ever any discussions that would have had an AWP tied to them. So this 22 at all within your Contract Marketing unit about Page 227 Page 229 was -- what we were providing disclosure for was whether there needed to be some disclosure about the purchases that they made for all of the 2 2 the spread between Abbott's contract prices or products. So it wasn't -- it wasn't tied to AWP; 3 AWPs and -- I'm sorry, its catalog prices or AWPs 3 4 it was tied to everything that they purchased. 4 and its contract prices? 5 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to form and same And AWP --6 6 Q. I understand that, but I'm asking caution. 7 actually a different question. I want to step 7 BY THE WITNESS: back from -- And I'm not asking questions about 8 8 A. I was never involved in any discussions 9 the disclosure of a rebate as a discount. 9 regarding reporting the discount between contract prices or AWP. 10 My question to you is: Abbott engaged 10 in the practice of providing disclosures to its 11 11 Q. Okay. Were there discussions, to your clients, correct, that a rebate may constitute a 12 12 knowledge? 13 discount; is that right? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 13 14 A. Correct. 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 Q. My question is, with regard to 15 A. I don't know whether there were ever differences in prices between AWPs or the catalog 16 16 discussions. prices, Abbott's catalog prices, and the contract 17 17 Q. Who would you have expected to have 18 prices negotiated with the clients, was there 18 those discussions, if there were any? ever any consideration to Abbott making a 19 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 20 disclosure to clients that it need -- that either 20 BY THE WITNESS: Abbott or its clients needed to disclose that 21 21 A. I don't know. MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. If we 22 difference between AWP and the contract price to 22

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 230 Page 232 could move on to the next exhibit, which I that we have with the Coram letter, there was 2 believe is Exhibit 11. 2 something comparable to that with this Lincare 3 MR. ANDERSON: I'm marking a May 7th, 3 letter that showed how the 16,209 was calculated. 4 2001 letter to Lincare as Exhibit 11. 4 Q. Okay. So it's comparable to a rebate? 5 5 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 011 A. It is a rebate. marked as requested.) 6 Q. Okay. Are there -- Were there any 6 7 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 7 other terms for rebates that was used within Alt 8 8 Q. Ma'am, have you had a chance to look at Site? 9 9 this? A. Probably either a dividend, rebate, or 10 A. Yes, I have. 10 a discount. It probably would have been one of Q. Do you recognize this document? 11 those three. 11 12 12 Q. Okay. Or a credit memo? 13 A. Well, the rebate would have been Q. It appears to be a letter drafted by 13 you to Lincare; do you see that? 14 14 payable as a credit memo. A. Yes. 15 Q. Oh, I see. Okay. Was Lincare a large 15 16 Q. Do you have any doubt that you drafted 16 account? and sent this letter? 17 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 17 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 BY THE WITNESS: 19 A. You know, I don't remember what their -20 20 - what their -- where they -- where they ranked A. Actually, I did not draft this letter. 21 It was a form letter, as was the Coram letter. 21 in the customers. 22 Q. Okay. 22 Q. Okay. Page 231 Page 233 A. Because you can see that it was a form 1 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: If we could 1 letter when we -- when we did our calculations 2 move on to the next exhibit, which is 23, 3 for rebates, what we would do is we had this form 3 Jarrett. 4 letter that was created for us by our legal 4 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. I'm marking department and then we just filled in the 5 Exhibit 12 and tendering that to the witness. 6 customer's name, the period, and the amount of 6 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 012 marked as requested.) 7 the rebates. And in this case, Pat Glotz 7 8 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 8 actually did it on my behalf. 9 9 Q. Okay. And who is Ms. Glotz? Q. Ma'am, are you familiar with this 10 A. She was my supervisor for contract document? 10 administration, and it was her responsibility to 11 A. Yes. 11 do the calculations for rebates, to run the sales 12 12 O. What is this document? 13 reports and do the calculations. 13 A. We were in late 2002 looking at having 14 Q. Okay. Ma'am, what is a quarterly 14 a consultant come in and look at everything we performance dividend? 15 15 were doing in Contract Marketing, do an A. It's what I described to you a few 16 assessment of our systems, our business 16 17 minutes ago. In this case, Lincare had a processes, and our structures. And so this was 17 contract with us where they were eligible to earn 18 the -- the base letter that we sent to nine 18 rebates based on hitting certain performance 19 19 different consulting companies asking them to 20 levels in sales. And based on whatever those come in. And what we did is we met with all nine levels were, we paid them X percent of the total 21 of them and then we submitted -- we sent them an sales. So the same type of backup documentation 22 RFP and asked them to submit a proposal to us.

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 234 Page 236 1 Q. Is this the special project that you 1 someone? 2 were referencing that you worked on? 2 A. No, we did not. We never got the 3 A. No, although this was another project. 3 funding to do the project. But this was a project once I was back in 4 Q. Okay. And was this Mr. Seller's idea 4 5 Contract Marketing. 5 to do this? 6 The special project I was talking about 6 A. I don't know whether Mike initiated it 7 from 2001 through the summer of 2002 was working 7 or somebody asked Mike to initiate it. on the order management system, the transition 8 Q. Okay. Somebody within Hospital 8 for -- I was representing the Hospital Products 9 9 Products Division or outside of Hospital Products 10 Division on the transition team from the old 10 Division? order processing system to the SAP system that 11 A. It would have been somebody within the 11 12 Abbott eventually adopted. 12 Hospital Products Division. 13 Q. Okay. Q. Do you know who that someone might have 13 A. So this was a different project that I 14 14 been? was working on. 15 15 A. No. That's what I'm saying, if 16 Q. What -- Why did Abbott decide to go somebody asked Mike to initiate this project, it 16 through this evaluation process? 17 probably would have been somebody within HPD. 17 Q. Well, it seems like kind of an 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 18 19 BY THE WITNESS: 19 important project. Do you know why you didn't 20 A. Well, I think if you look at the first 20 get funding for it? 21 five dot points, it kind of describes what we 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. were looking for: somebody to come in and do an 22 BY THE WITNESS: Page 235 Page 237 1 A. No. assessment, see if we have the right people doing 2 2 the right jobs, looking at our systems to see if Q. Was it something that you thought 3 the systems -- our contract administration 3 needed to be done within -- an evaluation that 4 system, our charge-back system, and all the other 4 needed to be done of HPD? 5 systems we had in place were still appropriate A. We thought it was something that needed 6 for the way we were doing business or whether we 6 to be done within the Contract Marketing needed to do something as we went forward as an 7 7 department. 8 8 organization. Q. Okay. If you could turn to the next 9 Q. To your knowledge, who's -- who signed 9 exhibit. I'm not going to spend too much time off on this project being done? with this because I think you've answered a lot 10 10 11 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 11 of my questions already. 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: It's No. 31, 13 A. It was actually -- Alan Greenthal and I 13 Jarrett. actually worked on it based on a request from 14 14 MR. ANDERSON: 13, yes. Here we go, 15 Mike Sellers. And really what the project was 15 tendering what's been marked as Leone Deposition that we worked on was meeting with these nine 16 16 Exhibit No. 13, a response to a request for 17 consulting firms that we spoke with, walking them 17 proposal. through what we were currently doing, and then we 18 18 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 013 19 submitted an RFP to them for them to come back 19 marked as requested.) 20 and give us an estimate for what they thought it 20 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 21 21 would cost and what the project would entail. Q. Ma'am, is this a response that was 22 Q. Okay. And did you end up hiring 22 provided by one of these nine groups that you're

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 238 Page 240 talking about? needed to start thinking about doing something 2 A. Yes. 2 different. And that was really -- And going in Q. Okay. Was -- Do you know whether this 3 and restructuring the department was just another request was initiated incident to or as a followpiece of that. But the biggest issue we had was 4 4 up to the TAP criminal plea? 5 the fact that our systems, our contracting MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 6 6 systems were so old. BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 7 7 Q. Okay. I'm actually asking a slightly Q. When I say the "TAP criminal plea," do 8 different question. I'd like to just kind of 8 9 9 you know what I'm talking about? separate -- get out of your mind sort of the 10 A. Yes, I do. 10 prior issue that we were discussing concerning Q. What is your understanding of the TAP 11 the consulting group. 11 12 criminal plea? 12 A. Okay. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 13 13 Q. Independent of the reasons behind 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 engaging or contemplating engaging a consulting 15 group, what I'd like to know is within Abbott, 15 A. There was -- there was allegations I believe that sample products that TAP provided to 16 HPD, after Abbott consented to, as a joint 16 physicians, samples of I believe Lupron, provided venturer, the criminal plea in the TAP case, was 17 17 18 to patients were then billed to payors. I mean, 18 there any concern or consideration that maybe the 19 I think that was -- that was the over -- that was 19 Abbott Hospital Products Division needed to look 2.0 the main thrust of that. 2.0 at how it engaged in the marketing of its 21 Q. Were you aware of any allegations 21 pharmaceutical products? concerning TAP engaging in spread marketing 22 MS. CITERA: Object to the form and Page 239 Page 241 illegally? 1 same caution. 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 2. BY THE WITNESS: 3 3 BY THE WITNESS: A. I was not aware or involved in any A. I was not aware of that piece of it. 4 4 discussions along those lines. 5 Q. Okay. Were there any concerns about 5 Q. Well, were you aware of any concerns 6 after the -- after Abbott consented to, as a 6 along those lines? 7 7 joint venturer of TAP, the criminal plea, were MS. CITERA: Object to the form and there any concerns within Hospital Products 8 8 same caution. 9 Division that maybe you folks needed to look at 9 BY THE WITNESS: how you were doing business to ensure that you 10 10 A. I was not -- I was not involved in any were conforming to federal and state law? 11 discussions that there were any concerns for the 11 Hospital Products Division related to that. 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form, and 12 13 also caution you not to reveal any discussions Q. Well, do you think that the TAP 13 criminal plea was a pretty big deal? 14 with counsel. 14 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 A. Actually, our bigger concern when we did this study was the fact that our current 17 17 A. Yeah, I do think it was a big deal. contract administration system at that time was Q. Do you know -- Well, do you think it 18 18 would have been prudent for maybe Abbott Hospital 19 ten years old, our charge-back system was ten 19 Products Division to look at its own conduct and 20 years old. We were really looking at doing -- We 20 evaluate whether or not it had comparable 21 were really looking at the fact that, from a 21 systems perspective, they were antiques and we 22 problems to the problems at TAP?

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 242 Page 244 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form, there were some discussions with the sales force 1 2 2 -- I don't know when and I don't know who had same caution. BY THE WITNESS: those discussions, and I don't -- that our 4 A. I don't know that -- I was never 4 business practice was not to sell against the 5 involved in any discussions that indicated that 5 spread or to discuss AWP with our customers. the Hospital Products Division had any concerns 6 6 Q. Well, wouldn't your sales force know 7 related to activities that could have been 7 that if that's their business practice? Why comparable to what TAP was -- the TAP situation. 8 would you need to have a discussion with them? 8 9 9 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. Q. Were you aware of any spread marketing 10 activities within the Hospital Products Division? 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 11 A. Mostly to reiterate that is our policy 12 BY THE WITNESS: and to remind them that our business practices 12 13 were to sell based on our entire portfolio of A. I do not believe that we marketed our 13 14 products based on the spread or that -- it was 14 products. 15 not the way the hospital products business --15 Q. Well, why did you have a need to remind Hospital Products Division and Alternate Site them of that if they were not engaging in those 16 16 17 product sales ever did its business. 17 practices --18 Q. What is the genesis of your belief? 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 19 Why do you believe that? 19 2.0 A. Going back to when I first went into 20 Q. -- marketing practices? 21 Alternate Site Product Sales, it was always our 21 A. Just as a reminder to let people know. business practice to not market against the New people were always coming into the Page 243 Page 245 spread and -- the AWP spread, and we marketed our 1 organization. You wanted to make sure everybody products based upon the depth and breadth of the 2 was aware of what our policies were and our 3 product line and what the entire portfolio of 3 processes as an organization and how to sell, how 4 products and a relationship with Abbott could 4 to sell those products. 5 bring to those customers and not based on the Q. Ms. Leone, as the Contract Marketing --6 spread for a few individual products. 6 as the former Contract Marketing manager, do you 7 Q. Was that a published policy someplace? 7 know as a fact that during your tenure in MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 8 Alternate Site none of the sales force marketed 8 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: the spread? 10 A. I do not know or recall if there was a 10 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. published policy related to that. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 11 Q. Then how do you know that that was what 12 12 A. I can only tell you that it was not our was followed within the Alternate Site business 13 business practice to do that. If it happened, I 13 14 don't know about it. unit? 14 15 A. The -- Our business practice was to 15 Q. How did you verify whether or not the sell on the products and the portfolio and not to sales force was or was not marketing the spread 16 16 sell on the spread. 17 17 or was complying with Abbott's policy? 18 Q. But how do you know as a matter of fact 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. that that's what your sales force was doing? 19 19 BY THE WITNESS: MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 20 20 A. I don't recall that we had anything in 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 place in the late -- mid to late '90s -- well, 22 A. They were -- At some point I believe late '90s to identify that.

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 246 Page 248 Q. Okay. What about in early 2000, in the A. All I can say is that it was our 1 1 2 2 early 2000s? business practice not to do that. And if it 3 A. When we -- When we did all of those 3 happened, I was not aware of it. Q. Okay. But it could have happened; you 4 4 policies and procedures that we worked on, we --5 just weren't aware of it? there was company-wide training on the Federal Healthcare Program Guidelines. And although I 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 7 can't remember specifically, I believe that there 7 BY THE WITNESS: was discussion in that training about the --8 A. Again, I don't know whether it did or 8 9 9 those types of guidelines. not. 10 In addition, our legal department in 10 Q. But it could have happened? 11 the -- in early 2000 did training sessions with 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 12 whole groups of employees across the Hospital 12 BY THE WITNESS: Products Division talking about the general --A. It could have happened. 13 13 Q. Okay. If this was an important policy 14 general fraud and abuse guidelines. And they 14 to Abbott, why didn't Abbott Alternate Site 15 were some -- they did do some sessions with our 15 16 entire sales force at different times. They did implement some kind of methodology to ensure that 16 each district separately. And then they also had 17 its sales force was not marketing the spread? 17 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 18 sessions with people in home office. 18 19 Q. Okay. Let's break that down a little 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 A. I don't know. 20 bit. With regard to the -- before the 2000 meetings with legal, you were talking about a 21 Q. Okay. If you could turn to -policy -- or you were talking about the policies. 22 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Have we marked Page 247 Page 249 Those are the ones developed in 2003, correct? 1 the next exhibit, Jarrett, No. 32? 2 2 A. Correct. MR. ANDERSON: No, but I will. Hold on 3 3 Q. Okay. That you helped work on? one second. 4 A. Yes. 4 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Actually what 5 5 Q. And in terms of the training, is that I'd like to do is skip No. 32 and move on to No. 6 the "Safeguarding Trust" program. 6 54, please. 7 7 A. Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. 8 8 Q. And wasn't the "Safeguarding Trust" MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: And, Jarrett, 9 program developed incident to Abbott's entry into 9 could you just look at that and ensure that a corporate integrity agreement with the United that's not a prior exhibit? 10 10 11 11 MR. ANDERSON: Sure. Hold on. I'm States? 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 12 marking as Exhibit 14 a two-page document. Ann, 13 BY THE WITNESS: it appears similar to some past exhibits but not 13 14 A. I believe that that was part of it, 14 identical. 15 15 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. yes. 16 Q. Okay. So prior to 2003, other than 16 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 014 this meeting with legal, you have -- it's your marked as requested.) 17 17 testimony that you're unaware of any way of BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 18 18 verifying whether or not sales force members out 19 19 Q. Ma'am, if you could just take this two-20 20 in the field engaged in spread marketing? page document and take a look at it. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 21 A. Okay. 22 BY THE WITNESS: 22 Q. Do you recognize this document?

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 250 Page 252 A. I -- I mean, it's got my name on it, so BY THE WITNESS: 2 I must have gotten it. But I don't remember it. 2 A. Not that I know of. Q. Do you have any doubts you received 3 Q. Okay. Is it possible that they could 4 4 this email? have? 5 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. BY THE WITNESS: BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 6 6 7 A. I have no doubts that I received this 7 Q. That Abbott could have and you just 8 8 weren't aware of it? email. 9 9 Q. Would you routinely receive emails from MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 10 Mr. Cicerale of this type, of this nature? 10 BY THE WITNESS: MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 A. I don't believe that Abbott ever 11 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 identified for the compendia what the percentage was that should be used to calculate the AWP. 13 A. No, I did not. 13 14 Q. Okay. The second or the third sentence 14 Q. Except in this email? 15 of this email says, "You should calculate the AWP MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 15 using the same percentage as our other HPD 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 items." Do you see that? 17 A. And I don't know why Jerrie wrote that. 17 A. Yes. 18 18 Q. Okay. Ma'am, if you could --19 Q. Do you know what that means? 19 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Jarrett, if we 2.0 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 20 can move on to the next exhibit. And it's going 21 BY THE WITNESS: to be my last exhibit for the day. And it's File No. 57, Jarrett. We're skipping 52. 22 A. No. Page 251 Page 253 Q. Do you recall having any commentary on 1 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. I'm tendering to 1 this particular email? the witness what's been marked as Exhibit 15, a 2 2 3 A. No, I do not. 3 March 8, 1999 letter. 4 Q. Do you know what Abbott's policy was 4 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 015 5 with regard to setting AWP? marked as requested.) MS. CITERA: Objection to form. BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 6 6 7 7 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Ma'am, I'm not going to spend a lot of 8 time on this document with you. My primary focus A. As I said earlier this morning, my 8 understanding was that HPD sent a price to the 9 9 is going to be on the last sentence of this -compendia and they calculated an AWP based on the the last typewritten sentence of this memo. But 10 10 price that we sent them. Based on the last 11 you're free to take as much time as you need with 11 sentence, it would appear that that's what Jerrie 12 this document. 13 is asking them to do. 13 A. What were you going to focus on here? Q. Okay. Do you know what "using the same 14 14 I'm sorry. percentage as our other HPD items" means? 15 15 Q. The last typewritten sentence. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 16 16 A. Okay. BY THE WITNESS: 17 17 Q. Ma'am, do you recognize this document? A. No. 18 A. No. 18 19 Q. Okay. Did Abbott identify for Red Book 19 Q. Do you recognize that you are an addressee on this particular memo from Marianne a particular percentage to be utilized in 20 calculating AWP? 21 21 Sutcliffe? 22 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 22 A. Yes, I do.

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 254 Page 256 Q. Do you have any doubt that you received 1 take a break. 2 this memo? 2 MS. CITERA: I mean, maybe you can ask 3 A. I have no doubt --3 a foundational question as to whether she MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 4 4 remembers. 5 5 BY THE WITNESS: BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Q. Okay. What do you remember -- Do you 6 A. I have no doubt that I received this. 6 7 Q. Ma'am, do you remember anything about 7 remember these meetings taking place? the subject matter of this memo concerning Owen 8 A. Yes, I do remember those meetings 8 9 9 and Cardinal contracting groups? taking place. 10 A. I do not recall this at all. 10 Q. Who conducted the meetings? Q. Do you recall a meeting being scheduled 11 A. One of the attorneys from our inside 11 12 with Rick Gonzalez to discuss the response to an 12 counsel. Owen or Cardinal issue? Q. Who was that? 13 13 A. I do not recall a meeting, nor do I A. Tajal Vakharia. 14 14 15 recall being in a meeting with Mr. Gonzalez to 15 Q. And who attended the meeting? discuss this. A. I attended two of them. One was with 16 16 17 Q. Okay. We're done with that exhibit. 17 people in our office within -- you know, in the home office; and the second was a district 18 Ma'am, I'd like to follow up a little 18 19 on a statement you made earlier concerning the 19 meeting for one of the sales districts. legal department having meetings with groups --20 Q. Okay. And where was that district 20 21 is it within the Hospital Products Division or 21 meeting located? Abbott-wide? 22 A. I'm thinking it was at the -- at the 22 Page 255 Page 257 Marriott Lincolnshire Resort in Lincolnshire, 1 A. All I can speak to is the Hospital Products Division and Alternate Site Product 2. 2 Illinois. 3 3 Sales. Q. Okay. Who attended that meeting? A. The district, that was the Midwest 4 4 Q. Okay. At the time of the meeting, you 5 were in Alt Site? district -- It was a district sales meeting, and 6 A. Yes. And I believe these meetings were 6 it was the Midwest district sales reps. 7 Q. Okay. And who attended the meeting at 7 held in 2000. 8 the home office? 8 Q. Okay. And what were the meetings 9 9 A. All of the people in Alternate Site about? Product Sales who were in the home office. 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. I'm 10 going to counsel you not to reveal discussions 11 Q. Okay. And how many people did that 11 12 include? 12 with attorneys, so -- I'm sorry. Can you -- Your question is what were the meetings about? A. I'd say there's probably 20 or 25, 13 13 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yes. 14 maybe that's too many. 14 Q. Okay. And were the attorneys at the --15 MS. CITERA: The meetings with the 15 16 Okay. And how many people attended the Midwest 16 attorneys? district sales meeting? 17 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Well, the 17 group meetings, yeah, where the attorneys were. 18 A. I believe that it was the entire 18 MS. CITERA: I need to think about that 19 19 Midwest district sales force, and there were some 20 one, Ann. Can we take a break, a few-minute 20 marketing managers who were there also. Q. Okay. And how many were there? 21 break? 21 22 A. Probably about 15 to 20. 22 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Sure. We can

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 258 Page 260 Q. Okay. And what was the purpose of the 1 little pamphlet that we received. 2 2 Q. And what was discussed in the pamphlet? meeting? 3 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. 3 A. Just an overview of fraud and abuse 4 4 BY THE WITNESS: guidelines. I believe there was -- That's all I 5 5 A. To give us a general overview of fraud can remember. 6 and abuse guidelines. 6 Q. Do you recall whether there was 7 Q. And were there any written materials 7 discussion of the False Claims Act and handed out at these meetings? 8 Antikickback Statute? 8 9 9 A. I don't remember. A. I believe both of those were addressed 10 Q. Would you have saved them? 10 in that document. A. Actually, I think there was a little Q. What about the spread and marketing the 11 11 pamphlet that Abbott had put together. I don't 12 spread? 12 know whether I have a copy of it anymore, but ... 13 13 A. No, that was not -- that was not O. Was that the business code of conduct? 14 14 included. 15 A. No, there was a separate -- I believe 15 Q. Okay. What was discussed at the there was a separate document that the legal 16 meeting with the Alt Site sales -- Well, let me 16 department put together on fraud and abuse. ask you this: Was the meeting with the Alt Site 17 17 18 Q. And do you remember what that pamphlet 18 sales force generally the same subject matter as 19 said? 19 the meeting with the district sales force? 2.0 2.0 A. Yes. MS. CITERA: I'm going to just caution 21 you. I mean, was this -- I'm going to caution 21 Q. I'm sorry. Did you respond? you not to reveal any advice from counsel. 22 A. Yes, I did. I said yes, it was. And Page 259 Page 261 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Hold it, Toni. it was again discussion of the Fraud and Abuse 1 2 I mean, are you cautioning her not to discuss the 2 and Antikickback statutes. 3 3 contents of this stuff? Because obviously this Q. Do you recall what was discussed there? 4 isn't privileged. They're group-wide meetings. 4 A. Just some high-level discussion of 5 MS. CITERA: Well, that's why I said I 5 those two -- of those two acts and what they 6 wanted to take a break because I wanted to think 6 meant. 7 7 about it. I'm happy you asked some foundational Q. Okay. And in discussing what they 8 questions so I can understand it better, but I'd 8 meant was there any discussion about spread 9 like to take a few minutes to think about it. 9 marketing? 10 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Why don't you 10 A. I do not recall that that was part of 11 take a break, then, and do that. 11 the presentation that was done. 12 MS. CITERA: Okay. 12 Q. Do you know why the presentation was THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the 13 being made at that time? 13 14 record at 3:19 p.m. 14 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 15 (Brief pause.) 15 BY THE WITNESS: 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 16 A. No, I don't remember why. record at 3:19 p.m. 17 Q. Could it have been incident to the TAP 17 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Madam Court 18 18 investigation? 19 Reporter, can you please read the question back? 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 20 20 (Record read as requested.) BY THE WITNESS: 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 A. I don't remember what precipitated it 22 A. No, it wasn't. It was a separate 22 at all.

66 (Pages 258 to 261)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 262 Page 264 last was V A K H A R I A. 1 Q. Do you remember learning about the 2 United States issuing a civil investigative 2 Q. And was she the sole presenter? demand in '96 to Abbott? 3 A. As I remember it, yes. A. I didn't remember when -- I don't 4 4 Q. Do you recall how long these meetings 5 5 remember when it happened. I remember becoming lasted? aware of things in the late '90s, but I didn't 6 6 A. I believe it was about an hour, hour 7 remember exactly when it started. 7 and a half, something like that. 8 Q. Okay. Do you know whether this 8 Q. And were they mandatory for all of the 9 Alternate Site staff and all of the district 9 presentation may have been made by the legal 10 department because of the investigations by the sales individuals attending the meeting? 10 United States or any state officials -- for 11 A. I believe they were. 11 12 example, the State of Texas officials? 12 Q. Did you have to, you know, for example, MS. CITERA: Objection to form. sign a sheet indicating that you were attending 13 13 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 the meeting? 15 15 A. No, I do not. A. I don't remember. 16 Q. Do you know why -- or did you have any 16 Q. And have we exhausted your memory concerns at that time that there might be spread 17 concerning that meeting? 17 marketing activity that should be discussed or 18 18 A. Yes. 19 spread marketing prohibitions that should be 19 Q. Or those two meetings, I should say. 20 discussed at this meeting? 20 Do you recall any other compliance-type 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 meetings or initiatives undertaken within the Hospital Products Division that we haven't BY THE WITNESS: Page 263 Page 265 A. The meeting that legal called? 1 discussed here today? 1 2 2 A. None that I can remember except when we Q. Yes. 3 3 initially implemented all of our operating A. No, I do not remember that that -- that 4 that had anything to do with the intent of the 4 procedures within Contract Marketing, we had meetings that were held. 5 training sessions for everybody -- for everybody 6 Q. What else do you remember about the 6 -- for everybody within the department. 7 7 meetings? Q. Okay. 8 8 A. That's about it. A. And those very first meetings were 9 Q. Okay. Did you have any input in the 9 after we had written the HPD policies -- I'm content of the meetings? sorry, the Abbott policies and the HPD procedures 10 11 because some of the operating procedures within A. No. 11 12 Q. And to your knowledge, were these Contract Marketing directly related to some of meetings held Abbott-wide or just within the those HPD policies -- procedures, I'm sorry, HPD 13 13 **Hospital Products Division?** 14 procedures. 14 15 A. All I knew about was the Hospital 15 Q. So that's in around 2003, then, and 16 Products Division. 16 afterwards? 17 Q. Okay. And other than -- is it Pajal 17 A. Yes, the spring of 2003. Sacharia, did you say? Q. And would these -- the new folks coming 18 18 A. Tajal Vakharia. 19 19 in, would they see the "Safeguarding Trust" DVD or VHS tape? 20 Q. Vakharia, I'm sorry. Can you spell 20 A. Yes. 21 that, please? 21 22 A. Her first name was T A J A L, and her 22 Q. Is that the training that you're

67 (Pages 262 to 265)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 266 Page 268 talking about, the review of that "Safeguarding Products Division. 2 Trust" material? 2 MS. CITERA: And I would just caution 3 A. Well, they had to do that. But in 3 you not to reveal discussions with counsel. 4 4 addition to that, they had to do training on the BY THE WITNESS: 5 operating procedures that we implemented within A. I think over the years, as Abbott Contract Marketing. 6 employees, there's been -- you know, there were 7 Q. Okay. 7 discussions about what happened with Ross. I 8 8 don't remember anything specific or anybody I A. So they attended training sessions, and 9 9 then we had a short quiz that they had to take. specifically had a conversation with. But I'm 10 Q. Do you recall any other conversations 10 sure that as an Abbott employee, we had -- you that you've had during your tenure within HPD 11 know, people would talk about it. I just don't 11 12 concerning HPD's compliance with state or federal 12 remember any of those conversations or who I had Medicaid or Medicare laws that we haven't them with. 13 13 14 14 discussed here today? Q. Do you recall whether there were any 15 15 A. No, I don't. discussions about the need to verify within the 16 Q. Did you ever have any interaction with Hospital Products Division that some of the Mike Tutell? problems that Ross had were not problems that 17 17 18 A. That's a name from the past. Was he at 18 also existed in the Hospital Products Division? 19 Ross Laboratories? 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Q. Yes. 2.0 2.0 BY THE WITNESS: 21 A. Then I met him once and talked to him 21 A. I don't recall -- I don't recall having 22 any of those conversations. once. Page 267 Page 269 Q. Okay. As part of its consignment 1 1 Q. Do you recall -- Did you ever at any arrangement, did Abbott's Home Infusion division time have any -- make any consideration of 2 2 also consign Ross products and pumps? 3 whether you should disclose to any state or 3 4 A. Yes, they did. 4 federal official the practices and procedures 5 5 Q. Did they also consign Lupron? within the Home Infusion unit? Did you ever 6 A. I don't recall whether we did or we 6 consider those, reporting those? 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 7 didn't do Lupron. Q. Okay. That might have come after your Also the same caution regarding discussions with 8 8 9 time in Home Infusion? 9 counsel. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 10 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 11 BY THE WITNESS: A. Again, as those contracts were written, 12 A. Okay. I just -- I just don't remember. 12 we worked with our legal counsel to prepare those Q. Okay. But it's possible? and to develop them. So anything that came up 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. would probably have happened within those --14 14 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 within those discussions. Q. Okay. So it's possible that you may 16 A. Yes, it is possible. 16 have discussed -- had discussions about the need 17 Q. Okay. Other than what we've discussed 17 here today, do you recall any other discussions to report to state or federal officials, but it 18 18 that you've had concerning the Ross CIA? 19 19 would have come up in the context of discussions 20 20 A. With whom? with counsel? Q. With anybody within the Hospital 21 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 22 Products Division or outside of the Hospital 22 BY THE WITNESS:

68 (Pages 266 to 269)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 270 Page 272 A. Again, I never had any of those During your entire tenure within the Hospital 1 2 conversations when we were negotiating those home 2 Products Division, did you -- what types of infusion agreements. What I meant was the people 3 documents did you create? who were writing those agreements worked with 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. counsel to create those, to develop and create 5 BY THE WITNESS: 6 6 those agreements. A. I'm not sure what you mean by the 7 Q. Okay. My question is actually a little 7 question. 8 bit different. 8 Q. Sure. Let me rephrase it differently. 9 9 At any time including after you watched Throughout your work at the hospital --10 the "Safeguarding" -- First, let me ask you this: 10 with the Hospital Products Division, whether it Did you watch the "Safeguarding Trust" program? was in Home Infusion, Alternate Site, or within 11 11 12 A. Yes. 12 Contract Marketing, did you generate more than a 13 Q. Okay. At any time, including after 13 couple of banker's boxes full of documents? Abbott entered into the CIA and you watched the 14 14 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. "Safeguarding Trust" program, did you ever have 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 any thoughts or consideration of reporting the 16 16 I have no idea. conduct within the Home Infusion unit to any 17 Q. Well, is it fair to say that you signed 17 a lot of letters or worked on a lot of reports? 18 state or federal official? 18 19 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 19 A. Yes. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 20 Q. Okay. And prior to 2002, is that a 21 A. Well, by 2003, the Home Infusion 21 fair statement? program -- the Home Infusion was gone, and I had A. Yes, I guess. 22 Page 271 Page 273 1 Q. What types of reports would you work on been out of it for so long that it was never --2 it never occurred to me as I was watching 2 when you were in the Alt Site Products Division? "Safeguarding Trust" that that was something I 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 3 4 should -- to think about. 4 BY THE WITNESS: 5 5 Q. Okay. So the answer is no? A. Well, I worked on contracts with our A. The answer is no. 6 6 customers. There were spreadsheets doing pricing 7 7 analyses. I can't even think of other things MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. I think 8 8 I'm almost ready to pass the witness. But what that I would have worked on. 9 9 I'd like to do now is take a break, confer with O. Well, did you write letters to my counsel, identify my cleanup questions, and in 10 10 customers? 11 some -- now is a good time to take a break. A. I think I probably did write some 11 12 MS. CITERA: Sure. 12 letters to customers. 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the Q. Did you write memos, internal memos? 13 A. Yes, I wrote internal memos. 14 14 record at 3:31 p.m. 15 (A short break was had.) 15 Q. Did you write significant events THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 16 16 reports? record at 3:42 p.m. 17 A. Yes, we did significant events on a 17 BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 18 monthly basis. 18 19 Q. Ms. Leone, I just have a few follow-up 19 Q. Okay. Any other type -- Did you always 20 20 questions for you. do your significant events reports? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 A. Okay. 21 Q. The first pertains to documents. 22 22 BY THE WITNESS:

69 (Pages 270 to 273)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 274 Page 276 A. I'm pretty sure I did them all every Home Infusion business unit, did you generate 1 letters to clients? 2 2 month. 3 Q. Okay. There were required -- were they 3 A. I did letters to clients. I did -- We required -- were they a requirement for your 4 4 did a monthly report for our case management 5 5 position? identifying all the case management that we had -- had done for the month. I did -- Every time we 6 6 A. My boss wanted a significant events 7 report from me and everybody who was his direct 7 negotiated a price, we had a worksheet that we report on a monthly basis identifying our 8 completed that was put into the patient file for 8 9 9 activities during the previous month. that patient identifying what their pricing would 10 Q. Okay. Did that include the sales 10 be for that -- for that therapy that they were force? going to be on. I developed and created this 11 11 12 A. The sales force had different kinds of 12 case manager training manual. I think we mentioned that earlier this morning. I think 13 forms that they filled out. 14 Q. I'm sorry, what --14 there were some presentations. And probably all A. But, again, it was on a monthly basis. 15 15 the way through in every position I've had, I've 16 Q. Okay. What kind of forms did the sales 16 done PowerPoint presentations on various topics. force; put out -- have to put out on a monthly 17 When I was a reimbursement specialist, 17 18 basis? 18 I completed those 1500 claim forms and any other 19 A. They did a document identifying all of 19 kind of medical -- you know, forms that needed to the people -- all of the customers that they had 20 be completed for -- for billing those customers 20 had activities with during the month, people that 21 or billing -- yeah, billing on behalf of those were working on contracts with, what the products patients, so --22 Page 275 Page 277 1 Q. Okay. When you were in the Alternate were that were going to be on those contracts, kind of identifying all of their activities 2 2 Site, what manuals did you use? 3 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. during the month. 4 Q. Okay. And were they required to -- was 4 BY THE WITNESS: the sales force within Alt Site required to 5 A. I can't remember any manuals other than 6 maintain files on each of their clients? 6 these that we just --7 7 Q. Located? A. I don't know how the sales force 8 8 maintained and kept track of their activities A. Yes. 9 with each of their customers. 9 Q. Okay. Ms. Leone, when you received a Q. Was that a -- something that was left litigation hold memo, would you retain your 10 10 to each individual member of the sales force? documents pursuant to those memos? 11 11 12 A. I don't know whether it was left to the 12 A. Yes. sales force or whether it was based on direction Q. Would you provide them to the paralegal 13 13 14 from theirs -- from their divisional manager. 14 who requested them? A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. When you were in -- Well, what 15 other types of documents would you work on or 16 16 Q. Would you update the requests -- When 17 generate when you were in Alternate Site? 17 you received updated requests, would you provide 18 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. your documents responsive to the request? 18 19 BY THE WITNESS: 19 20 20 A. I think you remembered more than I do, Q. Do you have any knowledge or reason or 21 but I can't think of anything else. 21 understanding as to why Abbott to date may not Q. Okay. What about when you were in the 22 22 have produced all of your documents?

70 (Pages 274 to 277)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 278 Page 280 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Marketing internship where basically the NAMs, or 1 2 BY THE WITNESS: 2 national account managers, within Alternate Site were asked to perform a fictitious RFP response 3 A. I don't know what has and hasn't been 4 produced. or a bid response? 4 5 5 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Ms. Leone, at any time during your tenure as an employee at HPD, did you ever 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 7 consider reporting Abbott's Hospital Products 7 BY MR. ANDERSON: Division spreads or the differences between the 8 Q. And you were the manager in Contract 8 contract prices and the catalog prices or 9 9 Marketing who kind of set up this training 10 published AWPs to any state or federal Medicaid 10 exercise for the NAMs, correct? 11 official? 11 A. Yes, I was. 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. I 12 Q. And what was the basic purpose of the also caution you not to reveal any discussions 13 training exercise? 13 14 with counsel. 14 A. To help the national accounts managers BY THE WITNESS: understand the things that could come up and what 15 15 they needed to bring to contract -- what they 16 A. I do not recall ever doing that. 16 17 Q. Do you ever recall considering doing needed to bring to their Contract Marketing 17 analysts to help them put together pricing and 18 that? 18 19 MS. CITERA: Same objections. 19 proposals for those customers. O. To better facilitate the communications 2.0 2.0 BY THE WITNESS: 21 A. No, I do not recall ever considering 21 between the NAMs who were out in the field dealing with customers and interfacing with doing that. Page 279 Page 281 1 Q. Why not? customers and the Contract Marketing personnel in 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Chicago who are helping the NAMs fill out the bid 2 3 3 proposals or responses to bids? BY THE WITNESS: A. Yes. Correct. 4 A. Again, it wasn't our business practice 4 to sell against the spread or to -- to do 5 Q. Okay. And is it true that the name of this fictitional -- or fictitious company, pardon 6 anything with AWP; so I never considered that we 6 7 7 needed to do any reporting based on AWP. me -- was called CLAD? Q. Okay. Subject to being recalled once 8 A. Uh-huh. Yes, it was. It was CLAD. 8 9 Abbott produces additional documents that may 9 Q. Which is like the initials of Cindy, pertain to you, Ms. Leone, at this time, the Dave, and whoever else were the Contract 10 10 United States passes the witness to relator's Marketing analysts, correct? 11 11 counsel. Thank you for your time, ma'am. 12 12 A. Cindy, Lynn, Angie, and Dave. 13 A. You're welcome. 13 Q. Right. 14 14 MR. ANDERSON: Good afternoon, Ms. (Deposition Exhibit Leone 018 marked as requested.) 15 Leone. I'll try to move quickly. I have some 15 questions for you about the documents you BY MR. ANDERSON: 16 16 Q. If you could, take a look at what's 17 produced today, but I'm going to go with some 17 other questions given where we just left off. been marked as Exhibit 18. And I realize 16 and 18 18 19 19 17 have already marked. Those are the documents 20 20 **EXAMINATION** you produced today, and we'll get to those in a second. But take a look at what's marked Exhibit 21 BY MR. ANDERSON: 21 22 Q. Do you recall setting up a Contract 22 18.

71 (Pages 278 to 281)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 282 Page 284 If you could, ma'am, just flip through purchasing manager at the vendor, correct? 1 2 the document and confirm for me that this appears 2 A. Correct. to be the documentation that pertains to the 3 Q. And you met with each of the NAMs for Contract Marketing training exercise that you 4 4 Abbott HPD Alternate Site, and you explained the 5 oversaw? business of this fictitious company? 6 6 A. Yes. A. Correct. 7 Q. Okay. And now directing your attention 7 Q. And some of the information that you specifically to the first page, you copied Pete provided in this vendor meeting is listed on Page 8 8 Baker, Scott Glover, and Mike Novak, correct? 9 9 42 of Exhibit 18, correct? 10 A. Correct. 10 A. Correct. Q. And were you copying those gentlemen 11 Q. And looking at Bullet 6, you provided 11 because they were supervisors of the NAMs? 12 information about computer systems within the 12 A. Yes. I mean -- yes, essentially. organization for reimbursement, pharmacy, 13 13 Q. Right. They were in the upper chain of inventory management, et cetera. Did I read that 14 14 command above the NAMs, correct? 15 correctly? 15 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 16 16 A. Correct. 17 BY THE WITNESS: 17 Q. What type of information did you share with the NAMs about reimbursement? 18 A. Well, the national accounts managers 18 19 reported directly to Pete. Scott was our 19 A. I don't remember that they -- that -- I national sales manager for the sales reps, not 2.0 don't remember what we did as far as 20 the national accounts managers. And Mike Novak 21 reimbursement was concerned. was the marketing manager. So in case they had 22 Q. Is it true that in your experience at Page 283 Page 285 to contact any of those people as they put this Abbott, particularly in the Contract Marketing department, that customers would include 2 together, we wanted them to be aware of what we 2 were doing and what was being proposed, you know, reimbursement information in bid -- requests for 3 what they would have to do if this was a true 4 bids or requests for proposals? 5 RFP. 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 6 Q. I see. Okay. And focusing your 6 BY THE WITNESS: 7 attention now on the page within Exhibit 18 7 A. You know, it's been so long, I don't that's part of the CLAD training exercise, 8 8 remember. specifically Bates number Harling 42, you see 9 9 Q. Do you remember that typically in that page titled "CLAD Proposal/Vendor Meeting"? requests for bids or requests for proposals that 10 10 11 11 came from companies such as GPOs or other A. Yes. customers of Abbott to Contract Marketing that 12 Q. And what was the basic purpose behind 12 13 the vendor meeting? they requested AWP information? 13 14 A. It was kind of -- what the plan was is MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 14 we gave them this fictitious company with this 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 16 information regarding who the company was and 16 A. Yes. And we talked about that last what they were doing. And the vendor meeting was 17 17 summer, like MHA and Geri-Med and -then those individuals meeting with me as the 18 18 Q. Right. vendor and asking questions for the proposal so 19 A. -- I can't remember who else, but yes. 20 that it would help them then complete their Q. Do you recall that those same types of providers had computer systems that included AWP 21 response. 21 22 Q. And so you were role playing as the information and other reimbursement-related

72 (Pages 282 to 285)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 286 Page 288 1 No. 4. information? 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 2 (A short break was had.) 3 BY THE WITNESS: 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 4 A. I don't know whether their computer 4 record at 4:02 p.m. with the start of Tape No. 5. 5 5 systems had it. I don't know what their computer BY MR. ANDERSON: systems had. I know what they asked us to put on 6 6 Q. Back on these computer systems that assist providers in filing reimbursement claims, 7 their -- and made it a condition of completing 7 those bids was to include the AWP. But I don't 8 did Abbott compete with this other company that 8 9 9 know what their systems did. had software that assisted Coram and other 10 Q. Do you think that this Bullet 6 has to 10 providers in filing reimbursement? do with them having computer systems that assists 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 them in filing reimbursement claims and includes 12 12 BY THE WITNESS: reimbursement information? 13 13 A. I don't recall. 14 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 14 Q. Why did you have reason to preview that BY THE WITNESS: 15 15 software? 16 A. I don't recall what I was thinking 16 A. This was when I was still in Home about when we did this with computer systems for 17 Infusion Services, and we were looking at 17 18 reimbursement. I don't recall what I -- what I 18 possibly moving away from CHIP and using 19 was thinking about. 19 something else. And so we looked at this one 20 20 Q. Do you have any general recollection system and decided to stay with CHIP at that 21 outside of the training exercise, but just 21 time. generally an awareness that customers of Abbott's 22 Q. Related to this computer system issue, Page 287 Page 289 had computer systems related to reimbursement? in some recent production, I've seen reference to 1 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 2 a system called the System 50 back in the, like, 3 3 early '90s at Home Infusion. Was it, like, a BY THE WITNESS: 4 4 predecessor to CHIP? A. I know that there was one company that 5 had reimbursement software, I don't remember who A. Yeah. It was one of those big main-6 it was, for companies like a Coram or a Lincare 6 frame computers. It was probably the size of a 7 7 room, and it was called the 50. to help them create their invoices on a therapy-8 8 by-therapy basis because we previewed it at one Q. And was the 50 a computer system that 9 9 time when I was Home Infusion Services. But that assisted Abbott in filing reimbursement claims? -- that's my limited knowledge on that. 10 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And was that computer software or 11 Q. And, in turn, it also assisted Abbott 12 system that assisted providers in reimbursements 12 in filing reimbursement claims on behalf of or in akin to Abbott's CHIP system? connection with Home Infusion partners, correct? 13 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 14 14 A. Yeah. It was part of CHIP. 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 Q. Oh, it was part of CHIP? A. Yeah, it was part of CHIP. It was an 16 A. As I recall, it was. 16 17 Q. And would you consider -- I apologize, 17 early part of CHIP. but we've got to switch the tape. We've only got Q. I see. I just hadn't never seen 18 18 reference to it until recently. 19 a minute left. 19 20 20 A. Okay. A. Yeah. Q. Now, if you could flip to Page 45 of 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the 21 22 record at 4:00 o'clock p.m. with the end of Tape 22 the training materials that are marked as Exhibit

73 (Pages 286 to 289)

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 290 Page 292 18, is this basically like a checklist of the Q. Something that was, like, more than a 1 items that the NAMs were supposed to include in 2 listing of all the AWPs? 3 the responses to bid? 3 A. I don't know. I don't know what we A. Yes. These were the things that we 4 4 were asking for, so I can't answer the question. 5 were saying that they had to include with the Q. Did Abbott ever provide access to its Medi-Span subscription to providers? 6 proposal. 6 7 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Q. And if they included those, then they got credit, so to speak? And ultimately if they 8 BY MR. ANDERSON: 8 9 9 had the high score, they were going to win a Q. I'll back up and ask a foundational 10 prize? 10 question. Abbott had a subscription to Medi-11 A. Yes. 11 Span, right? 12 Q. Okay. And so this is an example of 12 A. Correct. Chris Finkel's score sheet, so to speak. And 13 13 Q. So basically what that meant is every 14 she's scored one point for providing these 14 month, Abbott would get new pricing information various pieces of information, correct? from Medi-Span, including AWPs, on all the drugs 15 15 16 A. Correct. 16 that Medi-Span published AWPs for, correct? 17 Q. And do you notice in the kind of upper 17 A. Correct. 18 middle part of the page, there's a listing there, 18 Q. That included Abbott's own drugs, 19 AWP/Medi-Span program? 19 obviously? 2.0 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. What type of AWP information was 21 Q. Okay. Did Abbott ever share those supposed to be included in the proposal? Medi-Span subscriptions or access to the Medi-Page 291 Page 293 A. I don't know. I guess we'd have to go 1 Span pricing data with providers? 1 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 2 back because I'm sure it said something in the original RFP about what they needed to provide. 3 3 BY THE WITNESS: 4 Q. Okay. Where in the materials is the 4 A. To providers? What do you mean? 5 Q. Customers. original RFP? 6 A. I don't know, so I don't know why I put 6 A. Not that I recall. 7 7 Q. Except when Abbott provided the AWPs in that in there. 8 Q. Would you agree that it was kind of 8 a spreadsheet or whatever attached to a bid, 9 standard procedure for AWP information to be 9 correct? included in responses for proposal or bids made 10 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. by Abbott to customers? BY THE WITNESS: 11 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 12 12 A. The only time that I ever used the 13 Medi-Span was when I was in Home Infusion BY THE WITNESS: 13 Services, and we were using it for the managed 14 A. I don't know what we were calling that 14 AWP/Medi-Span program, so I'm not sure what we care contracting with the third-party payors. 15 15 were asking for there. So I can't answer the Alternate Site Product Sales did not have a 16 16 17 question. 17 subscription to Medi-Span, and they didn't get a 18 copy of it. This was strictly from my Home Q. Well, you know what AWP is? 18 19 A. Yeah, but I don't know what a Medi-Span 19 Infusion days I had a subscription to Medi-Span. 20 20 program would have been. So it leads me to Q. Well, setting aside the subscription to 21 21 believe we weren't asking for AWP, we were asking Medi-Span for a moment, you'll agree, and I think you've already testified, that Abbott Contract 22 for something else.

74 (Pages 290 to 293)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 294 Page 296 Marketing did share AWPs with customers in the Q. Right. 1 context of the bid or response for proposal 2 A. Yes. 3 3 context? Q. So you would defer to the memory of the 4 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. people reporting to you, such as Debbie Longley, 5 BY THE WITNESS: about whether or not AWP was typically included, 6 6 A. If it was a requirement for completing correct? 7 the RFP, then yes, we did. And the majority of 7 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. the prices came out of Red Book and not Medi-BY THE WITNESS: 8 8 9 9 Span. A. Yes. 10 10 Q. Okay. Now, would you also agree that Q. All right. And isn't it true that in this training exercise called CLAD, Abbott 11 typically the bids did require AWP information to 11 be included; therefore, typically Abbott Contract Contract Marketing was indicating to the NAMs 12 Marketing did include AWPs in its responses to that AWP should be included in their proposal? 13 14 the bids? 14 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 16 16 BY THE WITNESS: A. No, I will not agree to that. And because it says "Medi-Span program," I don't 17 A. If we -- if it was a requirement for 17 18 completing the bids, then we provided AWP. 18 recall what was -- what we were asking for here; 19 Q. I know. I understand that contingency. 19 so I'm not going to agree we were asking them to And I'm saying that isn't it true that typically 20 complete AWP for this proposal because I don't 20 the customers requested AWP; therefore, typically 21 recall what that Medi-Span program meant. And that's a key to what we were asking for, but I AWP was included by Abbott? Page 295 Page 297 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 1 1 don't know what it was that we were asking. 2 BY THE WITNESS: 2 Q. Can you think of any way that the 3 3 phrase "Medi-Span program" somehow changes the A. I only remember a handful of customers 4 making that a requirement for completing the bid. 4 request for AWP? 5 And when that happened, those are the ones we MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 6 did. I do not remember it being something we had 6 BY THE WITNESS: 7 7 to do on a regular basis. A. That's my point. I don't know what I 8 8 Q. Do you know that personnel that meant by that. And that leads me to believe that 9 reported to you have testified under oath in this 9 we weren't asking for AWP, that we were asking 10 10 case that they did typically include AWP in the for something else. So I'm not going to agree. 11 Q. Okay. Well, I don't want to argue with 11 bids? 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 12 you. But, I mean, you'll agree at a minimum that BY THE WITNESS: the actual CLAD proposal documentation before you 13 13 includes AWP? 14 A. No, I didn't know that. 14 15 15 Q. Is the reason that you may not know A. But it includes "AWP/Medi-Span about how many or the regularity with which AWP program," and I don't know what we meant by that. 16 16 was included because you were a manager who 17 17 I can't remember. didn't fill out the bids herself but instead 18 Q. Okay. All right. Will you agree at 18 19 delegated that to others? 19 the minimum that Abbott sharing AWP information 20 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 20 with customers in the context of a bid or an RFP BY THE WITNESS: 21 21 response would be a violation of Abbott's current 22 A. That would probably be my --22 policies?

75 (Pages 294 to 297)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 298 Page 300 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 1 1 A. Probably. 2 BY THE WITNESS: 2 Q. Okay. Let's look at those. I've got 3 A. I would say -- I don't know that any of what's marked previously as Fiske 523, now also our policies specifically state that, and I don't 4 marked as Leone Exhibit 19. 4 know that we respond for Alternate Site Product 5 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 019 Sales with AWP in anything today. So I don't 6 marked as requested.) 6 7 know that that is an issue any longer. 7 BY THE WITNESS: 8 Q. Well, I understand that. But I'm 8 A. Is this the TAP version? I mean, is 9 9 saying, will you agree that if AWP information this the HPD version because each one of the 10 were to be shared by Abbott with a customer in 10 divisions had --11 the context of a bid or RFP response or for that 11 Q. I believe this is the HPD version. I 12 matter in any other context, that that sharing of 12 know what you're talking about, Ms. Leone. AWP would be a violation of Abbott's policies A. Yeah. 13 13 14 today? 14 O. Look at what's been marked -- well, 15 15 I'll tell you, just look at Page 11 of 15. And MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. it looks like this is the version with the path, Also she doesn't work for Abbott today. 16 BY THE WITNESS: the so-called HPD path. Do you see that? 17 17 18 A. That's right. 18 A. Yeah. Okay. Q. Does that lead you to believe that this 19 Q. Okay. I can address that. Abbott and 19 Hospira have the same policy prohibiting the 2.0 is the HPD version? 20 21 sharing of AWP, correct? 21 A. Yes. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 22 Q. Okay. Can you confirm that this looks 22 Page 299 Page 301 BY THE WITNESS: like the storyboards that were utilized to train 1 2 A. Correct. 2 Abbott employees on the policy concerning 3 3 reimbursement in late 2003? Q. Okay. Today, isn't it true that if AWP 4 information were to be shared by Abbott or 4 A. Yes. Hospira to a customer in the context of a bid 5 Q. And if I understand your prior 6 response or in any other context, that would be a 6 testimony correctly, prior to the institution of these storyboards and this training, there had 7 violation of Abbott and Hospira's policies? 7 8 been no written prohibition regarding 8 MS. CITERA: You can answer as to 9 9 Abbott. You cannot answer as to Hospira, reimbursement or AWP discussion, correct? 10 although I'm not sure how she can answer to 10 A. Correct. Abbott because she doesn't work there anymore. 11 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 11 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 BY MR. ANDERSON: 13 A. Yeah, I don't know what the answer to Q. Now, if you could, looking at Page 6 of 13 15, you see a storyboard there that's subtitled, 14 that question is; so I can't answer them. 14 Q. Well, do you think looking at the "What reimbursement actions are not allowed"? 15 15 storyboards themselves might refresh your memory 16 16 A. Yes. about what constitutes a violation? 17 17 Q. Okay. And the very first one reads, A. Storyboards for the learn program? 18 "Do not discuss the spread verbally or in writing 18 Q. The "Safeguarding Trust." 19 19 or verbally quote reimbursement rates." Did I 20 read that correctly? 20 A. Okay. Q. Do you think you would possibly have 21 21 A. Correct. 22 your memory refreshed if you looked at those? 22 Q. Then continuing on, "Do not provide

76 (Pages 298 to 301)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 302 Page 304 Average Wholesale Price (AWP) information to nonrequirement of the bid. 2 managed care customers." Did I read that 2 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, 3 correctly? 3 nonresponsive. A. Correct. 4 BY MR. ANDERSON: 4 5 5 Q. Given that statement, is your memory Q. When you instructed personnel not to, refreshed that sharing AWP information with quote, discuss AWP, did you instruct them not to 6 7 customers by Abbott personnel is a violation of 7 share AWP information with customers in the 8 Abbott's current policies? 8 context of a bid response or a response to a 9 9 MS. CITERA: Same objections as before. request for proposal? 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 A. What I told my team was the only time 11 A. It was their policies in December of to include AWP was if it was a requirement of 11 12 12 completing the bid. Otherwise, if it was an 2003, so ... 13 optional field, not to complete it. Q. So at least as of that time, it became 13 14 a violation of their policies to share AWP, 14 O. So in the instances where a customer of 15 Abbott's required that AWP information be 15 correct? 16 A. Yes. 16 submitted, Abbott did provide AWP and doing so 17 Q. Was sharing AWP information with was not considered a violation of any Abbott 17 18 customers a violation of any Abbott policy prior 18 policy, correct? 19 to December of 2003? 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 20 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 A. I don't -- I don't -- I did not know if 22 A. When I was in Alternate Site Product 22 there was a policy in place at that time that Page 303 Page 305 Sales we told our sales force not to discuss or 1 said not to. 2 2 talk about AWP with their customers. As I Q. Accordingly, providing AWP was not a 3 3 previously said, I don't recall that we had it violation, correct? 4 documented in a procedure or policy anywhere. 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 5 5 Q. When you told these personnel not to BY THE WITNESS: 6 discuss AWP, did you also tell them not to 6 A. It was not part of our standard 7 include AWP in the context of a bid response or 7 business practices to discuss AWP, so the only 8 response to a request for proposal? 8 time it happened was if it was a requirement for 9 9 A. Well -- And, again, my understanding completing a bid. had always been that we only provided AWP in our Q. When you say "standard practices," what 10 10 Contract Marketing department when it was 11 do you refer to? 11 something that was specifically requested as a 12 A. It wasn't part of how we were trying to condition of completing the bid proposal and if 13 sell our products. We were trying to sell our 13 we did not complete -- include AWP, then we would 14 products based on the depth and breadth of the 14 15 not be able to -- we would not be considered. 15 product line, what buying products from Abbott --16 The other piece of this is Debbie the whole idea of the services, the service and 16 17 Longley left Alternate Site Product Sales 19- in 17 products that -- quality that Abbott could bring late 1996 -- I'm sorry, late -- sometime in 1997. 18 to the table and not based on the spread of the 18 And she may have provided AWP prior to my being 19 AWP. 20 in the department. But after I came into the Q. So the standard practices were, so to department, the rule of thumb was that we would 21 speak, the aspirations of Abbott in selling not include AWP unless it was a specific 22 products, correct?

77 (Pages 302 to 305)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

	Page 306		Page 308
1	A. Correct.	1	MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
2	MS. CITERA: Objection to form.	2	BY THE WITNESS:
3	BY MR. ANDERSON:	3	A. I thought this had to do with the Ross
4	Q. But despite those aspirations, there	4	Laboratories.
5	were other practices that also were utilized such	5	Q. Okay. The Ross criminal settlement?
6	as the sharing of AWP when it was required to be	6	MS. CITERA: Objection.
7	part of the bid?	7	BY MR. ANDERSON:
8	MS. CITERA: Objection, form.	8	Q. I'll rephrase for purposes of the
9	BY THE WITNESS:	9	record.
10	A. Yes.	10	One of the underlying reasons why
11	Q. Today, would Hospira or Abbott provide	11	Abbott instituted these reimbursement policies
12	AWP information in a bid response if it was a	12	that are reflected in Leone Exhibit 19 in
13	required field?	13	December of 2003 was the Ross corporate integrity
14	MS. CITERA: Objection to the form, and	14	agreement that was connected with the Ross
15	I'm going to instruct you not to answer as to	15	criminal plea, correct?
16	Hospira.	16	MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
17	BY THE WITNESS:	17	BY THE WITNESS:
18	A. I don't know what Abbott does today,	18	A. As I understand it, yes.
19	and I'm not going to answer for Hospira.	19	Q. Thank you.
20	Q. All right. Back in 2003 when you were	20	Now, you mentioned earlier in your
21	at Abbott and these policies first came into	21	testimony that Contract Marketing personnel from
22	existence, would it have been a violation for	22	time to time were involved in creating proposal
	Page 307		Page 309
1	Abbott to share AWP information in the context of	1	spreadsheets; is that correct?
2	a bid response even if the customer demanded it	2	A. Correct.
3	in the bid?	3	Q. And were those also sometimes known as
4	MS. CITERA: Objection to form.	4	proposal analyses?
5	BY THE WITNESS:	5	A. Yes.
6	A. Based on this, yes.	6	Q. And there was actually a standard
7	Q. So these policies were a change in the	7	template titled "Proposal Analyses," correct?
8	governance of Abbott's business practices,	8	MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
9	correct?	9	BY THE WITNESS:
10	MS. CITERA: Objection to form.	10	A. I don't know that we had a standard
11	BY THE WITNESS:	11	template for it. I know that we we put the
			-
12	A. It was It was documenting our	12	same information in time after time, so
13	A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices.	12 13	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included
13 14	A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices.Q. And it was doing more than that, wasn't	12 13 14	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included AWP up until 2003, correct?
13 14 15	A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices. Q. And it was doing more than that, wasn't it, ma'am?	12 13 14 15	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included AWP up until 2003, correct? A. No, it did not.
13 14 15 16	 A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices. Q. And it was doing more than that, wasn't it, ma'am? A. Yes. 	12 13 14 15 16	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included AWP up until 2003, correct? A. No, it did not. Q. Are you aware that that information
13 14 15 16 17	A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices. Q. And it was doing more than that, wasn't it, ma'am? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. And, again, one of	12 13 14 15 16 17	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included AWP up until 2003, correct? A. No, it did not. Q. Are you aware that that information that Strike that.
13 14 15 16 17 18	A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices. Q. And it was doing more than that, wasn't it, ma'am? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. And, again, one of the roots for the implementation of these	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included AWP up until 2003, correct? A. No, it did not. Q. Are you aware that that information that Strike that. Are you aware that many proposal
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices. Q. And it was doing more than that, wasn't it, ma'am? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. And, again, one of the roots for the implementation of these December 2003 policies on reimbursement was a	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included AWP up until 2003, correct? A. No, it did not. Q. Are you aware that that information that Strike that. Are you aware that many proposal analyses included AWP information?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices. Q. And it was doing more than that, wasn't it, ma'am? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. And, again, one of the roots for the implementation of these December 2003 policies on reimbursement was a corporate integrity agreement that had been	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included AWP up until 2003, correct? A. No, it did not. Q. Are you aware that that information that Strike that. Are you aware that many proposal analyses included AWP information? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices. Q. And it was doing more than that, wasn't it, ma'am? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. And, again, one of the roots for the implementation of these December 2003 policies on reimbursement was a corporate integrity agreement that had been triggered by the TAP criminal settlement,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included AWP up until 2003, correct? A. No, it did not. Q. Are you aware that that information that Strike that. Are you aware that many proposal analyses included AWP information? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. BY THE WITNESS:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. It was It was documenting our standard business practices. Q. And it was doing more than that, wasn't it, ma'am? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. And, again, one of the roots for the implementation of these December 2003 policies on reimbursement was a corporate integrity agreement that had been	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	same information in time after time, so Q. And typically that information included AWP up until 2003, correct? A. No, it did not. Q. Are you aware that that information that Strike that. Are you aware that many proposal analyses included AWP information? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.

78 (Pages 306 to 309)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 310 Page 312 included in any of those analyses. A. Yeah, some of those that I saw that had 1 2 Q. Do you know where Abbott keeps all of 2 been created prior to my time had AWP in them. I 3 its past proposal analyses, whether can't say that all of them did, but some of them electronically on a computer somewhere or in 4 4 did. 5 paper files? Q. Right. I'm not asking about all. I 6 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. said most. 7 7 BY THE WITNESS: Did you find that in '97, most of the 8 8 proposal analyses included AWP information? A. Electronically, there's a file on a 9 9 shared drive that Contract Marketing had. In the MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 10 files, it's in the individual customer files. 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 There's -- in most cases, there's copies of those A. I don't recall seeing AWP in that -- in 11 12 analyses. anything other than the MHA's and the GeriMed's 12 13 and IVMed's and RxMed's. Q. And are those kept historically, for 13 instance, year after year when a bid is made to Q. And PBI's? 14 14 GPO such as MHA or PBI or whoever it may be such 15 A. AWP was not in the PBI analysis that we 15 that there would be a '94 proposal analyses all 16 16 did in our analysis. I do not recall seeing AWP the way running through 2007? 17 17 in our analysis. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 18 18 Q. Do you recall seeing AWP in the Olsten 19 BY THE WITNESS: 19 analysis? I'll rephrase to be more specific. 20 A. It's what we talked about earlier this 20 Do you recall seeing AWP information in 21 morning, that the files would be kept based on 21 the proposal analyses that Abbott created the term of the agreement. And so if it was 22 concerning Olsten? Page 311 Page 313 something that expired while we were still part 1 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. of Abbott, then it would be in the Abbott 2 2 BY THE WITNESS: corporate records now. If it's something that 3 3 A. I don't recall the -- any proposals 4 crossed over, then there might -- then there's 4 that we did for Olsten. 5 probably copies of it someplace in Hospira. Q. Do you recall that AWP information was 6 Q. Did typically the people reporting to 6 included in the proposal analyses created by you in Contract Marketing create those proposal Abbott for Chartwell? 7 7 8 8 analyses? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 9 9 A. Yes, they did. BY THE WITNESS: Q. Did you normally review those proposal 10 10 A. The Chartwell proposal that you showed me that was an exhibit in my last deposition was 11 analyses? 11 12 A. Yes. 12 done prior to my -- to my being in Contract Q. And you took on that role as manager Marketing. 13 13 within Contract Marketing in '98? 14 14 Q. So did you take affirmative steps to A. '96. 15 15 try and prevent the inclusion of AWP in proposal Q. '96? analyses? 16 16 17 A. Yeah, December of 1996. 17 A. That was one of my directions to the Q. And did you find that in 1996 that the 18 18 people on my team. 19 proposal analyses typically included AWP 19 Q. Did you make that determination on your 20 20 information? own, or did one of your superiors ask that you 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 make that direction? A. I don't remember what precipitated it. 22 BY THE WITNESS: 22

79 (Pages 310 to 313)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 314 Page 316 marked in this litigation as Exhibit 1192. 1 Q. Why do you feel like AWP needed to be A. Oh. I do recall this. 2 deleted from the standard proposal analyses? 2 3 A. Because it wasn't a factor in how we 3 Q. And you're listed as an author on the 4 4 were going to do the pricing and what the pricing first page, correct? 5 was that we were offering our customers. A. Yes, yes. Q. Do you recall that the proposal 6 6 Q. And then to speed things along, Ms. 7 analyses that you had inherited, so to speak, 7 Leone, I've got questions for you about Page 7, included AWP spread calculations? Bates-labeled CMOAL 232499. 8 8 9 9 MS. CITERA: Objection, form. A. Okay. 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 Q. And actually, to get a context, see the preceding page. It reads, quote: Account 11 A. And, again, going back to the Chartwell 11 12 or Coram or whichever one it was that we looked assessment strategies, Contract Marketing 12 13 at before, that was in that. That was in that 13 guidelines for a proposal. Did I read that 14 analysis. 14 correctly? Q. Yeah. And who was your predecessor as 15 15 A. Yes. 16 manager of Contract Marketing? 16 Q. And this was information that you 17 A. There was Michael Rativan for a short 17 probably had a hand in providing, correct? A. Correct. 18 period of time and Steve Kipperman prior to that. 18 19 Q. Do you feel like Kipperman probably 19 Q. And these are as listed on this sheet, 2.0 oversaw the department when these proposal 20 quote, universal questions to ask, correct? 21 analyses were created and typically included AWP 21 A. Correct. spread analysis? 22 Q. And these were universal questions that Page 315 Page 317 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 1 you were instructing the salespersons to ask 2 their customers out in the field, correct? 2 BY THE WITNESS: 3 3 A. Since Michael was there for such a A. Correct. Q. And now looking at the page that I've 4 short period of time, I would -- and Steve was 4 there for several years, probably Steve was initially referenced, Page 7, do you see in the 6 involved in those. 6 middle of the page, one of the standard questions 7 7 is about reimbursement mix, percentage Medicare, Q. Was Kipperman punished in any way or 8 reprimanded or otherwise instructed that the way 8 Medicaid, Medi-Cal, HMO contracts, private. Did 9 9 he had previously had proposal analyses created I read that had correctly? 10 was improper? 10 A. Uh-huh. 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 Q. Why did you suggest that Abbott 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 salespersons should ask those types of questions? A. This document was created overall based 13 A. I don't know. 13 14 Q. Did you assist Trudi Burchieri, the 14 on helping our sales reps go in and have manager for sales training, in creating a sales 15 15 conversations with their customers and understand the right questions to ask so that they could 16 training manual? 16 understand their customers' business. And as 17 A. I don't remember. 17 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 020 part of that, we thought that understanding what 18 18 19 marked as requested.) 19 their reimbursement mix by payor type would be, 20 20 BY MR. ANDERSON: would be helpful for them in understanding who 21 Q. If you could, Ms. Leone, flip through 21 their customer was and overall how they were 22 what's been marked as Leone Exhibit 20, also 22 doing their business.

80 (Pages 314 to 317)

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

5

6

7

8

9

14

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

were.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Page 318

Page 320

- 1 Q. And in what way would the reimbursement 2 knowledge that a salesperson would gain about a customer pharmacy, for instance, help Abbott in 4 selling its drugs?
- A. Well, for instance, if you've got -- if you've got a large Medicare population, is your therapy mix more tied to TPN and enteral nutrition? Do you have a very young patient population so you're not going to have Medicare 10 patients; you're going to have private insurance patients. So those were the kinds of questions. 11 That was the direction that we felt would help the sales reps understand who their customers
- 15 Q. Would it also help the sales reps in 16 understanding how the providers are paid when 17 they dispense products?
- 18 A. I don't know that -- I don't know that 19 knowing that would help them understanding the 20 reimbursement -- I mean understanding how the 21 payors paid.
- Q. Well, if you knew that a given provider 22

is less than what I could get if I was -- if I 2 was submitting claims to an insurance company."

- 3 Q. And would Abbott consider the 4 provider's reimbursement in Abbott's pricing of 5 the drugs?
- 6 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 7 BY THE WITNESS:
 - A. No, Abbott wouldn't consider that. But they would at least understand where that objection was coming from, and so they would be better able to deal with positioning the pricing that we were offering those customers better.
 - Q. How could they better position it?
- A. As the full -- Again, going back to the 14 15 full product line, "Here's all the products we 16 can provide to you. Here's all of the different -- different things that we can give you that we 17 18 can offer you as part of this proposal." But not 19 -- I mean, that's how they would use it. That's 2.0 how they would position that.
- 21 Q. Would highlighting higher relative 22 reimbursement on an Abbott product versus a

Page 319

Page 321

- had a large Medicaid business, for instance, 2 would that assist Abbott in knowing more about how that pharmacy is actually paid when it 3 4 dispenses a drug? 5
 - MS. CITERA: Objection to form. BY THE WITNESS:
- A. I think it would have helped more in understanding what they were looking for from a pricing perspective because Medicaid -- Medicaid reimbursement was significantly less than paying -- say, having an insurance company. So they 12 might have more push-backs from their customers for lower prices based on that. So that was the
- what was happening. 15 Q. You're saying the pharmacies may have 16 17 some push-back to the Abbott salespersons?

intent of understanding that piece of the -- of

18 A. No, no. I'm saying that there could be 19 -- When we put the proposals together with the 20 pricing that we were offering them, they may say, 21 "You know, I've got a big Medicaid population. I 22 need lower prices because Medicaid reimbursement

- competitor also be an advantage?
- MS. CITERA: Objection to form.

BY THE WITNESS:

- A. But again we're going back to we told our sales force that they were supposed to be selling based on the product line, the portfolio of products, what the Abbott name brought to them. That's how they were supposed to be selling our products, the fact that you've got all these products that we can provide to you.
- Q. Do you see or do you recall any directive in the sales training manual to not discuss AWP?
- A. No, I don't see it. I mean, I'd have to go through the entire document; but I don't see anything in here that references that.
- 17 Q. And do you recall that those types of prohibitions about reimbursement discussions or 18 19 AWP discussions were included in any written 20 training manuals?
- 21 MS. CITERA: Objection to form.

22 BY THE WITNESS:

81 (Pages 318 to 321)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 322 Page 324 A. I don't believe we ever put anything in Q. Well, the GPOs are ultimately the 1 1 2 writing relating to that. 2 decision-makers for lots of pharmacies, right? 3 Q. Was there a reason why Abbott did not 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. put any of its informal positions prior to 2003 4 BY THE WITNESS: 4 5 in writing? 5 A. Uh-huh. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 6 6 Q. So sharing --7 BY THE WITNESS: 7 A. Yes. I'm sorry. 8 Q. So sharing the AWPs with the GPOs at a 8 A. I don't remember. 9 9 Q. You don't remember if there was a corporate level would be covering many, many, 10 reason for everything being oral? 10 many pharmacy members of that GPO, correct? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 12 BY THE WITNESS: BY THE WITNESS: 12 13 A. Yeah, I don't remember if there was a 13 A. But, again, under my direction, we were 14 reason. I don't remember why we didn't put --14 only supposed to be including AWP if it was a requirement to complete the bid and not under any 15 why it wasn't in writing. 15 Q. Would you agree that it would be easier 16 16 other circumstances. for employees of Abbott such as salespersons to 17 Q. Right. And when those AWPs were 17 18 get away with talking about AWP if there wasn't a 18 shared, no one at Abbott was disciplined, 19 written policy against it? 19 correct? 2.0 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 2.0 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 BY THE WITNESS: A. I recall when we submitted the GeriMed A. That was never the intent. 22 Page 323 Page 325 -- when we received the GeriMed and the MHA bids, 1 Q. Can you recall any instance where any we specifically called the customers and said we 2 Abbott employee was ever reprimanded in any way 3 3 don't want to include AWP. We had a national for discussing AWP with a customer? 4 4 A. I don't know of any employees who accounts manager. And we were told if we did not discussed AWP with customers, so I can't say include that information, then we would -- then 6 whether anybody was reprimanded if management 6 our bid would be rejected. And so we felt that 7 we were required to do that in order to be able 7 became aware of that. 8 to submit those bids to those customers. We were 8 Q. Are you aware of any situation where 9 9 any Abbott employee was ever reprimanded for providing it as a courtesy and for no other 10 sharing AWPs with customers? 10 reason. 11 11 A. I'm not aware of that ever happening. Q. Well --12 Q. But you are aware that that type of AWP 12 A. And, again, we had to actually look up 13 all those AWPs. 13 sharing occurred, correct? Q. Out of the Red Book? 14 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 14 A. Yes. 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 16 16 A. No, I was not aware of that. Q. And you all knew that those AWPs were being published by Red Book based in part on the 17 Q. Well, you knew it was in the bids? 17 A. Again, when those bids went directly to information that Abbott was reporting to Red 18 18 19 those -- to those GPOs. On an individual basis, 19 Book, correct? 20 20 on an individual basis with our sales reps, my MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 understanding and my belief was that they were 21 BY THE WITNESS: 22 22 not sharing AWP. A. Abbott reported a price that we knew

82 (Pages 322 to 325)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 326 Page 328 was used by the compendia to calculate the AWP. products that would not have been awarded. By 2 Q. Right. When -- When you mentioned that having the award for those products with those Abbott informed MHA and GeriMed that they didn't 3 people who were members of that GPO, it gave our 4 want to provide AWP and MHA and GeriMed informed sales reps entry into meeting with those 5 Abbott that their bid would be considered, customers to sell other products like pumps and couldn't Abbott have just chosen not to bid on 6 - especially pumps and other products that we had 6 that business? 7 7 available to us. 8 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 8 So it was -- and, again, those members 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: didn't -- those members didn't have to buy those 10 A. Again, we were not bidding on just two 10 products from Abbott. Even after GeriMed awarded or three products. We were bidding on the entire it to us, there was no requirement that they had 11 11 12 product line, all of the products we had 12 to buy from us. available. And that included products that 13 13 Q. That's right. But Abbott had the pole didn't have AWP. So we wanted -- we wanted the 14 14 position, right? opportunity to -- to sell products to their 15 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 15 members. We wanted that ability to do that. 16 16 BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. But Abbott could have chosen simply not 17 17 Q. They had the preferred status? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. to make the sale, correct? 18 18 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 BY THE WITNESS: 20 A. I don't know how they had the preferred 21 A. I don't know -- I don't know that 21 status. anybody ever considered that. 22 Q. Well, that's what the whole premise of Page 327 Page 329 Q. Foregoing the opportunity to make a the bid award was? Once GeriMed selects Abbott, 1 sale to GeriMed wasn't even considered? 2 2 then Abbott is the preferred generic provider for 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 3 the selected drugs, correct? 4 BY THE WITNESS: 4 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 5 5 A. I don't know that that discussion ever BY THE WITNESS: 6 6 A. Correct. took place. 7 7 Q. Well, whether the discussion took place Q. Right. 8 8 or not, it certainly was an option, correct? A. But they awarded many products to us, 9 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 9 not just the four or five that we've been talking 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 about. A. Yes, it was an option. 11 11 Q. Sure. 12 Q. I mean, Abbott doesn't have to sell its 12 A. So it was again back to the entire drugs to GeriMed, does it? portfolio of products and the fact that Abbott 13 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. had a significant product line of products that 14 14 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 were available to their membership. Q. Right. And Abbott had AWPs on lots of 16 A. No, they didn't have to their product -16 - and they weren't selling them to GeriMed. They drugs, most of its product line, not just the 17 17 were selling them to the members of GeriMed. 18 four or five that are listed in the United States 18 19 Q. I realize that. Abbott doesn't have to 19 lawsuit, correct? 20 20 do that, do they? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 A. And we wanted the opportunity to build 21 BY THE WITNESS: 22 those relationships with those members for 22 A. Correct.

83 (Pages 326 to 329)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 330 Page 332 1 MS. CITERA: Yeah. 1 Q. And when Abbott chooses to share AWP 2 with GeriMed or other customers and participate 2 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 016 and in the bid process, that means ultimately more 3 Exhibit Leone 017 marked as requested.) sales for Abbott, right? 4 BY MR. ANDERSON: MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 5 5 Q. 16 is the 2000 version, 17 is the 2001 BY THE WITNESS: 6 version. I understand you pulled these from your 6 7 A. Correct. 7 files; is that correct? 8 8 Q. And more dollars to Abbott? A. Last night. 9 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 9 Q. Last night. What caused you to look 10 BY THE WITNESS: for those last night? 10 A. In my meeting with Ms. Citera yesterday 11 A. Correct. 11 12 Q. And Abbott doesn't have to sell those 12 drugs to those GeriMed members or whoever it may 13 13 MS. CITERA: I'm going to just caution 14 be in order to stay in business, does it? 14 you, you're not to reveal any discussions. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. BY THE WITNESS: 15 15 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 A. Right. I said -- I asked her if she had copies of the -- of these handbooks. And so 17 A. Well, again, it's a business. They 17 18 want to grow their business. They want to expand 18 she asked me to -- and she said -- you know, she 19 the people who are buying those products. And 19 didn't know whether she did or not. I said, 20 20 one of the ways to do it is to respond to a "Well, I have copies of these handbooks in my 21 proposal for a GPO, like a GeriMed that has a 21 office," and I gave them to her. significant membership, making those products 22 Q. Prior to last night, had you ever Page 331 Page 333 looked for these handbooks before? available to that membership. I mean, Abbott was in business to make money. 2 2 A. No. I just moved to a new office; and Q. Right. 3 as I was cleaning stuff out, I found these. I 3 didn't even know that these still existed. 4 A. And to grow the business and sell more 4 5 of their products. 5 Q. When did you move offices? 6 O. Even --6 A. A couple months ago. And I didn't even 7 think about these in relationship to this until A. Responding to a bid was one of the ways 7 that they tried to grow the business. 8 8 yesterday. 9 Q. That's right. 9 Q. Are you the only person who has these handbooks? 10 Even if it involves sharing AWP 10 information which you're uncomfortable doing? 11 11 A. I don't know. MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 12 13 BY MR. ANDERSON: 13 BY MR. ANDERSON: 14 14 Q. Well, was this a standard handbook that Q. Is that right? 15 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 15 was handed out? 16 BY THE WITNESS: 16 A. This was a handbook that we handed out 17 A. I guess it's right. 17 to the Alternate Site sales force. The first Q. All right. Now let's look at these two year we did it was 2000, and we did an update in 18 18 19 documents that you produced today. I've marked 19 2001 when I was still in Contract Marketing. And 20 20 them as Exhibit 16 and 17. my understanding was that it was not maintained after that. It was a guide for the sales reps in 21 MR. ANDERSON: Toni, I think you've got 21 22 them. 22 how to -- we were allowing the sales force to

84 (Pages 330 to 333)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 334 Page 336 Q. Right. But the fundamental truth is 1 have some contracts that they could negotiate on 1 their own without having to come to Contract 2 that Alternate Site Contract Marketing was part Marketing and create -- and having an analyst of HPD Contract Marketing? have to work on it. And so these handbooks were 4 A. Not then. 5 created to give them kind of the rules of the O. Not then? 6 A. No. We were not a part of -- we were road in how to do that type of contracting. not a part of HPD Contract Marketing then. We 7 Q. So long story short, everybody in Alt 7 Site sales got one? 8 reported directly into the general manager of 8 9 9 Alternate Site Product Sales. There was only A. Yes. 10 Q. Do you have any understanding of why 10 dotted-line responsibility to Contract Marketing. 11 these weren't produced to the plaintiffs in this Q. Well, back in -- when you say "then," I 11 case until today? 12 mean back in certainly 2000? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 13 13 A. No. We came back under the umbrella of 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 -- of Contract Marketing, I don't remember 15 whether it was late 2000 or early 2001. 15 A. No. 16 16 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 021 Q. How would you compare this handbook to what's known as the Basic Operating Procedures 17 marked as requested.) 17 Manual for Contract Marketing? BY MR. ANDERSON: 18 18 19 A. This was for the sales force. And that 19 Q. All right. I've got another exhibit **Basic Operating Procedures for Contract Marketing** 20 that bears on this issue. If you could, take a 2.0 was I believe the one we discussed earlier this 21 look at this org chart marked as Leone Exhibit morning, that was really for the Hospital 22 Page 335 Page 337 1 A. I would not -- I did not and never Business Sector more than -- that was really a 2 Hospital document as opposed to Alternate Site. 2 reported to Pat Keely. What this was was an And then this was really a document for the sales 3 3 organizational chart for --4 force to use. 4 Q. I understand you want to respond, and 5 5 Q. So that Basic Operating Procedures I'll let you; I promise, Ms. Leone. 6 Manual, the big, like, 400-page document, that 6 A. All right. 7 pertained to HPD Contract Marketing as a whole, 7 Q. But right now there's not a question which included both Alternate Site Contract pending. I just asked you to look at the 8 8 9 Marketing and Hospital Business Sector Contract 9 document, okay? 10 Marketing, correct? 10 A. I'm sorry. 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 11 Q. Okay. Do you agree that this appears 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 to be an org chart for Contract Marketing dated 13 A. We were never included in its creation 13 HPD 1998? 14 14 or the -- and we were never told that what was in A. No. 15 that document was something that we in Alternate 15 Q. Okay. What do you believe that this Site needed to use for our -- for our purposes. org chart reflects? 16 16 As I said this morning, we looked at it 17 17 A. It reflects the Contract Marketing team at one point to see if there was a way to modify 18 for the implementation of the VHA/Abbott HPD 18 19 it and update it and use it in Alternate Site and 19 contract. That's what it reflects. 20 20 again decided not to, to make it more -- what we Q. Okay. 21 were talking about was making it more compatible 21 A. And that's different. 22 22 with the Alternate Site business. Q. Because the VHA contract impacted both

85 (Pages 334 to 337)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 338 Page 340 hospitals as well as non-hospital customers? specifically -- And just by way of reference, 2 A. Correct. this is the 2000 Contract Marketing Handbook. Do 3 O. And Alternate Site needed to be 3 vou see it? included? 4 A. Yes. 4 5 5 Q. Okay. Go to Bates No. 377132, please. A. Yes. It's also labeled A-6. 6 Q. Okay. So this org chart was a 6 functional kind of job-specific org chart? 7 7 A. Uh-huh. A. Yes. 8 Q. Do you see that titled, "One-Time Price 8 Parameters"? 9 9 Q. All right. 10 A. In relationship to the VHA contract. 10 A. Uh-huh. Q. How many other instances were there 11 Q. You're familiar with that term? 11 job-related organizational reporting structures 12 A. It's been a long time. I truly haven't 12 between HPD and Alternate Site? looked at this in six or seven years. 13 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. Q. Have you some familiarity with the term 14 14 "accommodation price"? 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. Do you mind if I read through this 16 A. I don't know. 16 17 Q. There were others, but you don't know 17 quickly? Q. No, I don't mind at all. 18 how many? 18 19 A. I don't know if there were others, and 19 MS. CITERA: While she's doing that, I 20 I don't know how many there were. If there were 20 just want to note for the record that I think 21 -- I don't know if there were any others; and if this was -- the prefix for the Bates label is 22 there were any others, I don't know how many 22 wrong. It should probably be ABT-DOJ. It's ABJ-Page 339 Page 341 there were and who was on them and what they were 1 DOJ. Same thing with 17. 2 2 in relationship to. MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. 3 Q. You do know, though, that there were --3 BY THE WITNESS: there were multiple group purchasing 4 4 A. Okay. organizations who did business with Abbott who 5 Q. Does this -- Now that you've read this 6 also had members that were hospital and non-6 page of the Contract Marketing Handbook about hospital Alternate Site type customers, correct? 7 7 one-time price parameters, does that refresh your 8 8 A. Correct. memory? 9 9 Q. All right. Do you believe that in each A. Yes. one of those situations, there would be direct 10 10 Q. I note in the middle of the document it reporting between HBS Contract Marketing and says, "There are a set of prices on file, 11 11 Alternate Site Contract Marketing? accommodation prices." Did I read that opening 12 12 13 A. No. 13 phrase --Q. Why not? 14 14 A. Yes. A. This was a very unique contract. And 15 15 Q. Okay. Where were these accommodation because of that, they put something like this prices kept? 16 16 together. This was -- this was unique unto the 17 17 A. They were actually in our Contract relationship Abbott created with VHA when that 18 Administration System. 18 contract was signed in 1998. I do not recall any Q. They're in CAS? 19 other contract or any other GPO where anything 20 A. Yes. 21 like this ever happened. 21 Q. What field? I'll be more specific. Q. Okay. Let's now jump to Exhibit 16, 22 What field of data within CAS handles 22

86 (Pages 338 to 341)

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 342 Page 344 the accommodation prices? lower enough so that we could make sure that 2 A. There was a profile set up in CAS with 2 those charge-backs would come through and we 3 these prices. would be able to identify those customers and 4 Q. A specific contract number that was the 4 then know who they were. 5 accommodation profile? That's really scary. A. Yes, yes. 6 Q. Why were the accommodation prices set 6 Q. Okay. So are accommodation prices slightly below the Rx-Link customer prices? 7 7 contract prices? 8 A. So that we would make sure that 8 9 A. No. 9 Alternate Site would get sales credit so that 10 Q. But they were given a profile number, 10 those customers could then be tied to that nonetheless? profile and Alternate Site would get sales credit 11 11 instead of the hospital side. 12 A. Yes. 12 And I believe this -- this was killed 13 O. Kind of akin to Rx-Link? 13 14 A. Yes. 14 in 2002. 15 Q. Kind of akin to a field-generated 15 Q. Why? 16 16 A. Because -- I don't remember why. I was price? 17 A. Much closer to Rx-Link. 17 out of the department then; but I know that it 18 Q. Okay. Would you consider Rx-Link and 18 was killed, and I don't remember the reason why. 19 accommodation prices to be noncontract price? 19 Q. Can -- Strike that. 2.0 20 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Looking at the very first paragraph of 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 this page, it says, "Objective: In an effort to A. I would not consider the Rx-Link prices streamline the amount of time spent on pricing 22 Page 343 Page 345 to be noncontract prices because the reason they orders that are routed for manual pricing on a were created was so that we could get the charge-2 daily basis, the following guidelines have been backs and know who the customers were. For this, 3 implemented effective July 1, '98," correct? 4 4 I would consider this noncontract prices. A. Correct. 5 5 Q. Why did Abbott have the accommodation Q. And that's the accommodation prices 6 prices? 6 a/k/a one-time price parameters, correct? 7 7 A. Actually, this was because customers --A. Uh-huh. Alternate Site customers placing orders for --8 8 Q. If I understand manual pricing 9 who did not have a contract with the wholesaler, 9 correctly, that's, in essence, a price that comes in at list, correct? 10 if they -- if those wholesaler charge-backs came 10 through, the Hospital Business Sector got sales 11 11 A. Correct. credit for those sales instead of Alternate Site Q. And once that occurs, a flag is 12 12 13 getting sales credit. And Alternate Site wanted 13 triggered and customer service evaluates it to sales credit for those sales. make sure it's not a mistake, correct? 14 14 15 So this would have been comparable to 15 MS. CITERA: Object to the form. an Rx-Link for Alt Site. And what happened is, 16 16 BY THE WITNESS: when a customer placed an order, we would tie 17 17 A. Customer -- the system automatically sends them. Customer care doesn't have to touch 18 them to that profile in the future so that 18 Alternate Site could get sales credit for it. 19 19 them. 20 20 And, you know, it says that they were pretty high Q. That's right. That's what I mean ---- they were really a price that was just a 21 21 A. Yeah. 22 22 little bit lower than the Rx-Link prices, just Q. -- when I said "flag." I'm sorry.

87 (Pages 342 to 345)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 346 Page 348 A. Customer care doesn't touch them. The anything in process, we would release the order 1 system automatically sends them. 2 2 at list price and ask them to put an invoice Q. Right. Send the -- It puts a hold on remark on the order that said "Please contact it and sends it so Abbott personnel can evaluate 4 your sales rep." why there's been a list price billing at all, 5 Q. Right. To further -correct? 6 A. Right. If they're going to continue to 6 7 A. Correct. 7 buy those products, then they want to get them 8 under contract. 8 Q. And basically what occurred upon the 9 9 institution of accommodation prices was a Q. Right. At prices lower than list? 10 mechanism by which those list price billings 10 A. Correct. On the assumption they're would be overridden with an accommodation price, going to make a commitment to buy some products 11 11 12 correct? 12 from us. 13 13 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. Q. Yes. Now, look at what's been labeled 14 BY THE WITNESS: 14 377140. And, again, this is also labeled as B-2 of Exhibit 16, the 2000 Contract Marketing 15 A. Unfortunately this isn't very well 15 16 written. But this first -- this process, number 16 Handbook. one, is really for indirect sales, not direct 17 A. Yes. 17 sales. And unfortunately this isn't very well 18 18 MS. CITERA: While she's doing that, 19 written. It doesn't explain it correctly and --19 Jarrett, what's your -- I mean, it's 5:00 2.0 Q. It really is akin to Rx-Link customer? 2.0 o'clock, five after 5:00. 21 A. Yes. 21 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. I want to get MS. CITERA: Objection to form. through -- I've got a few more questions on this 22 Page 347 Page 349 BY MR. ANDERSON: document. I want to get through these documents. 1 I've got a couple of other documents. I don't 2 Q. Okay. Was there some similar manual 2 3 override parameter price in place for direct 3 think more than about 20 minutes. sales to pharmacies? 4 4 MS. CITERA: Okay. I was going to say, 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 5 we can go to 5:30. That's it. 5:30. 6 BY THE WITNESS: 6 MR. ANDERSON: 20 minutes, yeah, yeah. 7 7 A. I believe we let direct orders, if MS. CITERA: Did you have a question? 8 8 there was nothing in process as identified in BY MR. ANDERSON: 9 number two, go through at list price. 9 Q. Are you through reviewing the page, Ms. Q. Right. But the list billing would Leone? 10 10 still create a flag, correct? 11 11 A. Okay. 12 A. Correct. 12 Q. This is titled, "Field-Generated 13 13 Contract," correct? Q. And there would still be an effort undertaken to either (A), get them on contract, 14 14 A. Correct. or (B) -- and in turn reverse the list price 15 15 Q. And you're familiar with this, aren't billing -- or (B), then allow the list price 16 16 you? 17 billing to proceed? 17 A. Yes. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Q. And specifically it is a mechanism by 18 18 19 BY THE WITNESS: 19 which a customer who doesn't have a contract can 20 20 A. Right. And the first circumstance get one quickly, correct? 21 would happen if there was a contract in process. 21 A. Correct. 22 And the second would happen if we couldn't find Q. Because the field has authority to

88 (Pages 346 to 349)

59ff07d5-29b2-44b9-93d6-1d061a73b41f

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 350 Page 352 offer those prices to customers without going for the Alternate Site sales reps. And so we 2 through Contract Marketing? gave them some ability to do some of these 3 A. Correct. 3 contracts, but the prices in all cases were very 4 Q. All right. And is it true that those 4 high. If there was anything that they -- that field-generated prices do not have any minimum they wanted to negotiate that they felt that purchase requirements? 6 there was a lot of business that we could get, 6 7 A. Correct. 7 then those requests had to come into Contract 8 O. So the smallest pharmacy in America 8 Marketing for us to do a full analysis and for us 9 could buy the minimum order size of any given 9 to do a full analysis and figure out, you know, 10 product, one package literally, and receive 10 what would be appropriate pricing for that field-generated price, correct? 11 customer based on them making a commitment to us. 11 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 12 With this agreement, there was no commitment. What we did say is, if you look at -13 BY THE WITNESS: 13 - down near the bottom, it says, "If after 12 14 A. If they signed the agreement. 14 15 Q. If they signed the agreement. months, a customer is purchasing at least \$25,000 15 A. Signed the agreement and there's no a year," remember \$25,000 a year for a lot of our 16 16 commitment tied to this agreement, but the prices 17 Alternate Site customers was a big -- was 17 18 were also very high. They weren't at list price; 18 significant dollar amount, then we would talk to 19 they were -- they were below WAC. They were 19 them about negotiating an individual contract. 20 20 below the WAC prices. They were pretty high This was really -- And we called it a bucket 21 prices, but they weren't list. 21 agreement. You know, it was a bucket in CAS. So Q. So given that reference point of WAC 22 -- But if the customer wanted to buy something 22 Page 351 Page 353 and knowing what I know about the WAC and list from us, there was one or two products that they 2 prices over time, particularly before 2001, these 2 wanted to buy from us, we didn't want to give 3 field prices were still quite a bit less than them a contract with real aggressive pricing; so 3 4 list, sometimes probably half of list? 4 we offered them this, which was a little bit 5 5 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. better than list but certainly not good or --6 BY THE WITNESS: 6 even good pricing. Q. Why do you need list pricing at all if 7 7 A. This contract was created in 2000, and 8 it was -- you know, and it was in 2000 when -- or 8 field prices were available? 9 2001 when --9 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 10 Q. You brought it down? 10 BY THE WITNESS: A. -- we brought it down significantly. 11 A. Well, we still have customers who buy 11 12 O. Yeah. at list. And there's still sales that -- that 13 A. These were -- This was the first come in at list and that gets -- that gets put 14 into our plan every year that there's going to be 14 offering of this field-generated contract for customers. This one, this contract, this full-15 some customers who are going to buy our products line contract, this was the first offering for at list for a variety of reasons. Their current 16 16 17 17 vendor may have something on back-order and they 18 Q. But field-generated prices existed have to buy from us for a time. They don't want 18 19 prior to 2000, correct? to make a commitment to us, but they want to buy A. On the hospital side. 20 20 a certain product from us and so -- and, again, 21 O. Yeah. 21 and they're willing to pay list price for it. 22 There was nothing available for the --22 And we --

89 (Pages 350 to 353)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 354 Page 356 Q. What's the commitment with the field was just pricing that was available to them to 1 2 price, though? 2 use if there was a customer that they thought 3 A. With this? they might have an opportunity to grow the 4 O. Yeah. business with. This was a first step for them to 4 5 5 A. There is no commitment. work with that customer to do something. 6 Q. Right. So what incentive does the 6 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 022 7 customer have to go with list when they can go 7 marked as requested.) with field price and have no greater commitment BY MR. ANDERSON: 8 8 9 9 to Abbott than they otherwise would at list? Q. All right. Let's move quickly because 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 10 I want to get you out of here on time. Here is BY THE WITNESS: Leone Exhibit 22. It appears to be a memo you 11 11 12 A. And there were customers who chose wrote back in June of '95. Does that appear to 13 that, and they were invoiced at list price. 13 be a memo you wrote, ma'am? 14 Q. Why? 14 A. Yes, it does. MS. CITERA: Objection to form. Q. And it's to all the reimbursement 15 15 specialists and all the reimbursement clerks, BY THE WITNESS: 16 16 17 correct? 17 A. I don't know why. There are so many customers in the Alternate Site market that our 18 18 A. Correct. 19 sales reps have never even talked to who could 19 Q. And it's titled, "Therapy Infusion call in and want to order something from us that 20 Day/AWP Flags," correct? 20 21 our sales reps never have discussions with. 21 A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to characterize those 22 Q. What was an AWP flag? 22 Page 355 Page 357 list price billings as flukes? 1 A. This was in the CHIP system for -- We 2 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. 2 talked about this earlier when I talked about 3 BY THE WITNESS: 3 being able to go into CHIP and have CHIP create 4 A. No, I don't think it's fair to 4 the invoice, the bill charges for -- the bill 5 characterize them. There are truly customers who charges to go to the third-party payors because 6 will pay list price and --6 this was when I was doing the managed care. We 7 Q. But Abbott approaches them with a field 7 had made a change to the CHIP system so that we price by policy, correct? 8 8 could actually have the -- when we negotiated 9 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 9 contracts for home -- for individual patients with a third-party payor and insurance company 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 11 and that third-party -- and that was based on a 11 A. This is something that was available to 12 our sales reps if they felt that they wanted to 12 per diem plus AWP as we talked about this 13 morning, this was a change that we made to the use it. 13 14 14 CHIP system so we could put those prices with the Q. Oh, but you're saying the sales reps 15 don't approach every customer who gets billed at 15 AWP in CHIP so the bill would come out with the correct prices that we negotiated. Remember I 16 list? 16 17 17 said I filled out a worksheet? Well, I passed A. No. Q. But they're suggested -- or at least 18 that worksheet on with the customer -- with the 18 19 encouraged to do so, correct? 19 patient file to the reimbursement specialist, and MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 20 20 then they would enter that information in CHIP. So when we -- when the orders -- when we billed 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 22 A. No, there was no encouragement. This 22 them, it would come out and the invoice would be

90 (Pages 354 to 357)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 358 Page 360 1 1 diem. correct. 2 2 Q. Okay. I follow what you're saying. I Q. Right. 3 want to make this very specific, though. 3 A. And that's what it would do, and that's 4 4 When you say the prices would be what that was for. 5 calculated, can you describe the names or types 5 Q. In addition to calculating the per diem prices that are negotiated between Abbott and --6 of pricing that would be calculated from AWP in 7 the CHIP system? 7 or a provider or Abbott on behalf of a provider A. Oh --8 on the one hand and, on the other hand, the case 8 9 9 managers for the private insurance companies, is MS. CITERA: Objection, form. 10 BY THE WITNESS: it true the AWPs were also the foundation for the 10 A. No, it's not the AWP that's being calculation of the usual and customary charges? 11 11 12 calculated. 12 A. Yeah. And we talked about that this 13 Q. No, no, no, from the AWP. 13 morning, too. 14 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 14 Q. I know. I just want to confirm, is 15 BY MR. ANDERSON: 15 that true? 16 Q. I realize the AWP is not being 16 A. But that wouldn't have been this. calculated in CHIP. Let me take it from the top. 17 17 Q. I know. I'm shifting gears now to the 18 We'll break it down. 18 next exhibit. 19 You under -- you've just testified that 19 A. Okay. AWP was the basis for some other prices in the 20 20 Q. When you say the 80/20 rule, by the 21 home infusion arena, correct? 21 way, in Exhibit 22, what are you talking about 22 A. Correct. 22 there? Page 359 Page 361 Q. What prices in the home infusion area 1 A. When we looked at how to -- how to set 2 were calculated based off of AWP by CHIP system? this up and make the changes in CHIP so that it 3 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 3 could do the pricing for us, we had a lot of, 4 4 BY THE WITNESS: "What if the request to bill the customer was 5 A. What I just told you about. When we done this way? What if it was requested to do 6 were doing managed care contracting and we would that way?" And we said, you know, there were a 7 negotiate a per diem price, excuse me, with a 7 lot of nuances and twists and there were some case manager and that per diem price would be a 8 things that we had to go in and we still had to 8 9 9 per diem, whatever it was, plus the AWP for the do the billing manually. drug that the patient was receiving --10 10 So what we looked at was, what are we 11 Q. Yes. 11 going to see the majority of the time? And that 12 A. -- what would happen is the was the 80/20 rule. And so that's when we did 13 reimbursement specialist or the clerk would go in this, and we set it up on this per diem plus AWP. 13 14 and set up for that patient the per diem and what 14 And so we could set it up as a Q 24, a Q 12, a Q 15 the AWP was based on what we put on that 15 8. and so forth. worksheet. They would enter that. And then when 16 16 Q. The different negotiated per diems that were founded on AWP? 17 the order was put into the system for that 17 patient, it would identify how many bags -- how 18 18 A. Correct. many compounded bags of the drug the patient got 19 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 023 20 20 and how many days of service that it got. So marked as requested.) then you would then see seven -- and it would do 21 BY MR. ANDERSON: the seven times the AWP and seven times the per 22 Q. Okay. Now, take a look, if you could,

91 (Pages 358 to 361)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 362 Page 364 at Exhibit 23, which I think pertains to the use give us some ideas," then we would give them of AWP in calculating usual and customary 2 that. If they wanted us to make changes or 2 3 3 modify it, then we did that. charges. 4 Q. I'm sorry. 4 Just for speed, Ms. Leone, I'm going to 5 A. That's okay. No, that's it. be directing your attention to the fifth bullet from the middle of the page. Q. Were there some standard formulas or 6 6 7 A. Okay. 7 other calculations set up in CHIP much akin to 8 what you were describing with the per diem 8 Q. You've got a good context for the 9 9 document now? calculations? 10 A. Yes. 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. Q. Okay. This is a memo you wrote back in BY THE WITNESS: 11 11 September '96, correct? 12 A. I don't remember how we -- how we 12 A. Correct. 13 13 calculated this. But I'm -- Again, as I said 14 Q. And your title is senior managed care before, we did something where we came up with a formula that included the AWP plus a -- some 15 specialist, correct? 15 pharmacy, labor, and overhead. And that was it, 16 A. Correct. 16 17 Q. And in the middle of the page, you 17 but I can't give you the exact --18 reference -- you write a bullet, and I'll read 18 Q. I don't want the exact, just generally 19 for the record, quote, "The AWP of the drug is 19 there was a formula? \$599.21 per 2.5 gram vial. Our usual and 2.0 20 A. Yes, yes. 21 customary," or U & C as you wrote it, "will be 21 Q. We've got one minute, and we've got one \$778.98 per 2.5 gram vial." Did I read that 22 document. I don't know if we're going to be able Page 363 Page 365 correctly? 1 1 to do it or not. 2 A. Yes. 2 (Deposition Exhibit Leone 024 3 Q. How were you going about calculating 3 marked as requested.) 4 the U & C there? 4 BY MR. ANDERSON: 5 5 A. I don't remember. Q. Do you realize that -- or recognize, 6 Q. Is it your experience that generally 6 rather, that this Exhibit 24 is a memo you wrote? the usual and customary charges were based upon 7 A. Yes. And obviously when I said this 7 8 AWPs? 8 morning I don't recall ever doing anything on 9 9 Lupron --MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 Q. Yeah. A. The AWP was a part of the calculation 11 11 A. -- I was wrong. 12 as well as -- you know, we looked at what costs 12 Q. Right. This appears to be a listing of would be for pharmacy, labor, and overhead and 13 AWPs for Lupron, correct? everything else that could be included in it. 14 14 15 Q. And were those usual and customary 15 Q. And you mentioned that the Lupron AWPs 16 formulas utilized by Abbott personnel in advising have increased, correct? 16 or working on behalf of providers? 17 17 A. Correct. 18 A. When we signed those agreements, you 18 Q. And why would an increase in AWP over 19 know, we asked them, again, as we talked about 19 time be important? 20 20 this morning, "How do you want to do your MS. CITERA: Objection to form. pricing?" If they had something they wanted us 21 BY THE WITNESS: to use, we would use it. If they said, "Can you 22 A. Well, again, when we were talking about

92 (Pages 362 to 365)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

Page 366 Page 368 the formula for these products, when we were 1 (A short break was had.) talking about the formula, the formulas that we 2 MR. ANDERSON: So let's go back on. did for the pricing for the drugs was AWP plus 3 Let's go back on. Okay. Toni, I at this point whatever that formula was that we put in there. am willing to conclude the deposition. However, 4 4 5 5 Q. Right. there are concerns about the completeness of the production, as you gathered, I'm sure from some A. And since the AWP changed, it appeared 6 6 7 that we were going to adjust the Lupron prices. 7 of the questioning. And I'm reserving the 8 Q. And when you say "the Lupron prices," 8 relator's rights to recall the witness as 9 9 you're talking about the Lupron per diems or, for necessary to question about additional production 10 that matter, the Lupron usual and customary 10 that we feel is due, and I'll at this point allow charges, correct? 11 my colleagues to make any comments, if they have 11 12 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 12 any. 13 BY THE WITNESS: 13 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: The United 14 A. I don't know. I mean, there's the AWP 14 States concurs with the realtor's position and similarly reserves its rights to recall this 15 and then there's the list price. So I think the 15 witness at such time as Abbott produces list price would be what our -- what that 16 16 billable charge would have been for us. 17 additional documents concerning the witness. 17 18 Q. Right. Billable by the provider or by 18 MR. SISNEROS: California joins in the 19 Abbott on behalf of the provider to a third-party 19 realtor's comments and reservation. 20 20 payor? And, additionally, I believe that 21 A. Yes. 21 Abbott has just produced some documents in our discovery request in our case, and we need -- and 22 Q. So in some, the AWPs going up on a 22 Page 367 Page 369 drug, in turn allowed providers to be paid more we're making a reservation based upon review of 2 by providers --2 those documents to make sure there haven't been 3 3 MS. CITERA: Objection. any additional or new documents produced. So 4 BY MR. ANDERSON: 4 we'd like to reserve on that basis as well. 5 5 Q. Pardon me. I've got to start over. MS. CITERA: I mean, obviously I 6 In some, the AWP increasing over time 6 disagree with the completeness of the production. enabled providers to seek reimbursement for 7 7 But it is what it is, so okay. 8 8 greater amounts from third-party payors? MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I mean, Toni, 9 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 9 let's keep this on the record, then. Do you believe that the production is complete? 10 BY THE WITNESS: 10 11 MS. CITERA: Well --11 A. Yes. But that's no different than 12 taking any other price increase over time. It's 12 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Is it Abbott's just the price increase was based on the increase 13 position the production is complete? 13 in the AWP. It could have been the increase in 14 14 MS. CITERA: I'm not saying what 15 CPIU or something else. It was just we used AWP 15 Abbott's position is. I think we have pulled documents from this witness, and I don't know 16 at that point. 16 17 Q. At this point, we've got to go off the 17 what else there is. So I'm just making -record to change the tape or at least go off the 18 MR. ANDERSON: That was kind of the 18 19 video. We may be able to talk about the 19 concern, Toni, in part is it does appear from her 20 20 deposition. testimony that she's turned over a great volume 21 of documents or at least others that she's aware THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the 21 record at 5:23 p.m. with the end of Tape No. 5. of have turned over a great volume of documents. 22 22

93 (Pages 366 to 369)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

	Page 370		Page 372
 problem. large volume retrieved be productione MS. think a lote MS. you're willed the MS. 	ve not received them, and that's a For instance, it sounds like there's a me of contract files that have been out not produced. There's been some of contract files. CITERA: I was going to say, I of contract files have been produced. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yeah. But if ling to make a representation regarding CITERA: I'm not going to make any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTSX In re: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY) MDL DOCKET NO AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE) CIVIL ACTION LITIGATION.) 01CV12257-PBSX I, LYNN E. LEONE, state that I have read The foregoing transcript of the testimony given by me at my deposition on the 17th day of January, A.D., 2008, and that said transcript constitutes a
14 completen15 thing. But16 position to	stion. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: ess of Abbott's production, that's one t, Toni, I don't think you're in a do that right now. CITERA: I'm not going to make any	12 13 14 15 16 17	true and correct record of the testimony given by me at the said deposition except as I have so indicated on the errata sheets provided herein. LYNN E. LEONE
19 you. 20 Oka	tions. I'm allowed to disagree with y. Anything else? ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Nope, that's	18 19 20 21 22	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this day of, 2008. NOTARY PUBLIC
	ANDERSON: Let's go off the record. WHEREUPON, the deposition was)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION SS. STATE OF ILLINOIS I, Rachel F. Gard, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that LYNN E. LEONE was first duly sworn by me to testify to the whole truth and that the above videotaped deposition was reported stenographically by me and reduced to typewriting under my personal direction. I further certify that the said videotaped deposition was taken at the time and place specified and that the taking of said videotaped deposition commenced on the 17th day of January, A.D., 2008, at 9:05 a.m. at the offices of Jones Day, 77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3500, Chicago, Illinois. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor financially interested directly or indirectly in

94 (Pages 370 to 373)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

January 17, 2008

Chicago, IL

	Dawa 274	
	Page 374	
1	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my	
2	hand and affixed my seal of office this 21st day of	
3	January, A.D., 2008.	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8 9	RACHEL F. GARD, CSR	
10	CSR No. 084-003324	
11	CSR No. 084-003324	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

95 (Page 374)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158